ISSN (Print): 2077-9879 ISSN (Online): 2617-2658

# Eurasian Mathematical Journal

# 2023, Volume 14, Number 3

Founded in 2010 by the L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University in cooperation with the M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University the Peoples' Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University) the University of Padua

Starting with 2018 co-funded by the L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University and the Peoples' Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University)

Supported by the ISAAC (International Society for Analysis, its Applications and Computation) and by the Kazakhstan Mathematical Society

Published by

the L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University Astana, Kazakhstan

# EURASIAN MATHEMATICAL JOURNAL

## **Editorial Board**

#### Editors-in-Chief

V.I. Burenkov, M. Otelbaev, V.A. Sadovnichy Vice–Editors–in–Chief

K.N. Ospanov, T.V. Tararykova

#### Editors

Sh.A. Alimov (Uzbekistan), H. Begehr (Germany), T. Bekjan (Kazakhstan), O.V. Besov (Russia), N.K. Bliev (Kazakhstan), N.A. Bokavev (Kazakhstan), A.A. Borubaev (Kyrgyzstan), G. Bourdaud (France), A. Caetano (Portugal), M. Carro (Spain), A.D.R. Choudary (Pakistan), V.N. Chubarikov (Russia), A.S. Dzumadildaev (Kazakhstan), V.M. Filippov (Russia), H. Ghazaryan (Armenia), M.L. Goldman (Russia), V. Goldshtein (Israel), V. Guliyev (Azerbaijan), D.D. Haroske (Germany), A. Hasanoglu (Turkey), M. Huxley (Great Britain), P. Jain (India), T.Sh. Kalmenov (Kazakhstan), B.E. Kangyzhin (Kazakhstan), K.K. Kenzhibaev (Kazakhstan), S.N. Kharin (Kazakhstan), E. Kissin (Great Britain), V.I. Korzyuk (Belarus), A. Kufner (Czech Republic), L.K. Kussainova (Kazakhstan), P.D. Lamberti (Italy), M. Lanza de Cristoforis (Italy), F. Lanzara (Italy), V.G. Maz'ya (Sweden), K.T. Mynbayev (Kazakhstan), E.D. Nursultanov (Kazakhstan), R. Oinarov (Kazakhstan), I.N. Parasidis (Greece), J. Pečarić (Croatia), S.A. Plaksa (Ukraine), L.-E. Persson (Sweden), E.L. Presman (Russia), M.A. Ragusa (Italy), M.D. Ramazanov (Russia), M. Reissig (Germany), M. Ruzhansky (Great Britain), M.A. Sadybekov (Kazakhstan), S. Sagitov (Sweden), T.O. Shaposhnikova (Sweden), A.A. Shkalikov (Russia), V.A. Skvortsov (Poland), G. Sinnamon (Canada), E.S. Smailov (Kazakhstan), V.D. Stepanov (Russia), Ya.T. Sultanaev (Russia), D. Suragan (Kazakhstan), I.A. Taimanov (Russia), J.A. Tussupov (Kazakhstan), U.U. Umirbaev (Kazakhstan), Z.D. Usmanov (Tajikistan), N. Vasilevski (Mexico), Dachun Yang (China), B.T. Zhumagulov (Kazakhstan)

## **Managing Editor**

A.M. Temirkhanova

## Aims and Scope

The Eurasian Mathematical Journal (EMJ) publishes carefully selected original research papers in all areas of mathematics written by mathematicians, principally from Europe and Asia. However papers by mathematicians from other continents are also welcome.

From time to time the EMJ publishes survey papers.

The EMJ publishes 4 issues in a year.

The language of the paper must be English only.

The contents of the EMJ are indexed in Scopus, Web of Science (ESCI), Mathematical Reviews, MathSciNet, Zentralblatt Math (ZMATH), Referativnyi Zhurnal – Matematika, Math-Net.Ru.

The EMJ is included in the list of journals recommended by the Committee for Control of Education and Science (Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan) and in the list of journals recommended by the Higher Attestation Commission (Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation).

#### Information for the Authors

<u>Submission</u>. Manuscripts should be written in LaTeX and should be submitted electronically in DVI, PostScript or PDF format to the EMJ Editorial Office through the provided web interface (www.enu.kz).

When the paper is accepted, the authors will be asked to send the tex-file of the paper to the Editorial Office.

The author who submitted an article for publication will be considered as a corresponding author. Authors may nominate a member of the Editorial Board whom they consider appropriate for the article. However, assignment to that particular editor is not guaranteed.

<u>Copyright</u>. When the paper is accepted, the copyright is automatically transferred to the EMJ. Manuscripts are accepted for review on the understanding that the same work has not been already published (except in the form of an abstract), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, and that it has been approved by all authors.

<u>Title page</u>. The title page should start with the title of the paper and authors' names (no degrees). It should contain the <u>Keywords</u> (no more than 10), the <u>Subject Classification</u> (AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) with primary (and secondary) subject classification codes), and the <u>Abstract</u> (no more than 150 words with minimal use of mathematical symbols).

Figures. Figures should be prepared in a digital form which is suitable for direct reproduction.

<u>References.</u> Bibliographical references should be listed alphabetically at the end of the article. The authors should consult the Mathematical Reviews for the standard abbreviations of journals' names.

<u>Authors' data.</u> The authors' affiliations, addresses and e-mail addresses should be placed after the References.

<u>Proofs.</u> The authors will receive proofs only once. The late return of proofs may result in the paper being published in a later issue.

Offprints. The authors will receive offprints in electronic form.

#### **Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice**

For information on Ethics in publishing and Ethical guidelines for journal publication see http://www.elsevier.com/publishingethics and http://www.elsevier.com/journal-authors/ethics.

Submission of an article to the EMJ implies that the work described has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture or academic thesis or as an electronic preprint, see http://www.elsevier.com/postingpolicy), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright-holder. In particular, translations into English of papers already published in another language are not accepted.

No other forms of scientific misconduct are allowed, such as plagiarism, falsification, fraudulent data, incorrect interpretation of other works, incorrect citations, etc. The EMJ follows the Code of Conduct of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), and follows the COPE Flowcharts for Resolving Cases of Suspected Misconduct (http://publicationethics.org/files/u2/NewCode.pdf). To verify originality, your article may be checked by the originality detection service CrossCheck http://www.elsevier.com/editors/plagdetect.

The authors are obliged to participate in peer review process and be ready to provide corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed. All authors of a paper should have significantly contributed to the research.

The reviewers should provide objective judgments and should point out relevant published works which are not yet cited. Reviewed articles should be treated confidentially. The reviewers will be chosen in such a way that there is no conflict of interests with respect to the research, the authors and/or the research funders.

The editors have complete responsibility and authority to reject or accept a paper, and they will only accept a paper when reasonably certain. They will preserve anonymity of reviewers and promote publication of corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed. The acceptance of a paper automatically implies the copyright transfer to the EMJ.

The Editorial Board of the EMJ will monitor and safeguard publishing ethics.

#### The procedure of reviewing a manuscript, established by the Editorial Board of the Eurasian Mathematical Journal

#### 1. Reviewing procedure

1.1. All research papers received by the Eurasian Mathematical Journal (EMJ) are subject to mandatory reviewing.

1.2. The Managing Editor of the journal determines whether a paper fits to the scope of the EMJ and satisfies the rules of writing papers for the EMJ, and directs it for a preliminary review to one of the Editors-in-chief who checks the scientific content of the manuscript and assigns a specialist for reviewing the manuscript.

1.3. Reviewers of manuscripts are selected from highly qualified scientists and specialists of the L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University (doctors of sciences, professors), other universities of the Republic of Kazakhstan and foreign countries. An author of a paper cannot be its reviewer.

1.4. Duration of reviewing in each case is determined by the Managing Editor aiming at creating conditions for the most rapid publication of the paper.

1.5. Reviewing is confidential. Information about a reviewer is anonymous to the authors and is available only for the Editorial Board and the Control Committee in the Field of Education and Science of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan (CCFES). The author has the right to read the text of the review.

1.6. If required, the review is sent to the author by e-mail.

1.7. A positive review is not a sufficient basis for publication of the paper.

1.8. If a reviewer overall approves the paper, but has observations, the review is confidentially sent to the author. A revised version of the paper in which the comments of the reviewer are taken into account is sent to the same reviewer for additional reviewing.

1.9. In the case of a negative review the text of the review is confidentially sent to the author.

1.10. If the author sends a well reasoned response to the comments of the reviewer, the paper should be considered by a commission, consisting of three members of the Editorial Board.

1.11. The final decision on publication of the paper is made by the Editorial Board and is recorded in the minutes of the meeting of the Editorial Board.

1.12. After the paper is accepted for publication by the Editorial Board the Managing Editor informs the author about this and about the date of publication.

1.13. Originals reviews are stored in the Editorial Office for three years from the date of publication and are provided on request of the CCFES.

1.14. No fee for reviewing papers will be charged.

#### 2. Requirements for the content of a review

2.1. In the title of a review there should be indicated the author(s) and the title of a paper.

2.2. A review should include a qualified analysis of the material of a paper, objective assessment and reasoned recommendations.

2.3. A review should cover the following topics:

- compliance of the paper with the scope of the EMJ;

- compliance of the title of the paper to its content;

- compliance of the paper to the rules of writing papers for the EMJ (abstract, key words and phrases, bibliography etc.);

- a general description and assessment of the content of the paper (subject, focus, actuality of the topic, importance and actuality of the obtained results, possible applications);

- content of the paper (the originality of the material, survey of previously published studies on the topic of the paper, erroneous statements (if any), controversial issues (if any), and so on);

- exposition of the paper (clarity, conciseness, completeness of proofs, completeness of bibliographic references, typographical quality of the text);

- possibility of reducing the volume of the paper, without harming the content and understanding of the presented scientific results;

- description of positive aspects of the paper, as well as of drawbacks, recommendations for corrections and complements to the text.

2.4. The final part of the review should contain an overall opinion of a reviewer on the paper and a clear recommendation on whether the paper can be published in the Eurasian Mathematical Journal, should be sent back to the author for revision or cannot be published.

## Web-page

The web-page of the EMJ is www.emj.enu.kz. One can enter the web-page by typing Eurasian Mathematical Journal in any search engine (Google, Yandex, etc.). The archive of the web-page contains all papers published in the EMJ (free access).

## Subscription

Subscription index of the EMJ 76090 via KAZPOST.

## E-mail

eurasianmj@yandex.kz

The Eurasian Mathematical Journal (EMJ) The Astana Editorial Office The L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University Building no. 3 Room 306a Tel.: +7-7172-709500 extension 33312 13 Kazhymukan St 010008 Astana, Kazakhstan

The Moscow Editorial Office The Peoples' Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University) Room 473 3 Ordzonikidze St 117198 Moscow, Russia

#### EURASIAN MATHEMATICAL JOURNAL

ISSN 2077-9879 Volume 14, Number 3 (2023), 54 – 74

#### CLASSES OF KERNELS AND CONTINUITY PROPERTIES OF THE TANGENTIAL GRADIENT OF AN INTEGRAL OPERATOR IN HÖLDER SPACES ON A MANIFOLD

#### M. Lanza de Cristoforis

Communicated by R. Oinarov

Key words: continuity, classes of kernels, tangential gradient, integral operator, manifold.

**AMS Mathematics Subject Classification:** 31B10 (Primary); 42B20, 42B37, 47G40, 47G10 (Secondary).

**Abstract.** We prove multiplication and embedding theorems for classes of kernels of integral operators in subsets of metric spaces with a measure. Then we prove a tangential differentiation theorem with respect to a semi-tangent vector for integral operators that are defined on an upper-Ahlfors regular subset of the Euclidean space and a continuity theorem for the corresponding integral operator in Hölder spaces in the specific case of a differentiable manifold.

