

ISSN (Print): 2077-9879
ISSN (Online): 2617-2658

Eurasian Mathematical Journal

2023, Volume 14, Number 1

Founded in 2010 by
the L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University
in cooperation with
the M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University
the Peoples' Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University)
the University of Padua

Starting with 2018 co-funded
by the L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University
and
the Peoples' Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University)

Supported by the ISAAC
(International Society for Analysis, its Applications and Computation)
and
by the Kazakhstan Mathematical Society

Published by
the L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University
Astana, Kazakhstan

EURASIAN MATHEMATICAL JOURNAL

Editorial Board

Editors-in-Chief

V.I. Burenkov, M. Otelbaev, V.A. Sadovnichy

Vice-Editors-in-Chief

K.N. Ospanov, T.V. Tararykova

Editors

Sh.A. Alimov (Uzbekistan), H. Begehr (Germany), T. Bekjan (Kazakhstan), O.V. Besov (Russia), N.K. Blied (Kazakhstan), N.A. Bokayev (Kazakhstan), A.A. Borubaev (Kyrgyzstan), G. Bourdaud (France), A. Caetano (Portugal), M. Carro (Spain), A.D.R. Choudary (Pakistan), V.N. Chubarikov (Russia), A.S. Dzumadildaev (Kazakhstan), V.M. Filippov (Russia), H. Ghazaryan (Armenia), M.L. Goldman (Russia), V. Goldshtein (Israel), V. Guliyev (Azerbaijan), D.D. Haroske (Germany), A. Hasanoglu (Turkey), M. Huxley (Great Britain), P. Jain (India), T.Sh. Kalmenov (Kazakhstan), B.E. Kangyzhin (Kazakhstan), K.K. Kenzhibayev (Kazakhstan), S.N. Kharin (Kazakhstan), E. Kissin (Great Britain), V.I. Korzyuk (Belarus), A. Kufner (Czech Republic), L.K. Kussainova (Kazakhstan), P.D. Lamberti (Italy), M. Lanza de Cristoforis (Italy), F. Lanzara (Italy), V.G. Maz'ya (Sweden), K.T. Mynbayev (Kazakhstan), E.D. Nursultanov (Kazakhstan), R. Oinarov (Kazakhstan), I.N. Parasidis (Greece), J. Pečarić (Croatia), S.A. Plaksa (Ukraine), L.-E. Persson (Sweden), E.L. Presman (Russia), M.A. Ragusa (Italy), M.D. Ramazanov (Russia), M. Reissig (Germany), M. Ruzhansky (Great Britain), M.A. Sadybekov (Kazakhstan), S. Sagitov (Sweden), T.O. Shaposhnikova (Sweden), A.A. Shkalikov (Russia), V.A. Skvortsov (Poland), G. Sinnamon (Canada), E.S. Smailov (Kazakhstan), V.D. Stepanov (Russia), Ya.T. Sultanaev (Russia), D. Suragan (Kazakhstan), I.A. Taimanov (Russia), J.A. Tussupov (Kazakhstan), U.U. Umirbaev (Kazakhstan), Z.D. Usmanov (Tajikistan), N. Vasilevski (Mexico), Dachun Yang (China), B.T. Zhumagulov (Kazakhstan)

Managing Editor

A.M. Temirkhanova

Aims and Scope

The Eurasian Mathematical Journal (EMJ) publishes carefully selected original research papers in all areas of mathematics written by mathematicians, principally from Europe and Asia. However papers by mathematicians from other continents are also welcome.

From time to time the EMJ publishes survey papers.

The EMJ publishes 4 issues in a year.

The language of the paper must be English only.

The contents of the EMJ are indexed in Scopus, Web of Science (ESCI), Mathematical Reviews, MathSciNet, Zentralblatt Math (ZMATH), Referativnyi Zhurnal – Matematika, Math-Net.Ru.

The EMJ is included in the list of journals recommended by the Committee for Control of Education and Science (Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan) and in the list of journals recommended by the Higher Attestation Commission (Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation).

Information for the Authors

Submission. Manuscripts should be written in LaTeX and should be submitted electronically in DVI, PostScript or PDF format to the EMJ Editorial Office through the provided web interface (www.enu.kz).

When the paper is accepted, the authors will be asked to send the tex-file of the paper to the Editorial Office.

The author who submitted an article for publication will be considered as a corresponding author. Authors may nominate a member of the Editorial Board whom they consider appropriate for the article. However, assignment to that particular editor is not guaranteed.

Copyright. When the paper is accepted, the copyright is automatically transferred to the EMJ. Manuscripts are accepted for review on the understanding that the same work has not been already published (except in the form of an abstract), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, and that it has been approved by all authors.

Title page. The title page should start with the title of the paper and authors' names (no degrees). It should contain the Keywords (no more than 10), the Subject Classification (AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) with primary (and secondary) subject classification codes), and the Abstract (no more than 150 words with minimal use of mathematical symbols).

Figures. Figures should be prepared in a digital form which is suitable for direct reproduction.

References. Bibliographical references should be listed alphabetically at the end of the article. The authors should consult the Mathematical Reviews for the standard abbreviations of journals' names.

Authors' data. The authors' affiliations, addresses and e-mail addresses should be placed after the References.

Proofs. The authors will receive proofs only once. The late return of proofs may result in the paper being published in a later issue.

Offprints. The authors will receive offprints in electronic form.

Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice

For information on Ethics in publishing and Ethical guidelines for journal publication see <http://www.elsevier.com/publishingethics> and <http://www.elsevier.com/journal-authors/ethics>.

Submission of an article to the EMJ implies that the work described has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture or academic thesis or as an electronic preprint, see <http://www.elsevier.com/postingpolicy>), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright-holder. In particular, translations into English of papers already published in another language are not accepted.

No other forms of scientific misconduct are allowed, such as plagiarism, falsification, fraudulent data, incorrect interpretation of other works, incorrect citations, etc. The EMJ follows the Code of Conduct of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), and follows the COPE Flowcharts for Resolving Cases of Suspected Misconduct (<http://publicationethics.org/files/u2/NewCode.pdf>). To verify originality, your article may be checked by the originality detection service CrossCheck <http://www.elsevier.com/editors/plagdetect>.

The authors are obliged to participate in peer review process and be ready to provide corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed. All authors of a paper should have significantly contributed to the research.

The reviewers should provide objective judgments and should point out relevant published works which are not yet cited. Reviewed articles should be treated confidentially. The reviewers will be chosen in such a way that there is no conflict of interests with respect to the research, the authors and/or the research funders.

The editors have complete responsibility and authority to reject or accept a paper, and they will only accept a paper when reasonably certain. They will preserve anonymity of reviewers and promote publication of corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed. The acceptance of a paper automatically implies the copyright transfer to the EMJ.

The Editorial Board of the EMJ will monitor and safeguard publishing ethics.

The procedure of reviewing a manuscript, established by the Editorial Board of the Eurasian Mathematical Journal

1. Reviewing procedure

1.1. All research papers received by the Eurasian Mathematical Journal (EMJ) are subject to mandatory reviewing.

1.2. The Managing Editor of the journal determines whether a paper fits to the scope of the EMJ and satisfies the rules of writing papers for the EMJ, and directs it for a preliminary review to one of the Editors-in-chief who checks the scientific content of the manuscript and assigns a specialist for reviewing the manuscript.

1.3. Reviewers of manuscripts are selected from highly qualified scientists and specialists of the L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University (doctors of sciences, professors), other universities of the Republic of Kazakhstan and foreign countries. An author of a paper cannot be its reviewer.

1.4. Duration of reviewing in each case is determined by the Managing Editor aiming at creating conditions for the most rapid publication of the paper.

1.5. Reviewing is confidential. Information about a reviewer is anonymous to the authors and is available only for the Editorial Board and the Control Committee in the Field of Education and Science of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan (CCFES). The author has the right to read the text of the review.

1.6. If required, the review is sent to the author by e-mail.

1.7. A positive review is not a sufficient basis for publication of the paper.

1.8. If a reviewer overall approves the paper, but has observations, the review is confidentially sent to the author. A revised version of the paper in which the comments of the reviewer are taken into account is sent to the same reviewer for additional reviewing.

1.9. In the case of a negative review the text of the review is confidentially sent to the author.

1.10. If the author sends a well reasoned response to the comments of the reviewer, the paper should be considered by a commission, consisting of three members of the Editorial Board.

1.11. The final decision on publication of the paper is made by the Editorial Board and is recorded in the minutes of the meeting of the Editorial Board.

1.12. After the paper is accepted for publication by the Editorial Board the Managing Editor informs the author about this and about the date of publication.

1.13. Originals reviews are stored in the Editorial Office for three years from the date of publication and are provided on request of the CCFES.

1.14. No fee for reviewing papers will be charged.

2. Requirements for the content of a review

2.1. In the title of a review there should be indicated the author(s) and the title of a paper.

2.2. A review should include a qualified analysis of the material of a paper, objective assessment and reasoned recommendations.

2.3. A review should cover the following topics:

- compliance of the paper with the scope of the EMJ;
- compliance of the title of the paper to its content;
- compliance of the paper to the rules of writing papers for the EMJ (abstract, key words and phrases, bibliography etc.);
- a general description and assessment of the content of the paper (subject, focus, actuality of the topic, importance and actuality of the obtained results, possible applications);
- content of the paper (the originality of the material, survey of previously published studies on the topic of the paper, erroneous statements (if any), controversial issues (if any), and so on);

- exposition of the paper (clarity, conciseness, completeness of proofs, completeness of bibliographic references, typographical quality of the text);
- possibility of reducing the volume of the paper, without harming the content and understanding of the presented scientific results;
- description of positive aspects of the paper, as well as of drawbacks, recommendations for corrections and complements to the text.