#### DOI: https://doi.org/10.32523/2077-9879-2023-14-3-54-74

#### 1 Introduction

Volume and layer potentials are integrals on a subset Y of the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that depend on a variable in a subset X of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Typically, X and Y are either measurable subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the *n*-dimensional Lebesgue measure, or manifolds that are embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , or boundaries of open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the surface measure and X may well be different from Y.

For many relevant results in Hölder spaces, one can introduce a unified approach by assuming that X and Y are subsets of a metric space (M, d) and that Y is equipped with a measure  $\nu$  that satisfies an upper Ahlfors growth condition that includes non-doubling measures (cf. (4.2)). With this respect we mention the works of García-Cuerva and Gatto [6], [7], Gatto [8] who have considered the case X = Y = M and proved T1 Theorems for integral operators. Then one can also consider a stronger growth condition. Namely, the strong upper Ahlfors growth condition (4.9) that has been introduced in [15] to treat the dependence of singular and weakly singular integral operators both upon the variation of the density and of the kernel, when the kernel belongs to certain classes of kernels that generalize those of Giraud [10], Gegelia [9], Kupradze, Gegelia, Basheleishvili and Burchuladze [13, Chapter IV] and the so-called standard kernels.

In this paper, we first introduce some basic multiplication and embedding theorems for such classes of kernels (see Section 3).

In Section 4, we summarize and complement some results of [15].

In Section 5, we prove the tangential differentiation Theorem 5.1 with respect to a semi-tangent vector for integral operators defined on an upper-Ahlfors regular subset of the Euclidean space.

In Section 6, we consider the case in which Y is a compact manifold of codimension 1 in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and we show application of the results of [15], of the above mentioned properties of the kernel classes and of Theorem 5.1 by proving Theorem 6.3 on the continuity of the tangential gradient of a weakly singular integral operator that is defined in Y upon variation both of the kernel and of the density in Hölder spaces. Here we mention that Theorem 6.3 applies to relevant integral operators such as the layer potentials. In a forthcoming paper, we plan to apply the multiplication and embedding theorems of the classes of kernels of Section 3 and of Theorem 6.3 to analyze the continuity properties of the double layer potential that is associated with the fundamental solution of a second order elliptic operator with constant coefficients.

#### 2 Notation

Let X be a set. Then we set

$$B(X) \equiv \left\{ f \in \mathbb{C}^X : f \text{ is bounded} \right\}, \quad \|f\|_{B(X)} \equiv \sup_X |f| \qquad \forall f \in B(X),$$

where  $\mathbb{C}^X$  denotes the set of all functions from X to  $\mathbb{C}$ . If (M, d) is a metric space, we set

$$B(\xi, r) \equiv \{\eta \in M : d(\xi, \eta) < r\}$$

$$(2.1)$$

for all  $(\xi, r) \in M \times ]0, +\infty[$  and

$$\operatorname{diam}(X) \equiv \sup\{d(x_1, x_2) : x_1, x_2 \in X\}$$

for all subsets X of M. Then  $C^0(M)$  denotes the set of all continuous functions from M to  $\mathbb{C}$  and we introduce the subspace  $C_b^0(M) \equiv C^0(M) \cap B(M)$  of B(M). Let  $\omega$  be a function from  $[0, +\infty[$  to itself such that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(0) &= 0, \qquad \omega(r) > 0 \qquad \forall r \in ]0, +\infty[, \\ \omega \text{ is increasing, } \lim_{r \to 0^+} \omega(r) &= 0, \\ \text{and } \sup_{(a,t) \in [1, +\infty[\times]0, +\infty[} \frac{\omega(at)}{a\omega(t)} < +\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

If f is a function from a subset  $\mathbb{D}$  of a metric space (M, d) to  $\mathbb{C}$ , then we denote by  $|f : \mathbb{D}|_{\omega(\cdot)}$  the  $\omega(\cdot)$ -Hölder constant of f, which is delivered by the formula

$$|f:\mathbb{D}|_{\omega(\cdot)} \equiv \sup\left\{\frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{\omega(d(x,y))}: x, y \in \mathbb{D}, x \neq y\right\}.$$

If  $|f: \mathbb{D}|_{\omega(\cdot)} < \infty$ , we say that f is  $\omega(\cdot)$ -Hölder continuous. Sometimes, we simply write  $|f|_{\omega(\cdot)}$  instead of  $|f: \mathbb{D}|_{\omega(\cdot)}$ . The subset of  $C^0(\mathbb{D})$  whose functions are  $\omega(\cdot)$ -Hölder continuous is denoted by  $C^{0,\omega(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})$ and  $|f: \mathbb{D}|_{\omega(\cdot)}$  is a semi-norm on  $C^{0,\omega(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})$ . Then we consider the space  $C_b^{0,\omega(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D}) \equiv C^{0,\omega(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D}) \cap B(\mathbb{D})$ with the norm

$$\|f\|_{C_b^{0,\omega(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})} \equiv \sup_{x \in \mathbb{D}} |f(x)| + |f|_{\omega(\cdot)} \qquad \forall f \in C_b^{0,\omega(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})$$

In the case in which  $\omega(\cdot)$  is the function  $r^{\alpha}$  for some fixed  $\alpha \in ]0,1]$ , a so-called Hölder exponent, we simply write  $|\cdot : \mathbb{D}|_{\alpha}$  instead of  $|\cdot : \mathbb{D}|_{r^{\alpha}}$ ,  $C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$  instead of  $C^{0,r^{\alpha}}(\mathbb{D})$ ,  $C^{0,\alpha}_{b}(\mathbb{D})$  instead of  $C^{0,r^{\alpha}}_{b}(\mathbb{D})$ , and we say that f is  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous provided that  $|f:\mathbb{D}|_{\alpha} < +\infty$ .

#### 3 Special classes of potential type kernels in metric spaces

If X and Y are sets, then we denote by  $\mathbb{D}_{X \times Y}$  the diagonal of  $X \times Y$ , i.e., we set

$$\mathbb{D}_{X \times Y} \equiv \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : x = y\}$$
(3.1)

and if X = Y, then we denote by  $\mathbb{D}_X$  the diagonal of  $X \times X$ , i.e., we set

$$\mathbb{D}_X \equiv \mathbb{D}_{X \times X}$$

An off-diagonal function in  $X \times Y$  is a function from  $(X \times Y) \setminus \mathbb{D}_{X \times Y}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ . We now wish to consider a specific class of off-diagonal kernels in a metric space (M, d).

**Definition 1.** Let X and Y be subsets of a metric space (M, d). Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{K}_{s,X \times Y}$ , the set of all continuous functions K from  $(X \times Y) \setminus \mathbb{D}_{X \times Y}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  such that

$$||K||_{\mathcal{K}_{s,X\times Y}} \equiv \sup_{(x,y)\in (X\times Y)\setminus \mathbb{D}_{X\times Y}} |K(x,y)| \, d(x,y)^s < +\infty \, .$$

The elements of  $\mathcal{K}_{s,X\times Y}$  are said to be kernels of potential type s in  $X \times Y$ .

We plan to consider 'potential type' kernels as in the following definition. See also Dondi and the author [5], where such classes have been introduced in a form that generalizes those of Giraud [10], Gegelia [9], Kupradze, Gegelia, Basheleishvili and Burchuladze [13, Chapter IV].

**Definition 2.** Let X and Y be subsets of a metric space (M, d). Let  $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X \times Y)$  the set of all continuous functions K from  $(X \times Y) \setminus \mathbb{D}_{X \times Y}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  such that

$$||K||_{\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X\times Y)} \equiv \sup\left\{ d(x,y)^{s_1} |K(x,y)| : (x,y) \in X \times Y, x \neq y \right\} + \sup\left\{ \frac{d(x',y)^{s_2}}{d(x',x'')^{s_3}} |K(x',y) - K(x'',y)| : x', x'' \in X, x' \neq x'', y \in Y \setminus B(x',2d(x',x'')) \right\} < +\infty$$

One can easily verify that  $(\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X \times Y), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X \times Y)})$  is a normed space. By our definition, if  $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X \times Y) \subseteq \mathcal{K}_{s_1,X \times Y}$$

and

$$||K||_{\mathcal{K}_{s_1,X\times Y}} \le ||K||_{\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X\times Y)} \qquad \forall K \in \mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X\times Y) \,.$$

We note that if we choose  $s_2 = s_1 + s_3$  we have the so-called class of standard kernels. We now turn to prove a series of statements in a metric space setting that extend the validity of corresponding statements for the classes that had been introduced in Giraud [10], Gegelia [9], Kupradze, Gegelia, Basheleishvili and Burchuladze [13, Chapter IV]. We start with the following elementary known embedding lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let X and Y be subsets of a metric space (M, d). Let  $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $a \in ]0, +\infty[$ , then  $\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X \times Y)$  is continuously embedded into  $\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2-a,s_3-a}(X \times Y)$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to note that if  $x', x'' \in X, x' \neq x''$ , then

$$\frac{d(x',y)^{s_2-a}}{d(x',x'')^{s_3-a}} = \frac{d(x',y)^{s_2}}{d(x',x'')^{s_3}} \frac{d(x',x'')^a}{d(x',y)^a} \le \frac{d(x',y)^{s_2}}{d(x',x'')^{s_3}} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}d(x',y)}{d(x',y)}\right)^a$$
$$= \frac{d(x',y)^{s_2}}{d(x',x'')^{s_3}} 2^{-a} \qquad \forall y \in Y \setminus B(x',2d(x',x'')) \,.$$

Next we introduce the following known elementary lemma, which we exploit later and which can be proved by the triangular inequality.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let (M, d) be a metric space. Then

$$\frac{1}{2}d(x',y) \le d(x'',y) \le 2d(x',y)\,,$$

for all  $x', x'' \in M, x' \neq x'', y \in M \setminus B(x', 2d(x', x'')).$ 

Next we prove the following product rule for kernels.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let X and Y be subsets of a metric space (M, d). Let  $s_1, s_2, s_3, t_1, t_2, t_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(i) If  $K_1 \in \mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X \times Y)$  and  $K_2 \in \mathcal{K}_{t_1,t_2,t_3}(X \times Y)$ , then the following inequality holds  $|K_1(x',y)K_2(x',y) - K_1(x'',y)K_2(x'',y)|$  $\leq ||K_1||_{\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X \times Y)} ||K_2||_{\mathcal{K}_{t_1,t_2,t_3}(X \times Y)} \left(\frac{d(x',x'')^{s_3}}{d(x',y)^{s_2+t_1}} + \frac{2^{|s_1|}d(x',x'')^{t_3}}{d(x',y)^{t_2+s_1}}\right)$ 

for all  $x', x'' \in X$ ,  $x' \neq x''$ ,  $y \in Y \setminus B(x', 2d(x', x''))$ .