2.4. The final part of the review should contain an overall opinion of a reviewer on the paper and a clear recommendation on whether the paper can be published in the Eurasian Mathematical Journal, should be sent back to the author for revision or cannot be published.

Web-page

The web-page of the EMJ is www.emj.enu.kz. One can enter the web-page by typing Eurasian Mathematical Journal in any search engine (Google, Yandex, etc.). The archive of the web-page contains all papers published in the EMJ (free access).

Subscription

Subscription index of the EMJ 76090 via KAZPOST.

E-mail

eurasianmj@yandex.kz

The Eurasian Mathematical Journal (EMJ)
The Astana Editorial Office
The L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University
Building no. 3
Room 306a
Tel.: +7-7172-709500 extension 33312
13 Kazhymukan St
010008 Astana, Kazakhstan

The Moscow Editorial Office
The Peoples' Friendship University of Russia
(RUDN University)
Room 473
3 Ordzonikidze St
117198 Moscow, Russia

**ON THE LAGRANGE MULTIPLIER RULE
FOR MINIMIZING SEQUENCES**

A.V. Arutyunov, S.E. Zhukovskiy

Communicated by V.I. Burenkov

Key words: constraint optimization, Lagrange multiplier rule, optimality condition, minimizing sequence, Caristi-like condition.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 49K27.

Abstract. In the paper, an optimization problem with equality-type constraints is studied. It is assumed that the minimizing function and the functions defining the constraints are Frechet differentiable, the set of the admissible points is nonempty and the minimizing function is bounded below on the set of admissible points. Under these assumptions we obtain an estimate of the derivative of the Lagrange function. Moreover, we prove the existence of a minimizing sequence $\{x^n\}$ and a sequence of unit Lagrange multipliers $\{\lambda^n\}$ such that the sequence of the values of derivative of the Lagrange function at the point (x^n, λ^n) tends zero. This result is a generalization of the known assertion stating that for a bounded below differentiable function f there exists a minimizing sequence $\{x^n\}$ such that the values of the derivative $f'(x^n)$ tend to zero. As an auxiliary tool, there was introduced and studied the property of the directional covering for mappings between normed spaces. There were obtained sufficient conditions of directional covering for Frechet differentiable mappings.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32523/2077-9879-2023-14-1-08-15>

1 Introduction

Let X be a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|$. Denote by $B_X(x, r)$ a closed ball centered at $x \in X$ with radius $r \geq 0$. Let X^* stand for the topological dual to X and stand $\|\cdot\|_*$ for the norm of X^* .

Given a positive integer k and Frechet differentiable functions $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_k : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, consider the optimization problem

$$f_0(x) \rightarrow \min, \quad f_1(x) = 0, \quad \dots, \quad f_k(x) = 0. \quad (1.1)$$

Define the Lagrange function $L : X \times \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by the formula

$$L(x, \lambda) := \lambda_0 f_0(x) + \lambda_1 f_1(x) + \dots + \lambda_k f_k(x), \quad x \in X, \quad \lambda = (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}.$$

Denote the set of all admissible points by \mathcal{M} , i.e.

$$\mathcal{M} := \{x \in X : f_1(x) = \dots = f_k(x) = 0\}.$$

The Lagrange multiplier rule (see, for example, [10, Section 1.2]) states that if a point $\hat{x} \in X$ is a local solution to problem (1.1), then there exists a nonzero vector $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ such that $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x}(\hat{x}, \lambda) = 0$ and $\lambda_0 \geq 0$.

In this paper, we show that if a function f_0 is bounded from below on $\mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$ then there exist sequences $\{x^n\} \subset \mathcal{M}$ and $\{\lambda^n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ such that $\left\| \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}(x^n, \lambda^n) \right\|_* \rightarrow 0$, $f_0(x^n) \rightarrow \inf_{x \in \mathcal{M}} f_0(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\|\lambda^n\| = 1$ for every n . This result is an analog of the known result for unconstrained optimization problem stating that for a bounded below differentiable functional f_0 on X there exists a minimizing sequence $\{x^n\}$ such that $\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x}(x^n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (see, for example, [6, Chapter 5, Section 3]).

Moreover, in this paper, we obtain an estimate of the derivative of the Lagrange function. When X is a Hilbert space, similar estimates for the first-order and the second-order derivatives were obtained in [2] and [3]. For the unconstrained optimization problem the estimates of the first-order and the second-order derivatives of the minimizing function were obtained in [7, §2.5.2].