(ii) The pointwise product is bilinear and continuous from

$$\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_1+s_3,s_3}(X \times Y) \times \mathcal{K}_{t_1,t_1+s_3,s_3}(X \times Y) \quad \text{to} \quad \mathcal{K}_{s_1+t_1,s_1+s_3+t_1,s_3}(X \times Y)$$

*Proof.* (i) By the triangular inequality and by the definition of the norm for kernels, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |K_{1}(x',y)K_{2}(x',y) - K_{1}(x'',y)K_{2}(x'',y)| \\ &\leq |K_{1}(x',y) - K_{1}(x'',y)| |K_{2}(x',y)| + |K_{1}(x'',y)| |K_{2}(x',y) - K_{2}(x'',y)| \\ &\leq ||K_{1}||_{\mathcal{K}_{s_{1},s_{2},s_{3}}(X\times Y)} ||K_{2}||_{\mathcal{K}_{t_{1},t_{2},t_{3}}(X\times Y)} \left(\frac{d(x',x'')^{s_{3}}}{d(x',y)^{s_{2}+t_{1}}} + \frac{d(x',x'')^{t_{3}}}{d(x',y)^{t_{2}}d(x'',y)^{s_{1}}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

If  $s_1 \ge 0$ , Lemma 3.2 implies that

$$\frac{1}{d(x'',y)^{s_1}} \leq \frac{1}{d(x',y)^{s_1}2^{-s_1}} = \frac{2^{s_1}}{d(x',y)^{s_1}}$$

If instead  $s_1 < 0$ , Lemma 3.2 implies that

$$\frac{1}{d(x'',y)^{s_1}} \le \frac{1}{d(x',y)^{s_1}2^{s_1}} = \frac{2^{-s_1}}{d(x',y)^{s_1}}$$

Hence, the validity of the inequality of statement (i) follows.

(ii) Since

$$|K_1(x,y)K_2(x,y)| \le \frac{\|K_1\|_{\mathcal{K}_{s_1,X\times Y}}\|K_2\|_{\mathcal{K}_{t_1,X\times Y}}}{d(x,y)^{s_1}d(x,y)^{t_1}} \qquad \forall x,y \in X \times Y, x \neq y,$$

statement (ii) is an immediate consequence of the inequality of statement (i) with  $s_3 = t_3$ ,  $s_2 = s_1 + s_3$ ,  $t_2 = t_1 + s_3$ .

Then we have the following product rule of a kernel and of a function of either  $x \in X$  or  $y \in Y$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** Let X and Y be subsets of a metric space (M, d). Let  $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \in ]0, 1]$ . Then the following statements hold.

(i) If 
$$K \in \mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X \times Y)$$
 and  $f \in C_b^{0,\alpha}(X)$ , then  
$$|K(x,y)f(x)| d(x,y)^{s_1} \le ||K||_{\mathcal{K}_{s_1,X \times Y}} \sup_X |f| \quad \forall (x,y) \in (X \times Y) \setminus \mathbb{D}_{X \times Y}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |K(x',y)f(x') - K(x'',y)f(x'')| \\ &\leq ||K||_{\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X\times Y)} ||f||_{C_b^{0,\alpha}(X)} \left\{ \frac{d(x',x'')^{s_3}}{d(x',y)^{s_2}} + 2^{|s_1|} \frac{d(x',x'')^{\alpha}}{d(x',y)^{s_1}} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x', x'' \in X$ ,  $x' \neq x''$ ,  $y \in Y \setminus B(x', 2d(x', x''))$ .

(ii) If  $s_2 \ge s_1$  and X and Y are both bounded, then the map from

$$\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X \times Y) \times C_b^{0,s_3}(X)$$
 to  $\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X \times Y)$ 

that takes (K, f) to the kernel K(x, y)f(x) of the variable  $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) \setminus \mathbb{D}_{X \times Y}$  is bilinear and continuous.

(iii) The map from

$$\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X \times Y) \times C^0_b(Y)$$
 to  $\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X \times Y)$ 

that takes (K, f) to the kernel K(x, y)f(y) in the variable  $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) \setminus \mathbb{D}_{X \times Y}$  is bilinear and continuous.

*Proof.* (i) The first inequality is an obvious consequence of the definition of the norm in  $\mathcal{K}_{s_1,X\times Y}$ . To prove the second one, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} |K(x',y)f(x') - K(x'',y)f(x'')| \\ &\leq |K(x',y) - K(x'',y)| |f(x')| + |K(x'',y)| |f(x') - f(x'')| \\ &\leq ||K||_{\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X \times Y)} ||f||_{C_b^{0,\alpha}(X)} \left\{ \frac{d(x',x'')^{s_3}}{d(x',y)^{s_2}} + \frac{d(x',x'')^{\alpha}}{d(x'',y)^{s_1}} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

If  $s_1 \ge 0$ , Lemma 3.2 implies that

$$\frac{d(x',x'')^{\alpha}}{d(x'',y)^{s_1}} \le \frac{d(x',x'')^{\alpha}}{d(x',y)^{s_1}2^{-s_1}} = 2^{s_1} \frac{d(x',x'')^{\alpha}}{d(x',y)^{s_1}}$$

If instead  $s_1 < 0$ , Lemma 3.2 implies that

$$\frac{d(x',x'')^{\alpha}}{d(x'',y)^{s_1}} \le \frac{d(x',x'')^{\alpha}}{d(x',y)^{s_1}2^{s_1}} = 2^{-s_1} \frac{d(x',x'')^{\alpha}}{d(x',y)^{s_1}}$$

Hence, the second inequality in statement (i) holds true. To prove (ii), it suffices to note that

$$\frac{d(x',x'')^{s_3}}{d(x',y)^{s_1}} = \frac{d(x',x'')^{s_3}d(x',y)^{s_2-s_1}}{d(x',y)^{s_1}d(x',y)^{s_2-s_1}} \le (\operatorname{diam}\left(X\cup Y\right))^{s_2-s_1}\frac{d(x',x'')^{s_3}}{d(x',y)^{s_2}}\,,$$

to apply the second inequality of statement (i) and to invoke the first inequality of statement (i). Statement (iii) is obvious.  $\Box$ 

We also point out the validity of the following elementary remark that holds if both X and Y are bounded.

**Remark 1.** Let (M, d) be a metric space. Let X, Y be bounded subsets of M. Let  $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in [0, +\infty[$ . If  $a \in ]0, +\infty[$ , then Lemma 3.2 implies the validity of the following inequality

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{d(x',y)^{s_2}}{d(x',x'')^{s_3}} | K(x',y) - K(x'',y)| : x',x'' \in X, a \le d(x',x''), y \in Y \setminus B(x',2d(x',x'')) \right\}$$
$$\le \frac{(\operatorname{diam}\left(X \cup Y\right))^{s_2}}{a^{s_3}} \| K \|_{\mathcal{K}_{s_1,X \times Y}} \left( (2a)^{-s_1} + (2^{-1}2a)^{-s_1} \right)$$

for all  $K \in \mathcal{K}_{s_1, X \times Y}$  and accordingly the norm on  $\mathcal{K}_{s_1, s_2, s_3}(X \times Y)$  defined by setting

$$\|K\|_{a;\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X\times Y)} \equiv \|K\|_{\mathcal{K}_{s_1,X\times Y}} + \sup\left\{\frac{d(x',y)^{s_2}}{d(x',x'')^{s_3}}|K(x',y) - K(x'',y)|: x', x'' \in X, 0 < d(x',x'') < a, y \in Y \setminus B(x',2d(x',x''))\right\}$$

is equivalent to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X\times Y)}$  on  $\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X\times Y)$ .

Next we prove the following embedding statement that holds for bounded sets.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let (M, d) be a metric space. Let X, Y be bounded subsets of M. Let  $s_1$ ,  $s_2$ ,  $s_3$ ,  $t_1$ ,  $t_2$ ,  $t_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the following statements hold.

- (i) If  $t_1 \geq s_1$  then  $\mathcal{K}_{s_1,X\times Y}$  is continuously embedded into  $\mathcal{K}_{t_1,X\times Y}$ .
- (ii) If  $t_1 \ge s_1$ ,  $t_3 \le s_3$  and  $(t_2 t_3) \ge (s_2 s_3)$ , then  $\mathcal{K}_{s_1, s_2, s_3}(X \times Y)$  is continuously embedded into  $\mathcal{K}_{t_1, t_2, t_3}(X \times Y)$ .
- (iii) If  $t_1 \ge s_1$ ,  $t_3 \le s_3$ , then  $\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_1+s_3,s_3}(X \times Y)$  is continuously embedded into  $\mathcal{K}_{t_1,t_1+t_3,t_3}(X \times Y)$ .

*Proof.* Statement (i) is an immediate corollary of the following elementary inequality

$$d(x,y)^{t_1} |K(x,y)| \le d(x,y)^{t_1-s_1} d(x,y)^{s_1} |K(x,y)| \le (\operatorname{diam} (X \cup Y))^{t_1-s_1} ||K||_{\mathcal{K}_{s_1,X \times Y}} \quad \forall (x,y) \in X \times Y \setminus \mathbb{D}_{X \times Y},$$

which holds for all  $K \in \mathcal{K}_{s_1, X \times Y}$ . To prove (ii), it suffices to invoke (i) and to note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d(x',y)^{t_2}}{d(x',x'')^{t_3}} |K(x',y) - K(x'',y)| \\ &= \frac{d(x',y)^{t_2-s_2}}{d(x',x'')^{t_3-s_3}} \frac{d(x',y)^{s_2}}{d(x',x'')^{s_3}} |K(x',y) - K(x'',y)| \\ &\leq d(x',y)^{t_2-s_2} d(x',x'')^{s_3-t_3} ||K||_{\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X\times Y)} \\ &\leq d(x',y)^{t_2-s_2} (2^{-1}d(x',y))^{s_3-t_3} ||K||_{\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X\times Y)} \\ &\leq d(x',y)^{(t_2-t_3)-(s_2-s_3)} 2^{t_3-s_3} ||K||_{\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X\times Y)} \\ &\leq (\operatorname{diam}(X \cup Y))^{(t_2-t_3)-(s_2-s_3)} 2^{t_3-s_3} ||K||_{\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X\times Y)} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x', x'' \in X$ ,  $x' \neq x'', y \in Y \setminus B(x', 2d(x', x''))$  and  $K \in \mathcal{K}_{s_1, s_2, s_3}(X \times Y)$ . Finally, statement (iii) is an immediate corollary of statement (ii).

We now show that we can associate a potential type kernel to all Hölder continuous functions.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let X and Y be subsets of a metric space (M, d). Let  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . Let  $C^{0,\alpha}(X \cup Y)$  be endowed with the Hölder seminorm  $|\cdot : X \cup Y|_{\alpha}$ . Then the following statements hold.

(i) If  $\mu \in C^{0,\alpha}(X \cup Y)$ , then the map  $\Xi[\mu]$  defined by  $\Xi[\mu](x,y) \equiv \mu(x) - \mu(y) \qquad \forall (x,y) \in (X \times Y) \setminus \mathbb{D}_{X \times Y}$ (3.2)

belongs to  $\mathcal{K}_{-\alpha,0,\alpha}(X \times Y)$ .

(ii) The operator  $\Xi$  from  $C^{0,\alpha}(X \cup Y)$  to  $\mathcal{K}_{-\alpha,0,\alpha}(X \times Y)$  that takes  $\mu$  to  $\Xi[\mu]$  is linear and continuous. *Proof.* It suffices to observe that

$$|\mu(x) - \mu(y)| \le |\mu: X \cup Y|_{\alpha} d(x, y)^{\alpha} \qquad \forall (x, y) \in (X \times Y) \setminus \mathbb{D}_{X \times Y}$$

and that

$$|(\mu(x') - \mu(y)) - (\mu(x'') - \mu(y))| = |\mu(x') - \mu(x'')| \le |\mu : X \cup Y|_{\alpha} \frac{d(x', x'')^{\alpha}}{d(x', y)^{0}}$$
$$x'' \in X, \ x' \ne x'', \ y \in Y \setminus B(x', 2d(x', x'')).$$

for all  $x', x'' \in X, x' \neq x'', y \in Y \setminus B(x', 2d(x', x''))$ .

Sometimes the kernel has a special form which we need later on. Thus we introduce the following preliminary lemma for standard kernels.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let X and Y be subsets of a metric space (M, d). Let  $s_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $s_3 \in ]-\infty, 1]$ ,  $\theta \in ]0, 1]$ . Let  $C^{0,\theta}(X \cup Y)$  be endowed with the Hölder seminorm  $|\cdot : X \cup Y|_{\theta}$ . Then the following statements hold.