2 Main results

Given $x_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ and $R > 0$, denote

$$\gamma(x_0, R) := \inf\{f_0(x) : x \in \mathcal{M} \cap B_X(x_0, R)\}.$$

Here $\gamma(x_0, R)$ may take the value $-\infty$. However, in what follows, we will assume that $\gamma(x_0, R) > -\infty$. Note also that $f_0(x_0) - \gamma(x_0, R) \geq 0$ for every $x_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ and $R > 0$.

Theorem 2.1. *Given a point $x_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ and a number $R > 0$, assume that*

$$\gamma(x_0, R) > -\infty.$$

Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist vectors $\lambda = (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ and $\hat{x} \in \mathcal{M} \cap B_X(x_0, R)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda\| = 1, \quad \lambda_0 \geq 0, \quad f_0(\hat{x}) \leq f_0(x_0), \\ \left\| \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}(\hat{x}, \lambda) \right\|_* \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \lambda_0 \frac{f_0(x_0) - \gamma(x_0, R)}{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Note that if the set $\mathcal{M} \cap B_X(x_0, R)$ contains a point x for which the vectors $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x}(x)$, $i = \overline{0, k}$ are linearly dependent and $f_0(x) \leq f_0(x_0)$, then the proposition of Theorem 2.1 trivially holds. In this case, $\hat{x} = x$ and the unit vector $\lambda = (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ satisfying the equality $\sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x}(x) = 0$ and the inequality $\lambda_0 \geq 0$ is the desired one (if $\lambda_0 < 0$ then we take $-\lambda$ instead of λ). In this case, the left-hand side of (2.1) equals zero and the right-hand side is nonnegative.

If the vectors $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x}(x)$, $i = \overline{0, k}$ are linearly independent on the set $\{x \in \mathcal{M} \cap B_X(x_0, R) : f_0(x) \leq f_0(x_0)\}$ then the proposition of Theorem 2.1 is nontrivial. In this case, inequality (2.1) implies that $\lambda_0 > 0$.

Note also that inequality (2.1) implies the following weaker estimate

$$\left\| \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}(\hat{x}, \lambda) \right\|_* \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \frac{f_0(x_0) - \gamma(x_0, R)}{R}, \quad (2.2)$$

since $\|\lambda\| = 1$.

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that the function f_0 is bounded from below on \mathcal{M} . Then there exist sequences of vectors $\{x^n\} \subset \mathcal{M}$ and $\{\lambda^n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ such that*

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x}(x^n, \lambda^n) \rightarrow 0, \quad f_0(x^n) \rightarrow \inf_{x \in \mathcal{M}} f_0(x) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \|\lambda^n\| = 1 \quad \forall n.$$

The proofs of these theorems are presented in Section 4. Let us now discuss the ideas of proofs of these assertions.

Given a point $x_0 \in X$ and a number $R \geq 0$, we put $v := (-1, 0, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$, $F := (f_0, f_1, \dots, f_k)$. Since $\gamma(x_0, R)$ is the infimum of f_0 over the admissible set \mathcal{M} , the points $F(x_0) + \mu v$, $\mu \geq 0$ do not belong to $F(B_X(x_0, R))$ as $\mu > f_0(x_0) - \gamma(x_0, R)$. If the mapping F is $\bar{\alpha}$ -covering in the direction v at every point $x \in \mathcal{M} \cap B_X(x_0, R)$ such that $f_0(x) \leq f_0(x_0)$ (i.e. $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(x)X = Y$ and $\sup\left\{\alpha \geq 0 : \alpha v \in \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(x)B_X(0, 1)\right\} \geq \bar{\alpha}$) then $F(x_0) + \mu v \in F(B_X(x_0, R))$ for $\mu \in [0, \alpha R]$. This assertion is Lemma 3.1 below. These reasonings imply that there exists a point \hat{x} such that F is $\bar{\alpha}$ -covering with the constant $\bar{\alpha}$ not exceeding the right-hand side of inequality (2.1). Inequality (2.1) simply follows from this fact (see Lemma 3.2 below). To prove Theorem 2.2, it is enough to take an arbitrary minimizing sequence $\{x_0^n\}$ and apply Theorem 2.1 as $x_0 := x_0^n$, $R := 1$ and $\varepsilon := 1$ for every n .

3 Auxiliary assertions

In this section, we prove two auxiliary assertions: Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2. In the proof of Lemma 3.1, we will use the following minimum existence conditions from [1, Theorem 3] (see also [8, Lemma 1]).

Theorem 3.1. *Given a complete metric space (M, ρ) , a lower semicontinuous function $U : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and a number $\alpha > 0$, assume that the function U satisfies the Caristi-like condition*

$$\forall x \in M : \quad U(x) > 0 \quad \exists x' \in M \setminus \{x\} : \quad U(x') + \alpha \rho(x, x') \leq U(x). \quad (3.1)$$

Then for every $x_0 \in M$ there exists a point $\bar{x} \in M$ such that $U(\bar{x}) = 0$ and $\rho(x_0, \bar{x}) \leq \alpha^{-1}U(x_0)$.

Let Y be a finite-dimensional linear space with a norm $\|\cdot\|$. Denote by Y^* a dual space to Y . We denote the value of the functional $\lambda \in Y^*$ on the vector $y \in Y$ by $\langle \lambda, y \rangle$. An analogous notation we will use for the functionals from X^* . Denote the unit sphere in Y by S , i.e.

$$S := \{v \in Y : \|v\| = 1\}.$$

For an arbitrary linear bounded operator $A : X \rightarrow Y$ we denote by $A^* : Y^* \rightarrow X^*$ the adjoint operator to A . For an arbitrary vector $v \in S$ we put

$$\text{cov}(A|v) := \sup\{\alpha \geq 0 : \quad \alpha v \in AB_X(0, 1)\}.$$

It is a straightforward task to ensure that $\text{cov}(A|v) > 0$ if and only if $v \in AX$.

Lemma 3.1. *Given a Frechet differentiable mapping $F : X \rightarrow Y$, vectors $x_0 \in X$, $v \in S$ and a number $R > 0$, assume that*

$$(i) \quad \bar{\alpha} := \inf\left\{\text{cov}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(x) \middle| v\right) : x \in B_X(x_0, R), \quad F(x) \in F(x_0) + \text{cone}\{v\}\right\} > 0;$$

$$(ii) \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(x)X = Y \quad \forall x \in B_X(x_0, R) : F(x) \in F(x_0) + \text{cone}\{v\}.$$

Then

$$F(x_0) + \alpha r v \in F(B_X(x_0, r)) \quad \forall r \in [0, R], \quad \forall \alpha \in (0, \bar{\alpha}).$$

Proof. Fix an arbitrary $r \in [0, R]$ and $\alpha \in (0, \bar{\alpha})$. Put

$$M := \{x \in X : F(x) - F(x_0) - s\alpha r v = 0, \|x - x_0\| \leq sr, s \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Obviously, the set M is nonempty, since it contains the point x_0 . Moreover, M is closed, since F is continuous. Define a functional $U : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by the formula

$$U(x) = \|F(x) - F(x_0) - \alpha r v\|, \quad x \in M. \quad (3.2)$$

To prove the lemma it is enough to show that there exists a point $\bar{x} \in M$ such that $U(\bar{x}) = 0$. To prove this assertion we will apply Theorem 3.1.

Obviously, the functional U is continuous and nonnegative. So, it is enough to prove that U satisfies the Caristi-like condition (3.1).

Fix an arbitrary $x \in M$ such that $U(x) > 0$ and show that there exists a point $x' \in M \setminus \{x\}$ such that

$$U(x') + \alpha \|x - x'\| \leq U(x). \quad (3.3)$$

The definition of M implies that there exists $t \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$F(x) = F(x_0) + t\alpha r v, \quad \|x - x_0\| \leq tr. \quad (3.4)$$

Since $U(x) = \|F(x) - F(x_0) - \alpha r v\| > 0$, we have $t < 1$.

Put $A := \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(x)$. It follows from the assumption (i) that $\text{cov}(A|v) \geq \bar{\alpha} > 0$. Hence, $\bar{\alpha} > (\alpha + \bar{\alpha})/2$ by virtue of the choice of α . The definition of $\text{cov}(A|v)$ implies that there exists a vector $e \in B_X(0, 1)$ such that

$$Ae = \frac{\alpha + \bar{\alpha}}{2} v.$$

Since $AX = Y$ by virtue of (ii), we have that there exists a linear operator $R : Y \rightarrow X$ such that

$$e = R\left(\frac{\alpha + \bar{\alpha}}{2} v\right) \quad \text{and} \quad ARy \equiv y. \quad (3.5)$$

Since the mapping F is differentiable, we have

$$F(x + \xi) = F(x) + A\xi + o(\xi), \quad \xi \in X, \quad (3.6)$$

where $o : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous mapping such that there exists $\delta > 0$, for which the following relation takes place

$$\|o(\xi)\| \leq \frac{\bar{\alpha} - \alpha}{\|R\|(\bar{\alpha} + \alpha)} \|\xi\| \quad \forall \xi \in B_X(0, \delta). \quad (3.7)$$

Reducing δ we obtain that

$$0 < \delta < r - tr. \quad (3.8)$$

Note that when we reduce δ , relation (3.7) remains true.