(i) The map H from  $\mathcal{K}_{s_1,X\times Y} \times C^{0,\theta}(X\cup Y)$  to  $\mathcal{K}_{s_1-\theta,X\times Y}$ , which takes (Z,g) to the function from  $(X \times Y) \setminus \mathbb{D}_{X \times Y}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$H[Z,g](x,y) \equiv (g(x) - g(y))Z(x,y) \qquad \forall (x,y) \in (X \times Y) \setminus \mathbb{D}_{X \times Y}$$
(3.3)

is bilinear and continuous.

(ii) The map H from

$$\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_1+s_3,s_3}(X \times Y) \times C^{0,\theta}(X \cup Y)$$
 to  $\mathcal{K}_{s_1-\theta,s_1+s_3-1,s_3-(1-\theta)}(X \times Y)$ ,

which takes (Z, g) to the function defined by (3.3) is bilinear and continuous.

*Proof.* (i) It suffices to note that the Hölder continuity of g implies that

$$|H[Z,g](x,y)| \le \frac{|g:X \cup Y|_{\theta}}{d(x,y)^{s_1-\theta}} ||Z||_{\mathcal{K}_{s_1,X\times Y}} \qquad \forall (x,y) \in (X \times Y) \setminus \mathbb{D}_{X\times Y}.$$
(3.4)

(ii) By Lemma 3.3, the linear operator from

$$\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_1+s_3,s_3}(X \times Y) \times C^{0,\theta}(X \cup Y)$$
 to  $\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_1+s_3,s_3}(X \times Y) \times \mathcal{K}_{-\theta,0,\theta}(X \times Y)$ 

that takes (Z,g) to  $(Z,\Xi[g])$  is linear and continuous. By the elementary embedding Lemma 3.1, the inclusion map from

$$\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_1+s_3,s_3}(X \times Y) \times \mathcal{K}_{-\theta,0,\theta}(X \times Y)$$

to  $\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_1+s_3-(1-\theta),s_3-(1-\theta)}(X \times Y) \times \mathcal{K}_{-\theta,-(1-s_3),\theta-(1-s_3)}(X \times Y)$  is linear and continuous. Then the product Theorem 3.1 (ii) for standard kernels implies that the product is continuous from

$$\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_1+s_3-(1-\theta),s_3-(1-\theta)}(X\times Y)\times\mathcal{K}_{-\theta,-(1-s_3),\theta-(1-s_3)}(X\times Y)$$

to  $\mathcal{K}_{s_1-\theta,s_1+s_3-1,s_3-(1-\theta)}(X \times Y)$  and thus the proof is complete.

## 4 Preliminaries on upper $v_Y$ -Ahlfors regular sets

We plan to consider integral operators in subsets X and Y of a metric space (M, d) when Y is endowed of a measure as follows.

Let 
$$\mathcal{N}$$
 be a  $\sigma$ -algebra of parts of  $Y, \mathcal{B}_Y \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ .  
Let  $\nu$  be measure on  $\mathcal{N}$ .  
Let  $\nu(B(x,r) \cap Y) < +\infty \qquad \forall (x,r) \in X \times ]0, +\infty[$ . (4.1)

Here  $\mathcal{B}_Y$  denotes the  $\sigma$ -algebra of all Borel subsets of Y.

**Definition 3.** Let X and Y be subsets of a metric space (M, d). Let  $v_Y \in ]0, +\infty[$ . Let  $\nu$  be as in (4.1). We say that Y is upper  $v_Y$ -Ahlfors regular with respect to X provided that the following condition holds

there exist 
$$r_{X,Y,v_Y} \in ]0, +\infty]$$
,  $c_{X,Y,v_Y} \in ]0, +\infty[$  such that  
 $\nu(B(x,r) \cap Y) \leq c_{X,Y,v_Y} r^{v_Y}$   
for all  $x \in X$  and  $r \in ]0, r_{X,Y,v_Y}[$ .
$$(4.2)$$

In the case X = Y, we say that Y is upper  $v_Y$ -Ahlfors regular.

One could show that if  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$  and if Y is a compact embedded differential manifold in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of codimension 1, then Y is upper (n-1)-Ahlfors regular with respect to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then one can prove the following basic inequalities for the integral on an upper Ahlfors regular set Y and on the intersection of Y with balls with center at a point x of X of the powers of  $d(x, y)^{-1}$  with exponent  $s \in ]-\infty, v_Y[$ , that are variants of those proved by Gatto [8, page 104] in the case X = Y (for a proof see [15, Lemmas 3.2, 3.4]).

**Lemma 4.1.** Let X and Y be subsets of a metric space (M, d). Let  $v_Y \in ]0, +\infty[$ . Let  $\nu$  be as in (4.1). Let Y be upper  $v_Y$ -Ahlfors regular with respect to X. Then the following statements hold.

- (i)  $\nu(\{x\}) = 0 \ \forall x \in X \cap Y.$
- (ii) Let  $\nu(Y) < +\infty$ . If  $s \in ]0, v_Y[$ , then

$$c'_{s,X,Y} \equiv \sup_{x \in X} \int_{Y} \frac{d\nu(y)}{d(x,y)^{s}} \le \nu(Y)a^{-s} + c_{X,Y,\upsilon_{Y}} \frac{\upsilon_{Y}}{\upsilon_{Y} - s}a^{\upsilon_{Y} - s}$$

for all  $a \in ]0, r_{X,Y,v_Y}[$ . If s = 0, then

$$c_{0,X,Y}' \equiv \sup_{x \in X} \int_Y \frac{d\nu(y)}{d(x,y)^0} = \nu(Y)$$

(iii) Let  $\nu(Y) < +\infty$  whenever  $r_{X,Y,v_Y} < +\infty$ . If  $s \in ]-\infty, v_Y[$ , then

$$c_{s,X,Y}'' \equiv \sup_{(x,t) \in X \times ]0, +\infty[} t^{s-\nu_Y} \int_{B(x,t) \cap Y} \frac{d\nu(y)}{d(x,y)^s} < +\infty.$$

By the Hölder inequality one can prove the following statement of Hille-Tamarkin (see [15, Proposition 4.1]).

**Proposition 4.1.** Let X and Y be subsets of a metric space (M, d). Let  $v_Y \in ]0, +\infty[$ ,  $s \in [0, v_Y[$ . Let  $\nu$  be as in (4.1). Let  $\nu(Y) < +\infty$ . Let Y be upper  $v_Y$ -Ahlfors regular with respect to X. Then the following statements hold.

(i) If  $(K, \varphi) \in \mathcal{K}_{s, X \times Y} \times L^{\infty}_{\nu}(Y)$ , then the function  $K(x, \cdot)\varphi(\cdot)$  is integrable in Y for all  $x \in X$  and the function  $A[K, \varphi]$  defined by

$$A[K,\varphi](x) \equiv \int_{Y} K(x,y)\varphi(y) \, d\nu(y) \qquad \forall x \in X$$
(4.3)

is bounded.

(ii) The bilinear map from  $\mathcal{K}_{s,X\times Y} \times L^{\infty}_{\nu}(Y)$  to B(X), which takes  $(K,\varphi)$  to  $A[K,\varphi]$  is continuous and the following inequality holds

$$\sup_{X} |A[K,\varphi]| \le c'_{s,X,Y} ||K||_{\mathcal{K}_{s,X\times Y}} ||\varphi||_{L^{\infty}_{\nu}(Y)}$$

$$(4.4)$$

for all  $(K, \varphi) \in \mathcal{K}_{s, X \times Y} \times L^{\infty}_{\nu}(Y)$  (see Lemma 4.1 (ii) for  $c'_{s, X, Y}$ ).

Under the assumptions of the previous proposition, one can actually prove that the function  $A[K, \varphi]$  is continuous. To do so, we first introduce the following result for potential type operators.

**Proposition 4.2.** Let X and Y be subsets of a metric space (M, d). Let  $\nu$  be as in (4.1). Let  $\nu(Y) < +\infty$ . Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}_{s,X \times Y}$ . Let  $d(x, \cdot)^{-s}$  belong to  $L^1_{\nu}(Y \setminus \{x\})$  for all  $x \in X$ . Let

$$\sup_{x \in X} \int_{Y \setminus \{x\}} d(x, y)^{-s} \, d\nu(y) < +\infty \,. \tag{4.5}$$

If  $\nu(\{x\}) = 0$  for all  $x \in X \cap Y$  and if for each  $\epsilon \in ]0, +\infty[$  there exists  $\delta \in ]0, +\infty[$  such that

$$\sup_{x \in X} \int_{F \setminus \{x\}} d(x, y)^{-s} \, d\nu(y) \le \epsilon \qquad \text{if } F \in \mathcal{N}, \ \nu(F) \le \delta \,, \tag{4.6}$$

and if  $\varphi \in L^{\infty}_{\nu}(Y)$ , then the function  $A[K, \varphi]$  from X to  $\mathbb{C}$  defined by (4.3) is continuous.

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{x} \in X$ . It suffices to show that if  $\{x_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence in X which converges to  $\tilde{x}$ , then

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \int_Y K(x_j, y) \varphi(y) \, d\nu(y) = \int_Y K(\tilde{x}, y) \varphi(y) \, d\nu(y) \, .$$

We now turn to prove such a limiting relation by exploiting the Vitali Convergence Theorem. To do so, we prove the validity of the following two statements.

(j) There exists  $N_{\tilde{x}} \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $\nu(N_{\tilde{x}}) = 0$  and

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} K(x_j, y)\varphi(y) = K(\tilde{x}, y)\varphi(y) \qquad \forall y \in Y \setminus N_{\tilde{x}}.$$

(jj) For each  $\epsilon \in ]0, +\infty[$ , there exists  $\delta \in ]0, +\infty[$  such that

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{F} |K(x_{j}, y)\varphi(y)| \, d\nu(y) \le \epsilon \quad \text{if } F \in \mathcal{N}, \nu(F) \le \delta.$$

Since  $\nu({\tilde{x}} \cap Y) = 0$ , we can take  $N_{\tilde{x}} \equiv {\tilde{x}} \cap Y$  and statement (j) follows by our continuity assumption on K that follows by the membership of K in  $\mathcal{K}_{s,X\times Y}$ . We now turn to prove (jj). By our assumptions on K, we have

$$\int_{F} |K(x_j, y)\varphi(y)| \ d\nu(y) \le ||K||_{\mathcal{K}_{s, X \times Y}} \int_{F} d(x_j, y)^{-s} \ d\nu(y) ||\varphi||_{L^{\infty}_{\nu}(F)}$$

for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus it suffices to choose  $\delta \in ]0, +\infty[$  such that

$$\sup_{x \in X} \int_F d(x, y)^{-s} d\nu(y) \le \epsilon (1 + \|K\|_{\mathcal{K}_{s, X \times Y}} \|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}_{\nu}(Y)})^{-1} \text{ if } F \in \mathcal{N}, \ \nu(F) \le \delta,$$

and statement (jj) holds true and the proof is complete.