Consider the equation

$$\xi = R(\alpha\delta v - o(\xi))$$

with the unknown $\xi \in B_X(0, \delta)$. Define a mapping $\Phi : B_X(0, \delta) \rightarrow B_X(0, \delta)$ by the formula

$$\Phi(\xi) := R(\alpha\delta v - o(\xi)), \quad \xi \in B_X(0, \delta).$$

This mapping is well-defined, i.e. $\|R(\alpha\delta v - o(\xi))\| \leq \delta$ for every $\xi \in B_X(0, \delta)$, since

$$\|R(\alpha\delta v - o(\xi))\| \leq \|\alpha\delta Rv\| + \|Ro(\xi)\| \stackrel{(3.5)}{\leq} \frac{2\alpha\delta}{\alpha + \bar{\alpha}} + \|Ro(\xi)\| \stackrel{(3.7)}{\leq} \delta$$

$$\stackrel{(3.7)}{\leq} \frac{2\alpha\delta}{\alpha + \bar{\alpha}} + \frac{\bar{\alpha} - \alpha}{\bar{\alpha} + \alpha} \|\xi\| \leq \frac{2\alpha\delta}{\alpha + \bar{\alpha}} + \frac{\bar{\alpha} - \alpha}{\bar{\alpha} + \alpha} \delta = \delta \quad \forall \xi \in B_X(0, \delta).$$

Moreover, the mapping Φ is compact and continuous since $o(\cdot)$ is continuous and the linear operator $R : Y \rightarrow X$ has a finite-dimensional image (recall that the space Y is finite-dimensional). Thus, the Schauder fixed-point theorem (see, for example, [11, Section 2.1]) implies that there exists a point $\xi' \in B_X(0, \delta)$ such that $\xi' = \Phi(\xi')$. Therefore,

$$\xi' = R(\alpha\delta v - o(\xi')), \quad \|\xi'\| \leq \delta. \quad (3.9)$$

Put

$$x' := x + \xi'. \quad (3.10)$$

Let us show that $x' \in M \setminus \{x\}$. We have

$$F(x') - F(x_0) = \alpha r \left(t + \frac{\delta}{r} \right) v, \quad \|x_0 - x'\| \leq r \left(t + \frac{\delta}{r} \right), \quad (3.11)$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} F(x') &\stackrel{(3.10)}{=} F(x + \xi') \stackrel{(3.6)}{=} F(x) + A\xi' + o(\xi') \stackrel{(3.9)}{=} F(x) + AR(\alpha\delta v - o(\xi')) + o(\xi') \stackrel{(3.5)}{=} \\ &\stackrel{(3.5)}{=} F(x) + \alpha\delta v \stackrel{(3.4)}{=} t\alpha r v + \alpha\delta v + F(x_0) = \alpha r v \left(t + \frac{\delta}{r} \right) + F(x_0); \\ \|x_0 - x'\| &\leq \|x_0 - x\| + \|x - x'\| \stackrel{(3.4)}{\leq} tr + \|x - x'\| \stackrel{(3.10)}{=} tr + \|\xi'\| \stackrel{(3.9)}{\leq} r \left(t + \frac{\delta}{r} \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.8) that the inequality $t + \frac{\delta}{r} < 1$ takes place. Therefore, relation (3.11) and the definition of M implies $x' \in M$. Moreover, $Rv \neq 0$ by virtue of (3.5). Therefore, $\xi' \neq 0$ by virtue of (3.9). So, relation (3.10) implies that $x' \neq x$. Hence, we have $x' \in M \setminus \{x\}$.

Let us prove that (3.3) holds. We have

$$\begin{aligned} U(x') &\stackrel{(3.2)}{=} \|F(x') - F(x_0) - \alpha r v\| \stackrel{(3.11)}{=} \|t\alpha r v + \alpha\delta v - \alpha r v\| = \left\| ((r - tr) - \delta)\alpha v \right\| \stackrel{(3.8)}{=} \\ &\stackrel{(3.8)}{=} \left\| (r - tr)\alpha v \right\| - \|\delta \cdot \alpha v\| = \|t\alpha r v - \alpha r v\| - \|\alpha\delta v\| \stackrel{(3.4)}{=} \|F(x) - F(x_0) - \alpha r v\| - \|\alpha\delta v\| \stackrel{(3.9)}{\leq} \\ &\stackrel{(3.9)}{\leq} \|F(x) - F(x_0) - \alpha r v\| - \alpha \|\xi'\| \stackrel{(3.2)}{=} U(x) - \alpha \|\xi'\| \stackrel{(3.10)}{=} U(x) - \alpha \|x - x'\|. \end{aligned}$$

So, it is shown that there exists a point $x' \in M \setminus \{x\}$ such that relation (3.3) holds. Therefore, the Caristi-like condition (3.1) holds for the function U .

It is shown that all the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 hold. This theorem implies that there exists a point $\bar{x} \in M$ such that $U(\bar{x}) = 0$. The definitions of the set M and the functional U imply that $\bar{x} \in B_X(x_0, r)$ and $F(x_0) + \alpha r v = F(\bar{x})$. Therefore, $F(x_0) + \alpha r v \in F(B_X(x_0, r))$. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Given a linear bounded operator $A : X \rightarrow Y$ and a vector $v \in S$, there exists a nonzero functional $\lambda \in Y^*$ such that*

$$\|A^* \lambda\|_* \leq -\langle \lambda, v \rangle \text{cov}(A|v).$$

Here, obviously, $\langle \lambda, v \rangle \leq 0$.

Proof. Put $c := \text{cov}(A|v)$. The point cv does not belong to the interior of the set $AB_X(0, 1)$. Otherwise, the inclusion $(\delta + c)v \in AB_X(0, 1)$ takes place for a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$, so $\text{cov}(A|v) > c$ in contradiction to the definition of c . Moreover, the set $AB_X(0, 1) \subset Y$ is convex.

By the finite-dimensional separability theorem (see, for example [4, Theorem 4.6]) there exists a nonzero $\lambda \in Y^*$ such that $\langle \lambda, Ax \rangle \geq \langle \lambda, v \rangle c$ for any $x \in B_X(0, 1)$. Therefore, $\langle A^* \lambda, x \rangle \geq \langle \lambda, v \rangle c$ for every $x \in B_X(0, 1)$. So, $-\|A^* \lambda\|_* \geq \langle \lambda, v \rangle c$. Therefore, $\|A^* \lambda\|_* \leq -\langle \lambda, v \rangle c$. \square

4 Proofs of the main results

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Take an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. Consider the set

$$M := \{x \in B_X(x_0, R) \cap \mathcal{M} : f_0(x) \leq f_0(x_0)\}.$$

Two cases may occur: either there exists a point $x \in M$ such that the vectors $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x}(x)$, $i = \overline{0, k}$ are linearly dependent or these vectors are linearly independent for every $x \in M$. In the first case, the point $\hat{x} = x$ is the desired one (see the comments after the formulation of Theorem 2.1).

Consider the second case: the vectors $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x}(x)$, $i = \overline{0, k}$ are linearly independent for every $x \in M$. Then the Lagrange multiplier rule imply that the point x_0 is not a point of local minimum of f_0 under the constraints $f_1(x) = \dots = f_k(x) = 0$ (see, for example, [9] or [5]). Thus,

$$f_0(x_0) > \gamma(x_0, R). \quad (4.1)$$

Put $Y := \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$, $v := (-1, 0, \dots, 0) \in Y$. Define a mapping $F : X \rightarrow Y$ by the formula

$$F(x) := (f_0(x), f_1(x), \dots, f_k(x)), \quad x \in X.$$

Obviously, the mapping F is differentiable and satisfies the assumption (ii) of Lemma 3.1. Indeed, if $F(x) \in F(x_0) + \text{cone}\{v\}$ for some $x \in B_X(x_0, R)$, then by virtue of the choice of v we have $f_0(x) \leq f_0(x_0)$ and $x_0, x \in \mathcal{M}$, where $\mathcal{M} = \{\xi : f_1(\xi) = \dots = f_k(\xi) = 0\}$. Thus, the vectors $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x}(x)$, $i = \overline{0, k}$ are linearly independent.

Put

$$\alpha_0 := (1 + \varepsilon)(f_0(x_0) - \gamma(x_0, R))R^{-1}.$$

It follows from (4.1) that $\alpha_0 > 0$. Let us show that there exists a point $\hat{x} \in B_X(x_0, R)$ such that

$$F(\hat{x}) \in F(x_0) + \text{cone}\{v\} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{cov}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(\hat{x}) \middle| v\right) < \alpha_0. \quad (4.2)$$

Consider to the contrary that

$$\bar{\alpha} := \inf \left\{ \text{cov}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(x) \middle| v\right) : x \in B_X(x_0, R), F(x) \in F(x_0) + \text{cone}\{v\} \right\} \geq \alpha_0. \quad (4.3)$$

Then the assumption (i) of Lemma 3.1 holds, since $\alpha_0 > 0$.

Put

$$\alpha := (1 + 2^{-1}\varepsilon)(f_0(x_0) - \gamma(x_0, R))R^{-1}.$$

It follows from relations (4.1) and $\alpha < \alpha_0 \leq \bar{\alpha}$ that $\alpha \in (0, \bar{\alpha})$. Therefore, Lemma 3.1 implies that

$$F(x_0) + \alpha Rv \in F(B_X(x_0, R)).$$

Therefore, there exists a point $x \in B_X(x_0, R)$ such that $F(x_0) + \alpha Rv = F(x)$. Then the definition of the vector v implies that

$$f_0(x_0) - \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)(f_0(x_0) - \gamma(x_0, R)) = f_0(x), \quad f_i(x) = 0, \quad i = \overline{1, k}.$$

So, relation (4.1) and the definition of the mapping F imply that $f_0(x) < \gamma(x_0, R)$ and $x \in \mathcal{M} \cap B_X(x_0, R)$ which contradicts the definition of γ . Relation (4.2) is proved.