In order to apply Proposition 4.2 in the case Y is upper Ahlfors regular, we need to prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let X and Y be subsets of a metric space (M, d). Let  $v_Y \in ]0, +\infty[$ ,  $s \in [0, v_Y[$ . Let  $\nu$  be as in (4.1). Let  $\nu(Y) < +\infty$ . Let Y be upper  $v_Y$ -Ahlfors regular with respect to X. Then for each  $\epsilon \in ]0, +\infty[$  there exists  $\delta \in ]0, +\infty[$  such that

$$\sup_{x \in X} \int_{F} d(x, y)^{-s} d\nu(y) \le \epsilon \quad \text{if } F \in \mathcal{N}, \ \nu(F) \le \delta ,$$
(4.7)

*Proof.* We first note that if  $F \in \mathcal{N}$ , then F is a subset of Y. Accordingly F is also upper  $v_Y$ -Ahlfors regular with respect to X and we can choose  $r_{X,F,v_Y} = r_{X,Y,v_Y}$ ,  $c_{X,F,v_Y} = c_{X,Y,v_Y}$ . If s > 0, then Lemma 4.1 (ii) implies that

$$\sup_{x \in X} \int_{F} d(x, y)^{-s} d\nu(y) \le \nu(F) a^{-s} + c_{X, Y, \upsilon_{Y}} \frac{\upsilon_{Y}}{\upsilon_{Y} - s} a^{\upsilon_{Y} - s} \qquad \forall a \in ]0, r_{X, Y, \upsilon_{Y}}[$$

Thus if  $\epsilon \in ]0, +\infty[$ , then we choose  $a_{\epsilon} \in ]0, r_{X,Y,v_Y}[$  such that

$$c_{X,Y,\upsilon_Y}\frac{\upsilon_Y}{\upsilon_Y-s}a_{\epsilon}^{\upsilon_Y-s}<\frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

and we can set  $\delta \equiv \frac{\epsilon}{2} a_{\epsilon}^s$ . Then we have

$$\sup_{x \in X} \int_F d(x, y)^{-s} \, d\nu(y) \le \delta a_{\epsilon}^{-s} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$$

whenever  $F \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $\nu(F) \leq \delta$ . If instead s = 0, then condition (4.7) holds trivially with  $\delta = \epsilon$ .  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 4.3.** Let X and Y be subsets of a metric space (M, d). Let  $\nu$  be as in (4.1). Let  $\nu$  be finite. Let  $s \in [0, v_Y[$ . Let Y be upper  $v_Y$ -Ahlfors regular with respect to X. If  $(K, \varphi) \in \mathcal{K}_{s,X \times Y} \times L^{\infty}_{\nu}(Y)$ , then the function  $A[K, \varphi]$  from X to  $\mathbb{C}$  defined by (4.3) is continuous.

*Proof.* We plan to deduce the continuity of  $A[K, \varphi]$  by the continuity Proposition 4.2. To do so, it suffices to note that Lemma 4.1 (i), (ii) imply that  $\nu(\{x\}) = 0$  for all  $x \in X \cap Y$  and that condition (4.5) is satisfied. Moreover, Lemma 4.2 implies that condition (4.6) is satisfied.  $\Box$ 

Next we plan to introduce a result on the integral operator

$$Q[Z,g,1](x) \equiv \int_{Y} Z(x,y)(g(x) - g(y)) \, d\nu(y) \qquad \forall x \in X.$$

$$(4.8)$$

when Z belongs to the class  $\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X \times Y)$  as in Definition 2 and g is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued function in  $X \cup Y$ . We exploit the operator in (4.8) in the next section and we note that operators as in (4.8) appear in the applications (cf. *e.g.*, Colton and Kress [3, page 56], and Dondi and the author [5, § 8]). In order to estimate the Hölder quotient of Q[Z, g, 1], we need to introduce a further norm for kernels.

**Definition 4.** Let X and Y be subsets of a metric space (M, d). Let  $\nu$  be as in (4.1). Let  $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ . We set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}^{\sharp}(X \times Y) &\equiv \left\{ K \in \mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X \times Y) : \\ K(x,\cdot) \text{ is } \nu - \text{integrable in } Y \setminus B(x,r) \text{ for all } (x,r) \in X \times ]0, +\infty[, \\ \sup_{x \in X} \sup_{r \in ]0, +\infty[} \left| \int_{Y \setminus B(x,r)} K(x,y) \, d\nu(y) \right| < +\infty \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|K\|_{\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}^{\sharp}(X\times Y)} &\equiv \|K\|_{\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X\times Y)} \\ &+ \sup_{x\in X} \sup_{r\in ]0,+\infty[} \left| \int_{Y\setminus B(x,r)} K(x,y) \, d\nu(y) \right| \quad \forall K\in \mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}^{\sharp}(X\times Y) \, . \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $(\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}^{\sharp}(X \times Y), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}^{\sharp}(X \times Y)})$  is a normed space. By definition, the space  $\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}^{\sharp}(X \times Y)$  is continuously embedded into the space  $\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X \times Y)$ . Then we consider a stronger version of the upper Ahlfors regularity. Namely, we assume that Y is strongly upper  $v_Y$ -Ahlfors regular with respect to X, *i.e.*, that

there exist 
$$r_{X,Y,v_Y} \in ]0, +\infty]$$
,  $c_{X,Y,v_Y} \in ]0, +\infty[$  such that  
 $\nu((B(x,r_2) \setminus B(x,r_1)) \cap Y) \leq c_{X,Y,v_Y}(r_2^{v_Y} - r_1^{v_Y})$   
for all  $x \in X$  and  $r_1, r_2 \in [0, r_{X,Y,v_Y}[$  with  $r_1 < r_2$ , (4.9)

where we mean that  $B(x,0) \equiv \emptyset$  (in the case X = Y, we just say that Y is strongly upper  $v_Y$ -Ahlfors regular). So, for example, if Y is a compact manifold of class  $C^1$  that is embedded in  $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ , then Y can be proved to be strongly upper (n-1)-Ahlfors regular with respect to Y. Next we introduce a function that we need for a generalized Hölder norm. For each  $\theta \in [0, 1]$ , we define the function  $\omega_{\theta}(\cdot)$  from  $[0, +\infty[$  to itself by setting

$$\omega_{\theta}(r) \equiv \begin{cases} 0 & r = 0, \\ r^{\theta} |\ln r| & r \in ]0, r_{\theta}], \\ r^{\theta}_{\theta} |\ln r_{\theta}| & r \in ]r_{\theta}, +\infty[] \end{cases}$$

where  $r_{\theta} \equiv e^{-1/\theta}$  for all  $\theta \in [0, 1]$ . Obviously,  $\omega_{\theta}(\cdot)$  is concave and satisfies condition (2.2). We also note that if  $\mathbb{D} \subseteq M$ , then the continuous embedding

$$C_b^{0,\theta}(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq C_b^{0,\omega_\theta(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq C_b^{0,\theta'}(\mathbb{D})$$

holds for all  $\theta' \in ]0, \theta[$  (cf. Section 2). We are now ready to state the following statement of [15, Proposition 6.3] on the Hölder continuity of Q[Z, g, 1] that extends some work of Gatto [8, Proof of Theorem 3, Theorem 4]. Here where we mean that  $C^{0,\beta}(X \cup Y)$  is endowed with the semi-norm  $|\cdot : X \cup Y|_{\beta}$ .

**Proposition 4.4.** Let X and Y be subsets of a metric space (M, d). Let

$$v_Y \in [0, +\infty[, \beta \in ]0, 1], s_1 \in [\beta, v_Y + \beta[, s_2 \in [\beta, +\infty[, s_3 \in ]0, 1]].$$

Let  $\nu$  be as in (4.1),  $\nu(Y) < +\infty$ .

(i) If  $s_1 < v_Y$ , then the following statements hold.

(a) If  $s_2 - \beta > v_Y$ ,  $s_2 < v_Y + \beta + s_3$  and Y is upper  $v_Y$ -Ahlfors regular with respect to X, then the bilinear map from

$$\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X \times Y) \times C^{0,\beta}(X \cup Y) \quad \text{to} \quad C_b^{0,\min\{\beta,\upsilon_Y+s_3+\beta-s_2\}}(X) \,,$$

which takes (Z, g) to Q[Z, g, 1] is continuous.

(aa) If  $s_2 - \beta = v_Y$  and Y is strongly upper  $v_Y$ -Ahlfors regular with respect to X, then the bilinear map from

$$\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X \times Y) \times C^{0,\beta}(X \cup Y)$$
 to  $C_b^{0,\max\{r^{\beta},\omega_{s_3}(r)\}}(X)$ ,

which takes (Z, g) to Q[Z, g, 1] is continuous.

- (ii) If  $s_1 = v_Y$ , then the following statements hold.
  - (b) If  $s_2 \beta > v_Y$ ,  $s_2 < v_Y + \beta + s_3$  and Y is upper  $v_Y$ -Ahlfors regular with respect to X, then the bilinear map from

$$\mathcal{K}^{\sharp}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X \times Y) \times C^{0,\beta}(X \cup Y) \quad \text{to} \quad C^{0,\min\{\beta,\upsilon_Y+s_3+\beta-s_2\}}_b(X) \,,$$

which takes (Z,g) to Q[Z,g,1] is continuous.

(bb) If  $s_2 - \beta = v_Y$  and Y is strongly upper  $v_Y$ -Ahlfors regular with respect to X, then the bilinear map from

$$\mathcal{K}^{\sharp}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X \times Y) \times C^{0,\beta}(X \cup Y) \quad \text{to} \quad C^{0,\max\{r^{\beta},\omega_{s_3}(r)\}}_b(X),$$

which takes (Z, g) to Q[Z, g, 1] is continuous.

- (iii) If  $s_1 > v_Y$ , then the following statements hold.
  - (c) If  $s_2 \beta > v_Y$ ,  $s_2 < v_Y + \beta + s_3$  and Y is upper  $v_Y$ -Ahlfors regular with respect to X, then the bilinear map from

$$\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X \times Y) \times C^{0,\beta}(X \cup Y)$$
 to  $C_b^{0,\min\{v_Y+\beta-s_1,v_Y+s_3+\beta-s_2\}}(X)$ ,

which takes (Z, g) to Q[Z, g, 1] is continuous.

(cc) If  $s_2 - \beta = v_Y$  and Y is strongly upper  $v_Y$ -Ahlfors regular with respect to X, then the bilinear map from

$$\mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_2,s_3}(X \times Y) \times C^{0,\beta}(X \cup Y)$$
 to  $C_b^{0,\max\{r^{\upsilon_Y+\beta-s_1},\omega_{s_3}(r)\}}(X)$ 

which takes (Z,g) to Q[Z,g,1] is continuous.

## 5 A differentiation theorem for integral operators on upper Ahlfors regular subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$

We first introduce some preliminaries.

**Definition 5.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ . Let X be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $p \in X$ . We say that a vector  $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is semi-tangent to X at the point p provided that either w = 0 or there exists a sequence  $\{x_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X \setminus \{p\}$  which converges to p and such that

$$\frac{w}{|w|} = \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{x_j - p}{|x_j - p|}.$$

We say that a vector  $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is tangent to X at the point p provided that both w and -w are semi-tangent to X at the point p.

Here  $|\cdot|$  denotes the Euclidean modulus in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We denote by  $T_pX$  the set of all semi-tangent vectors to X at p. One can easily check that  $T_pX$  is a cone of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , i.e., that

$$\lambda w \in T_p X$$
 whenever  $(\lambda, w) \in ]0, +\infty[\times T_p X]$ 

We say that  $T_pX$  is the cone of semi-tangent vectors to X at p. If  $T_pX$  is also a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then we say that X has a tangent space at p, that  $T_pX$  is the tangent space to X at p and that  $p + T_pX$  is the affine tangent space to X at p. Next we state the definition of directional derivative for a function defined on an arbitrary subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Definition 6.** Let Z be a real or complex normed space. Let X be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\phi$  be a function from X to Z. Let  $p \in X$ ,  $v \in T_pX$ , |v| = 1.

We say that  $\phi$  has a derivative at p with respect to the direction v provided that there exists an element  $D_{X,v}\varphi(p) \in Z$  such that

$$D_{X,v}\varphi(p) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{\phi(x_j) - \phi(p)}{|x_j - p|} \quad \text{in } Z$$

for all sequences  $\{x_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  in  $X\setminus\{p\}$  which converge to p and such that

$$v = \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{x_j - p}{|x_j - p|}$$

Then we say that  $D_{X,v}\varphi(p)$  is the derivative of  $\phi$  at p with respect to the direction v.

We note that if there exist an open neighborhood W of p in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and if  $\phi$  is a continuously (real) differentiable function from W to Z and satisfies the equality  $\phi_{|X \cap W} = \phi_{|X \cap W}$ , then  $\phi$  has a derivative at p with respect to the direction v and

$$D_{X,v}\varphi(p) = D_v\tilde{\phi}(p) = d\tilde{\phi}(p)[v].$$

Indeed,  $d\tilde{\phi}(p)[v] = \lim_{j \to \infty} d\tilde{\phi}(p) \left[\frac{x_j - p}{|x_j - p|}\right]$  in Z and

$$0 = \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{\|\tilde{\phi}(x_j) - \tilde{\phi}(p) - d\tilde{\phi}(p)[x_j - p]\|_Z}{|x_j - p|}$$
$$= \lim_{j \to \infty} \left\| \frac{\tilde{\phi}(x_j) - \tilde{\phi}(p)}{|x_j - p|} - d\tilde{\phi}(p) \left[ \frac{x_j - p}{|x_j - p|} \right] \right\|_Z$$
$$= \lim_{j \to \infty} \left\| \frac{\phi(x_j) - \phi(p)}{|x_j - p|} - d\tilde{\phi}(p) \left[ \frac{x_j - p}{|x_j - p|} \right] \right\|_Z$$

and accordingly

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{\phi(x_j) - \phi(p)}{|x_j - p|} = \lim_{j \to \infty} d\tilde{\phi}(p) \left[ \frac{x_j - p}{|x_j - p|} \right] = d\tilde{\phi}(p)[v] \quad \text{in } Z$$

for all sequences  $\{x_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$  as in Definition 5 of a semi-tangent vector. Then we can prove the following differentiation theorem for integral operators that are defined on upper Ahlfors regular subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . To do so, we set

$$\mathbb{B}_n(x,\rho) \equiv \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x-y| < \rho\}$$

for all  $\rho > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $v_Y \in ]0, +\infty[$ . Let  $(Y, \mathcal{N}, \nu)$  be a measured space such that  $\mathcal{B}_Y \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ . Let  $\nu$  be finite. Let Y be upper  $v_Y$ -Ahlfors regular with respect to X. Let  $s_1 \in [0, v_Y[$ . Let  $x \in X, v \in T_xX, |v| = 1$ . Let a kernel  $K \in \mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_1+1,1}(X \times Y)$  satisfy the following assumptions

 $D_{X,v}K(x,y)$  exists in  $\mathbb{C}$   $\forall y \in Y \setminus \{x\}$ ,  $D_{X,v} \int_Y K(x,y) d\nu(y)$  exists in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $\mu \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then the function  $\int_Y K(\cdot, y)\mu(y) d\nu(y)$  admits a derivative with respect to v at the point x, the function  $D_{X,v}K(x,y)(\mu(y)-\mu(x))$  is  $\nu$ -integrable in the variable  $y \in Y$  and the following formula holds

$$D_{X,v} \int_{Y} K(x,y)\mu(y) \, d\nu(y)$$

$$= \int_{Y} [D_{X,v}K(x,y)](\mu(y) - \mu(x)) \, d\nu(y) + \mu(x)D_{X,v} \int_{Y} K(x,y) \, d\nu(y)$$
(5.1)

(see Definition 6 for  $D_{X,v}$ ).

*Proof.* By the existence of  $D_{X,v} \int_Y K(x,y) d\nu(y)$  and by the elementary equality

$$\int_{Y} K(x, y)\mu(y) \, d\nu(y)$$
  
=  $\int_{Y} K(x, y)(\mu(y) - \mu(x)) \, d\nu(y) + \mu(x) \int_{Y} K(x, y) \, d\nu(y)$ 

(cf. Proposition 4.1), the existence of  $D_{X,v} \int_Y K(x,y)\mu(y) d\nu(y)$  is equivalent to the existence of  $D_{X,v} \int_Y K(x,y)(\mu(y) - \mu(x)) d\nu(y)$  and in the case of existence, we have

$$D_{X,v} \int_{Y} K(x,y)\mu(y) \, d\nu(y) = D_{X,v} \int_{Y} K(x,y)(\mu(y) - \mu(x)) \, d\nu(y)$$

$$+ D_{X,v}\mu(x) \int_{Y} K(x,y) \, d\nu(y) + \mu(x)D_{X,v} \int_{Y} K(x,y) \, d\nu(y) \,.$$
(5.2)

We now turn to show the existence of

$$D_{X,v} \int_{Y} K(x,y)(\mu(y) - \mu(x)) \, d\nu(y)$$
(5.3)

and to compute it. Let  $\{x_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $X \setminus \{x\}$  such that

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} x_j = x, \qquad v = \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{x_j - x}{|x_j - x|}.$$

By the existence of  $D_{X,v}K(x,y)$ ,  $D_{X,v}\mu(x)$  and by the continuity of  $K(\cdot,y)$  and  $\mu$  at x, we have

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{1}{|x_j - x|} \left[ K(x_j, y)(\mu(y) - \mu(x_j)) - K(x, y)(\mu(y) - \mu(x)) \right]$$

$$= D_{X,v} K(x, y)(\mu(y) - \mu(x)) - K(x, y) D_{X,v} \mu(x) \qquad \forall y \in Y \setminus \{x\}.$$
(5.4)

We now turn to show the existence of the limit associated to the directional derivative of the integral in (5.3) by applying the Vitali Convergence Theorem. If  $E \in \mathcal{N}$ , the Lipschitz continuity of  $\mu$ , Lemma 3.4 with  $\theta = 1$  and Lemma 4.1 imply that

$$\begin{split} &\int_{E} \frac{1}{|x_{j} - x|} \left| K(x_{j}, y)(\mu(y) - \mu(x_{j})) - K(x, y)(\mu(y) - \mu(x)) \right| \, d\nu(y) \\ &\leq \int_{E \cap \mathbb{B}_{n}(x, 2|x_{j} - x|)} \frac{1}{|x_{j} - x|} \left| K(x_{j}, y)(\mu(y) - \mu(x_{j})) \right| \\ &\quad + \int_{E \cap \mathbb{B}_{n}(x, 2|x_{j} - x|)} \frac{1}{|x_{j} - x|} \left| K(x, y)(\mu(y) - \mu(x)) \right| \\ &\quad + \int_{E \setminus \mathbb{B}_{n}(x, 2|x_{j} - x|)} \frac{1}{|x_{j} - x|} \left| K(x_{j}, y)(\mu(y) - \mu(x_{j})) \right| \\ &\quad - K(x, y)(\mu(y) - \mu(x)) \right| \, d\nu(y) \\ &\leq \left\| H[K, \mu] \right\|_{\mathcal{K}_{s_{1} - 1, s_{1} + 1 - 1, 1 - (1 - 1)}} \left\{ \int_{E \cap \mathbb{B}_{n}(x_{j}, 3|x_{j} - x|)} \frac{1}{|x_{j} - x|} \frac{d\nu(y)}{|x_{j} - y|^{s_{1} - 1}} \\ &\quad + \int_{E \cap \mathbb{B}_{n}(x, 2|x_{j} - x|)} \frac{1}{|x_{j} - x|} \frac{d\nu(y)}{|x - y|^{s_{1} - 1}} \\ &\quad + \int_{E \cap \mathbb{B}_{n}(x, 2|x_{j} - x|)} \frac{1}{|x_{j} - x|} \frac{|x_{j} - x|^{1}}{|x - y|^{s_{1} - 1}} \\ &\quad + \int_{E \setminus \mathbb{B}_{n}(x, 2|x_{j} - x|)} \frac{1}{|x_{j} - x|} \frac{|x_{j} - x|^{s_{1} - 1}}{|x - y|^{s_{1} - 1}} \\ &\quad + \int_{E \setminus \mathbb{B}_{n}(x, 2|x_{j} - x|)} \frac{1}{|x_{j} - x|} \frac{|x_{j} - x|^{1}}{|x - y|^{s_{1} - 1}} \\ &\quad + \int_{E \setminus \mathbb{B}_{n}(x, 2|x_{j} - x|)} \frac{1}{|x_{j} - x|} \frac{|x_{j} - x|^{s_{1} - 1}}{|x - y|^{s_{1} - 1}} \\ &\quad + \int_{E \setminus \mathbb{B}_{n}(x, 2|x_{j} - x|)} \frac{1}{|x_{j} - x|} \frac{|x_{j} - x|^{s_{1} - 1}}{|x - y|^{s_{1} - 1}} \\ &\quad + \int_{E \setminus \mathbb{B}_{n}(x, 2|x_{j} - x|)} \frac{1}{|x_{j} - x|} \frac{|x_{j} - x|^{s_{1} - 1}}{|x - y|^{s_{1} - 1}} \\ &\quad + \int_{E \setminus \mathbb{B}_{n}(x, 2|x_{j} - x|)} \frac{1}{|x_{j} - x|} \frac{|x_{j} - x|^{s_{1} - 1}}{|x - y|^{s_{1} - 1}} \\ &\quad + \int_{E \setminus \mathbb{B}_{n}(x, 2|x_{j} - x|)} \frac{1}{|x_{j} - x|} \frac{|x_{j} - x|^{s_{1} - 1}}{|x - y|^{s_{1} - 1}} \\ &\quad + \int_{E \setminus \mathbb{B}_{n}(x, 2|x_{j} - x|)} \frac{1}{|x_{j} - x|} \frac{|x_{j} - x|^{s_{1} - 1}}}{|x - y|^{s_{1} - 1}} \\ &\quad + \int_{E \setminus \mathbb{B}_{n}(x, 2|x_{j} - x|)} \frac{1}{|x_{j} - x|} \frac{|x_{j} - x|^{s_{1} - 1}}}{|x_{j} - x|^{s_{1} - 1}}} \\ &\quad + \int_{E \setminus \mathbb{B}_{n}(x, 2|x_{j} - x|^{s_{1} - 1}} \frac{1}{|x_{j} - x|} \frac{|x_{j} - x|^{s_{1} - 1}}}{|x_{j} - x|^{s_{1} - 1}}} \\ &\quad + \int_{E \setminus \mathbb{B}_{n}(x, 2|x_{j} - x|^{s_{1} - 1})} \frac{1}{|x_{j} - x|^{s_{1} - 1}} \frac{1}{|x_{j} - x|^{s_{1} - 1}} \\ &\quad + \int_{E \setminus \mathbb{B}_{n}(x, 2|x_{j} - 1}) \frac{1}{|x_{j} - x|^{s_{1} - 1}}} \frac{1}{|x_{j} - x|^{s_{1}$$

for all  $a \in ]0, r_{X,Y,v_Y}[$  and  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , where the last summand in the braces is absent if  $s_1 = 0$ . Now let  $\epsilon \in ]0, +\infty[$ . Then we choose  $a \in ]0, r_{X,Y,v_Y}[$  such that

$$\|H[K,\mu]\|_{\mathcal{K}_{s_1-1,s_1,1}}c_{X,Y,v_Y}\frac{v_Y}{v_Y-s_1}a^{v_Y-s_1} \le \epsilon/3$$

and  $j_{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\|H[K,\mu]\|_{\mathcal{K}_{s_1-1,s_1,1}} \left\{ 3^{v_Y-(s_1-1)} c_{s_1-1,X,Y}''|x_j-x|^{v_Y-s_1} + 2^{v_Y-(s_1-1)} c_{s_1-1,X,Y}''|x_j-x|^{v_Y-s_1} \right\} \le \epsilon/3$$

for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $j \geq j_{\epsilon}$ . Thus if  $E \in \mathcal{N}$  satisfies the inequality

$$||H[K,\mu]||_{\mathcal{K}_{s_1-1,s_1,1}}\nu(E)a^{-s_1} \le \epsilon/3$$

we have

$$\int_{E} \frac{1}{|x_j - x|} \left| K(x_j, y)(\mu(y) - \mu(x_j)) - K(x, y)(\mu(y) - \mu(x)) \right| \, d\nu(y) \le \epsilon$$

for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $j \ge j_{\epsilon}$ . Then the pointwise convergence of (5.4) and the Vitali Convergence Theorem imply that the pointwise limit of (5.4) is integrable in  $y \in Y \setminus \{x\}$  and that