Applying Lemma 3.2 to the linear operator $A := \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(\hat{x})$ and the vector $v = (-1, 0, \dots, 0)$ we obtain that there exists a vector $\lambda \in Y^*$ such that $\|\lambda\| = 1$ and

$$\left\| \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(\hat{x}) \right)^* \lambda \right\|_* \leq -\langle \lambda, v \rangle \operatorname{cov} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(\hat{x}) \middle| v \right) = \lambda_0 \operatorname{cov} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(\hat{x}) \middle| v \right). \quad (4.4)$$

This inequality and the equality $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x}(\hat{x}, \lambda) = \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(\hat{x}) \right)^* \lambda$, imply that

$$\left\| \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}(\hat{x}, \lambda) \right\|_* \leq \lambda_0 \operatorname{cov} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(\hat{x}) \middle| v \right).$$

The definition of α_0 and the strict inequality in (4.2) imply (2.1). The inclusion (4.2) implies that $f_0(\hat{x}) \leq f_0(x_0)$. Inequality (4.4) and the relation $A^* \lambda \neq 0$ imply that $\lambda_0 \geq 0$. So, the vectors \hat{x} and λ are the desired ones. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Put

$$\gamma_0 := \inf_{x \in \mathcal{M}} f_0(x), \quad \varepsilon := 1.$$

Take an arbitrary sequence $x_0^n \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $f_0(x_0^n) \rightarrow \gamma_0$. Applying Theorem 2.1 at the point $x_0 = x_0^n$ as $R = 1$ we obtain that there exist sequences $\{x^n\} \subset \mathcal{M}$ and $\{\lambda^n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ such that $\|\lambda^n\| = 1$ for every n , $f_0(x^n) \leq f_0(x_0^n)$ for every n and

$$\left\| \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}(x^n, \lambda^n) \right\|_* \leq 2 \frac{f_0(x_0^n) - \gamma(x_0^n, R)}{R} \leq 2 \frac{f_0(x_0^n) - \gamma_0}{R} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The constructed sequences $\{x^n\} \subset \mathcal{M}$ and $\{\lambda^n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ are the desired ones. \square

Acknowledgments. Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 3.2 were obtained by the first author under the financial support of the Russian Science Foundation (project no. 20-11-20131). Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 3.1 were obtained by the second author under the financial support of the Russian Science Foundation (project no. 22-11-00042).

References

- [1] A.V. Arutyunov, *Caristi's condition and existence of a minimum of a lower bounded function in a metric space. Applications to the theory of coincidence points*. Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 291 (2015), no. 1, 24–37.
- [2] A.V. Arutyunov, *Necessary extremum conditions and an inverse function theorem without a priori normality assumptions*. Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 236 (2002), 25–36.
- [3] A. Arutyunov, N. Bobylev, S. Korovin, *One remark to Ekeland's variational principle*. Computers Math. Appl., 34 (1997), no. 2–4, 267–271.
- [4] A. Arutyunov, V. Obukhovskii, *Convex and set-valued analysis*. De Gruyter, Berlin, 2016.
- [5] A.V. Arutyunov, R.B. Vinter, *A simple "finite approximations" proof of the Pontryagin maximum principle under reduced differentiability hypotheses*. Set-Valued Var. Anal. 12 (2004), no. 1–2, 5–24.
- [6] J.-P. Aubin, I. Ekeland, *Applied nonlinear analysis*. J. Wiley & Sons, N.Y. 1984.
- [7] N.A. Bobylev, S.V. Emel'yanov, S.K. Korovin, *Geometrical methods in variational problems*. Magistr, Moscow, 1998.
- [8] M.J. Fabian, D. Preiss, *A generalization of the interior mapping theorem of Clarke and Pourciau*. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 28 (1987), no. 2, 311–324.
- [9] H. Halkin, *Implicit functions and optimization problems without continuous differentiability of the data*. SIAM J. Control. 12 (1974), 229–236.
- [10] A.D. Ioffe, V.M. Tihomirov, *Theory of extremal problems*. North-Holland Publ. Comp., Amsterdam, 1979.
- [11] L. Nirenberg, *Topics in nonlinear functional analysis*. Courant Inst. of Math. Sciences, 1974.

Aram Vladimirovich Arutyunov, Sergey Evgen'evich Zhukovskiy
V.A. Trapeznikov Institute of Control Sciences of RAS
65 Profsoyuznaya St,
117997 Moscow, Russia
E-mails: arutyunov@cs.msu.ru, s-e-zhuk@yandex.ru

Received: 09.12.2022