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{Y} \frac{1}{|x_j - x|} \left[ K(x_j, y)(\mu(y) - \mu(x_j)) - K(x, y)(\mu(y) - \mu(x)) \right] d\nu(y) = \int_{Y} D_{X,v} K(x, y)(\mu(y) - \mu(x)) - K(x, y) D_{X,v} \mu(x) d\nu(y) .$$
(5.5)

By our assumptions, K(x, y) is integrable in  $y \in Y \setminus \{x\}$  and  $D_{X,v}\mu$  is bounded. Hence,  $D_{X,v}K(x,y)(\mu(y) - \mu(x))$  is integrable in  $y \in Y \setminus \{x\}$  and the right hand side of (5.5) equals

$$\int_{Y} D_{X,v} K(x,y)(\mu(y) - \mu(x)) \, d\nu(y) - \int_{Y} K(x,y) D_{X,v} \mu(x) \, d\nu(y)$$

Hence,

$$D_{X,v} \int_{Y} K(x,y)(\mu(y) - \mu(x)) \, d\nu(y)$$
  
=  $\int_{Y} D_{X,v} K(x,y)(\mu(y) - \mu(x)) \, d\nu(y) - \int_{Y} K(x,y) \, d\nu(y) D_{X,v}\mu(x)$ 

and formula (5.2) implies the validity of the formula of the statement.

## 6 Tangential derivatives of weakly singular integral operators on embedded manifolds of $\mathbb{R}^n$ whose kernels have singular derivatives

Since a compact manifold Y of class  $C^1$  that is embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can be proved to be (n-1)-upper Ahlfors regular and each  $C^1$  function on Y can be extended to a  $C^1$  function in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with compact support (cf. *e.g.*, proof of Theorem 2.85 of Dalla Riva, the author and Musolino [4]), the differentiation Theorem 5.1 implies the validity of the following theorem, which is a variant of a known result. For the definition of tangential gradient  $\operatorname{grad}_Y$ , we refer *e.g.*, to Kirsch and Hettlich [11, A.5], Chavel [2, Chapter 1].

**Theorem 6.1.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Let Y be a compact manifold of class  $C^1$  that is embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $s_1 \in [0, (n-1)[$ . Let the kernel  $K \in \mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_1+1,1}(Y \times Y)$  satisfy the following assumptions

$$K(\cdot, y) \in C^1(Y \setminus \{y\}) \qquad \forall y \in Y, \qquad \int_Y K(\cdot, y) \, d\sigma_y \in C^1(Y).$$

Let  $\operatorname{grad}_{Y,x} K(\cdot, \cdot)$  denote the tangential gradient of  $K(\cdot, \cdot)$  with respect to the first variable. Let  $\mu \in C^1(Y)$ . Then the function  $\int_Y K(\cdot, y)\mu(y) \, d\sigma_y$  is of class  $C^1(Y)$ , the function  $[\operatorname{grad}_{Y,x} K(x, y)](\mu(y) - \mu(x))$  is integrable in the variable  $y \in Y$  for all  $x \in Y$  and the following formula holds for the tangential gradient of  $\int_Y K(\cdot, y)\mu(y) \, d\nu(y)$ 

$$\operatorname{grad}_{Y} \int_{Y} K(x, y) \mu(y) \, d\sigma_{y}$$

$$= \int_{Y} [\operatorname{grad}_{Y, x} K(x, y)](\mu(y) - \mu(x)) \, d\sigma_{y} + \mu(x) \operatorname{grad}_{Y} \int_{Y} K(x, y) \, d\sigma_{y} \,,$$

$$(6.1)$$

for all  $x \in Y$ .

Next we prove formula (6.1) for the tangential gradient under weaker assumptions for  $\mu$ . To do so, however we must strenghten our assumptions on the kernel.

**Theorem 6.2.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Let Y be a compact manifold of class  $C^1$  that is embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $s_1 \in [0, (n-1)[$ . Let  $\beta \in ]0, 1]$ ,  $t_1 \in ]0, (n-1) + \beta[$ . Let the kernel  $K \in \mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_1+1,1}(Y \times Y)$  satisfy the following assumptions

$$K(\cdot, y) \in C^{1}(Y \setminus \{y\}) \quad \forall y \in Y, \qquad \int_{Y} K(\cdot, y) \, d\sigma_{y} \in C^{1}(Y),$$
  
grad<sub>Y,x</sub> $K(\cdot, \cdot) \in (\mathcal{K}_{t_{1}, Y \times Y})^{n},$ 

where  $\operatorname{grad}_{Y,x} K(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the tangential gradient of  $K(\cdot, \cdot)$  with respect to the first variable. Let  $\mu \in C_b^{0,\beta}(Y)$ . Then the function  $\int_Y K(\cdot, y)\mu(y) \, d\sigma_y$  is of class  $C^1(Y)$ , the function  $[\operatorname{grad}_{Y,x} K(x, y)](\mu(y) - \mu(x))$  is integrable in  $y \in Y$  for all  $x \in Y$  and formula (6.1) for the tangential gradient of  $\int_Y K(\cdot, y)\mu(y) \, d\sigma_y$  holds true.

Proof. We plan to prove the statement by approximating  $\mu$  by functions of class  $C^1(Y)$  for which we know that the statement is true by Theorem 6.1. By the Mc Shane extension Theorem, there exists  $\tilde{\mu} \in C_b^{0,\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  that extends  $\mu$  (cf. *e.g.*, Mc Shane [16], Björk [1, Prop. 1] Kufner, John and Fučik [12, Thm. 1.8.3]). Possibly multiplying  $\tilde{\mu}$  by a function of class  $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we can assume that  $\tilde{\mu}$  has a compact support. Next we wish to approximate  $\tilde{\mu}$  by functions of class  $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by means of a standard family of mollifiers  $\{\eta_{\epsilon}\}_{\epsilon \in [0,+\infty[}$  with

$$\operatorname{supp} \eta_{\epsilon} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{B}_n(0,\epsilon)}, \qquad \eta_{\epsilon} \ge 0, \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_{\epsilon} \, dx = 1 \qquad \forall \epsilon \in ]0, +\infty[$$

(cf. e.g., Dalla Riva, the author and Musolino [4, A. 11]). Thus we set

$$\mu_l(x) \equiv \tilde{\mu} * \eta_{2^{-l}}(x) \qquad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \,,$$

for all  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . By known properties of the convolution, we have  $\mu_l \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for each  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover,

$$\lim_{l \to \infty} \mu_l = \tilde{\mu} \qquad \text{uniformly in } \mathbb{R}^n \,.$$

We also observe that the Young inequality for the convolution implies that

$$\sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mu_l| \le \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\tilde{\mu}| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\eta_{2^{-l}}(y)| \, dy = \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\tilde{\mu}| \qquad \forall l \in \mathbb{N} \,.$$

Then we note that  $|\mu_l : \mathbb{R}^n|_{\beta} \leq |\tilde{\mu} : \mathbb{R}^n|_{\beta}$  for all  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Indeed, if  $x', x'' \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu_{l}(x') - \mu_{l}(x'')| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\tilde{\mu}(x'-y) - \tilde{\mu}(x''-y)|\eta_{2^{-l}}(y) \, dy \\ &\leq |\tilde{\mu}: \mathbb{R}^{n}|_{\beta} |x'-x''|^{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \eta_{2^{-l}}(y) \, dy = |\tilde{\mu}: \mathbb{R}^{n}|_{\beta} |x'-x''|^{\beta} \, .\end{aligned}$$

Then the sequence  $\{\mu_{l|Y}\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in  $C^{0,\beta}(Y)$  and converges uniformly to  $\mu$  in Y. Now let  $\beta' \in ]0, \beta[, 0 < t_1 - \beta' < n - 1$ . By the compactness of the embedding of  $C^{0,\beta}(Y)$  into  $C^{0,\beta'}(Y)$ , possibly selecting a subsequence, we can assume that

$$\lim_{l \to \infty} \mu_{l|Y} = \mu \qquad \text{in } C^{0,\beta'}(Y) \,.$$

By Lemma 3.4 (i), we have

$$\lim_{l \to \infty} \operatorname{grad}_{Y,x} K(x,y)(\mu_l(y) - \mu(x)) = \operatorname{grad}_{Y,x} K(x,y)(\mu(y) - \mu(x))$$

in  $\mathcal{K}_{t_1-\beta',Y\times Y}$ . Since  $0 < t_1 - \beta' < n - 1$ , the Hille-Tamarkin Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 4.3 imply that

$$\lim_{l \to \infty} \int_{Y} \operatorname{grad}_{Y,x} K(x, y)(\mu_{l}(y) - \mu_{l}(x)) \, d\sigma_{y}$$
$$= \int_{Y} \operatorname{grad}_{Y,x} K(x, y)(\mu(y) - \mu(x)) \, d\sigma_{y} \quad \text{uniformly in } x \in Y$$

and that  $\int_Y \operatorname{grad}_{Y,x} K(\cdot, y)(\mu_l(y) - \mu_l(\cdot)) d\sigma_y$  is continuous in Y for each  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the validity of formula (6.1) for  $\mu_l$  implies that

$$\lim_{l \to \infty} \operatorname{grad}_{Y,x} \int_{Y} K(x,y) \mu_{l}(y) \, d\sigma_{y}$$

$$= \int_{Y} [\operatorname{grad}_{Y,x} K(x,y)](\mu(y) - \mu(x)) \, d\nu(y) + \mu(x) \operatorname{grad}_{Y} \int_{Y} K(x,y) \, d\sigma_{y}$$
(6.2)

uniformly in  $x \in Y$ . Since  $K \in \mathcal{K}_{s_1,Y \times Y}$  and  $s_1 < n-1$ , again Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 4.3 imply that

$$\lim_{l \to \infty} \int_Y K(x, y) \mu_l(y) \, d\sigma_y = \int_Y K(x, y) \mu(y) \, d\sigma_y \tag{6.3}$$

uniformly in  $x \in Y$  and that  $\int_Y K(\cdot, y)\mu_l(y) d\sigma_y$  is continuous in Y for each  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . By (6.2) and (6.3), we deduce that  $\int_Y K(\cdot, y)\mu(y) d\sigma_y$  belongs to  $C^1(Y)$  and that formula (6.1) for its tangential gradient holds true.

By combining Proposition 4.4 and the previous theorem, we can now prove a continuity theorem for the integral operator with kernel K and with values into a Schauder space on a compact manifold Y of class  $C^1$ . For the definition of the Schauder spaces  $C^{1,\beta}(Y)$  and  $C^{1,\omega(\cdot)}(Y)$  of all functions  $\mu$  of class  $C^1$  on Y such that the tangential gradient of  $\mu$  is  $\beta$ -Hölder continuous and  $\omega(\cdot)$ -Hölder continuous, respectively or for an equivalent definition based on a finite family of parametrizations of Y, we refer for example to Dondi and the author [5, § 2], Dalla Riva, the author and Musolino [4, § 2.20].

**Theorem 6.3.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Let Y be a compact manifold of class  $C^1$  that is embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $s_1 \in [0, (n-1)[$ . Let  $\beta \in ]0, 1]$ ,  $t_1 \in [\beta, (n-1) + \beta[$ ,  $t_2 \in [\beta, +\infty[$ ,  $t_3 \in ]0, 1]$ . Let the kernel  $K \in \mathcal{K}_{s_1,s_1+1,1}(Y \times Y)$  satisfy the following assumption

$$K(\cdot, y) \in C^1(Y \setminus \{y\}) \quad \forall y \in Y.$$

Let  $\operatorname{grad}_{Y,x} K(\cdot, \cdot)$  denote the tangential gradient of  $K(\cdot, \cdot)$  with respect to the first variable.

- (i) If  $t_1 < (n-1)$  and  $\operatorname{grad}_{Y,x} K(\cdot, \cdot) \in (\mathcal{K}_{t_1, t_2, t_3}(Y \times Y))^n$ , then the following statements hold.
  - (a) If  $t_2 \beta > (n-1)$ ,  $t_2 < (n-1) + \beta + t_3$  and  $\int_Y K(\cdot, y) \, d\sigma_y \in C^{1, \min\{\beta, (n-1) + t_3 + \beta - t_2\}}(Y) \,,$

then the map from  $C^{0,\beta}(Y)$  to  $C^{1,\min\{\beta,(n-1)+t_3+\beta-t_2\}}(Y)$  that takes  $\mu$  to the function  $\int_Y K(\cdot, y)\mu(y) d\sigma_y$  is linear and continuous.

(aa) If  $t_2 - \beta = (n - 1)$  and

$$\int_Y K(\cdot, y) \, d\sigma_y \in C^{1, \max\{r^\beta, \omega_{t_3}(\cdot)\}}(Y) \,,$$

then the map from  $C^{0,\beta}(Y)$  to  $C^{1,\max\{r^{\beta},\omega_{t_3}(\cdot)\}}(Y)$  that takes  $\mu$  to the function  $\int_Y K(\cdot,y)\mu(y) d\sigma_y$  is linear and continuous.

(ii) If 
$$t_1 = (n-1)$$
 and  $\operatorname{grad}_{Y,x} K(\cdot, \cdot) \in \left(\mathcal{K}_{t_1, t_2, t_3}^{\sharp}(Y \times Y)\right)^n$ , then the following statements hold.

(b) If  $t_2 - \beta > (n-1)$ ,  $t_2 < (n-1) + \beta + t_3$  and

$$\int_Y K(\cdot, y) \, d\sigma_y \in C^{1,\min\{\beta,(n-1)+t_3+\beta-t_2\}}(Y) \,,$$

then the map from  $C^{0,\beta}(Y)$  to  $C^{1,\min\{\beta,(n-1)+t_3+\beta-t_2\}}(Y)$  that takes  $\mu$  to the function  $\int_Y K(\cdot, y)\mu(y) d\sigma_y$  is linear and continuous.

(bb) If  $t_2 - \beta = (n - 1)$  and

$$\int_Y K(\cdot, y) \, d\sigma_y \in C^{1, \max\{r^\beta, \omega_{t_3}(\cdot)\}}(Y) \,,$$

then the map from  $C^{0,\beta}(Y)$  to  $C^{1,\max\{r^{\beta},\omega_{t_3}(\cdot)\}}(Y)$  that takes  $\mu$  to the function  $\int_Y K(\cdot,y)\mu(y) d\sigma_y$  is linear and continuous.

- (iii) If  $t_1 > (n-1)$  and  $\operatorname{grad}_{Y,x} K(\cdot, \cdot) \in (\mathcal{K}_{t_1,t_2,t_3}(Y \times Y))^n$ , then the following statements hold.
  - (c) If  $t_2 \beta > (n-1)$ ,  $t_2 < (n-1) + \beta + t_3$  and

$$\int_{Y} K(\cdot, y) \, d\sigma_y \in C^{1, \min\{\beta, (n-1) + \beta - t_1, (n-1) + t_3 + \beta - t_2\}}(Y) \, d\sigma_y \in C^{1, \min\{\beta, (n-1) + \beta - t_1, (n-1) + t_3 + \beta - t_2\}}(Y)$$

then the map from  $C^{0,\beta}(Y)$  to  $C^{1,\min\{\beta,(n-1)+\beta-t_1,(n-1)+t_3+\beta-t_2\}}(Y)$  that takes  $\mu$  to the function  $\int_Y K(\cdot, y)\mu(y) \, d\sigma_y$  is linear and continuous.

(cc) If  $t_2 - \beta = (n - 1)$  and

$$\int_Y K(\cdot, y) \, d\sigma_y \in C^{1, \max\{r^\beta, r^{(n-1)+\beta-t_1}, \omega_{t_3}(\cdot)\}}(Y) \,,$$

then the map from  $C^{0,\beta}(Y)$  to  $C^{1,\max\{r^{\beta},r^{(n-1)+\beta-t_1},\omega_{t_3}(\cdot)\}}(Y)$  that takes  $\mu$  to the function  $\int_Y K(\cdot,y)\mu(y) d\sigma_y$  is linear and continuous.

Proof. Since Y is a compact manifold of class  $C^1$  that is embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , Y can be proved to be strongly upper (n-1)-Ahlfors regular with respect to Y. By Theorem 6.2, the function  $\int_Y K(\cdot, y)\mu(y) d\sigma_y$  is of class  $C^1(Y)$  for all  $\mu \in C^{0,\beta}(Y)$  and formula (6.1) for the tangential gradient of  $\int_Y K(\cdot, y)\mu(y) d\sigma_y$  holds true under any of the assumptions of (i)–(iii). Next, we consider statement (i). Under the assumptions of (a), Proposition 4.4 (i) implies that map

from 
$$C^{0,\beta}(Y)$$
 to  $C^{0,\min\{\beta,(n-1)+t_3+\beta-t_2\}}(Y)$ ,

which takes  $\mu$  to the function  $\int_{Y} [\operatorname{grad}_{Y,x} K(x,y)](\mu(y) - \mu(x)) \, d\sigma_y$  is linear and continuous. By our assumption on  $\int_{Y} K(\cdot, y) \, d\sigma_y$ , the map

from 
$$C^{0,\beta}(Y)$$
 to  $C^{0,\min\{\beta,\beta,(n-1)+t_3+\beta-t_2\}}(Y)$ ,

which takes  $\mu$  to  $\mu(\cdot) \operatorname{grad}_Y \int_Y K(\cdot, y) \, d\sigma_y$  is linear and continuous. Then formula (6.1) implies that the map

from 
$$C^{0,\beta}(Y)$$
 to  $C^{0,\min\{\beta,\beta,(n-1)+t_3+\beta-t_2\}}(Y)$ 

that takes  $\mu$  to  $\operatorname{grad}_{Y,x} \int_Y K(\cdot, y)\mu(y) d\sigma_y$  is linear and continuous. By Propositions 4.1 and 4.3, the map from  $C^{0,\beta}(Y)$  to  $C^0(Y)$  that takes  $\mu$  to  $\int_Y K(\cdot, y)\mu(y) d\sigma_y$  is linear and continuous. Hence, we deduce the validity of (a) of statement (i). The proof of (aa) follows the lines of the proof of statement (a) by invoking statement (aa) instead of statement (a) of (i) of Proposition 4.4.

The proofs of statements (ii) and (iii) follow the lines of that of statement (i) by invoking statements (ii) and (iii) instead of statement (i) of Proposition 4.4. In case of statement (iii) (c) we also observe that the pointwise product is bilinear and continuous

from 
$$C^{0,\beta}(Y) \times C^{0,\min\{\beta,(n-1)+\beta-t_1,(n-1)+t_3+\beta-t_2\}}(Y)$$
  
to  $C^{0,\min\{\beta,(n-1)+\beta-t_1,(n-1)+t_3+\beta-t_2\}}(Y)$ .

In the case of statement (iii) (cc) we also observe that the pointwise product is bilinear and continuous

from  $C^{0,\beta}(Y) \times C^{0,\max\{r^{\beta},r^{(n-1)+\beta-t_1},\omega_{t_3}(\cdot)\}}(Y)$  to  $C^{0,\max\{r^{\beta},r^{(n-1)+\beta-t_1},\omega_{t_3}(\cdot)\}}(Y)$ 

(cf. e.g., Dondi and the author  $[5, \S 2]$ ).

#### Acknowledgment

The author acknowledges the support of the Research Project GNAMPA-INdAM CUP\_E53C22001930001 'Operatori differenziali e integrali in geometria spettrale'.

#### References

- [1] J.E. Björk, On extensions of Lipschitz functions. Ark. Mat. 7 (1969), 513–515.
- [2] I. Chavel, *Eigenvalues in Riemannian geometry*. Including a chapter by Burton Randol. With an appendix by Jozef Dodziuk. Pure and Applied Mathematics, 115. Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, 1984.
- [3] D. Colton, R. Kress, Integral equation methods in scattering theory, Wiley, New York, 1983.
- M. Dalla Riva, M. Lanza de Cristoforis, P. Musolino, Singularly perturbed boundary value problems. A functional analytic approach, Springer, Cham, 2021.
- [5] F. Dondi, M. Lanza de Cristoforis, Regularizing properties of the double layer potential of second order elliptic differential operators, Mem. Differ. Equ. Math. Phys. 71 (2017), 69–110.
- [6] J. García-Cuerva, A.E. Gatto, Boundedness properties of fractional integral operators associated to non-doubling measures. Studia Math. 162(3) (2004), 245–261.
- [7] J. García-Cuerva, A.E. Gatto, Lipschitz spaces and Calderón-Zygmund operators associated to non-doubling measures. Publ. Mat. 49 (2005), no. 2, 285–296.
- [8] A.E. Gatto, Boundedness on inhomogeneous Lipschitz spaces of fractional integrals singular integrals and hypersingular integrals associated to non-doubling measures. Collect. Math. 60, 1 (2009), 101–114.
- T.G. Gegelia, Certain special classes of functions and their properties. (Russian), Sakharth. SSR Mecn. Akad. Math. Inst. Šrom. 32 (1967), 94–139.
- [10] G. Giraud, Équations à intégrales principales; étude suivie d'une application. (French) Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 51 (1934), 251–372.
- [11] A. Kirsch, F. Hettlich, The mathematical theory of time-harmonic Maxwell's equations; expansion-, integral-, and variational methods, Springer, 2015.
- [12] A. Kufner, O. John, S. Fučik, Function spaces, Noordhoff International Publishing 1977.
- [13] V.D. Kupradze, T.G. Gegelia, M.O. Basheleishvili, T.V. Burchuladze, Three-dimensional problems of the mathematical theory of elasticity and thermoelasticity, North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam, 1979.
- [14] M. Lanza de Cristoforis, An inequality for Hölder continuous functions generalizing a result of Carlo Miranda. In Computational and Analytic Methods in Science and Engineering, C. Constanda (ed.), pages 185–206. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2020.
- [15] M. Lanza de Cristoforis, Integral operators in Hölder spaces on upper Ahlfors regular sets, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl., 34 (2023), 195–234.
- [16] E.J. Mc Shane, Extensions of the range of functions. Bull. Am. Math. Soc., 40 (1934), 837–842.

M. Lanza de Cristoforis Dipartimento di Matematica 'Tullio Levi-Civita', Università degli Studi di Padova, Via Trieste 63, Padova I-35121,Italy E-mail: mldc@math.unipd.it