

ISSN (Print): 2077-9879
ISSN (Online): 2617-2658

Eurasian Mathematical Journal

2021, Volume 12, Number 1

Founded in 2010 by
the L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University
in cooperation with
the M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University
the Peoples' Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University)
the University of Padua

Starting with 2018 co-funded
by the L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University
and
the Peoples' Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University)

Supported by the ISAAC
(International Society for Analysis, its Applications and Computation)
and
by the Kazakhstan Mathematical Society

Published by
the L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University
Nur-Sultan, Kazakhstan

EURASIAN MATHEMATICAL JOURNAL

Editorial Board

Editors-in-Chief

V.I. Burenkov, M. Otelbaev, V.A. Sadovnichy

Vice-Editors-in-Chief

K.N. Ospanov, T.V. Tararykova

Editors

Sh.A. Alimov (Uzbekistan), H. Begehr (Germany), T. Bekjan (China), O.V. Besov (Russia), N.K. Blied (Kazakhstan), N.A. Bokayev (Kazakhstan), A.A. Borubaev (Kyrgyzstan), G. Bourdaud (France), A. Caetano (Portugal), M. Carro (Spain), A.D.R. Choudary (Pakistan), V.N. Chubarikov (Russia), A.S. Dzumadildaev (Kazakhstan), V.M. Filippov (Russia), H. Ghazaryan (Armenia), M.L. Goldman (Russia), V. Goldshtein (Israel), V. Guliyev (Azerbaijan), D.D. Haroske (Germany), A. Hasanoglu (Turkey), M. Huxley (Great Britain), P. Jain (India), T.Sh. Kalmenov (Kazakhstan), B.E. Kangyzhin (Kazakhstan), K.K. Kenzhibayev (Kazakhstan), S.N. Kharin (Kazakhstan), E. Kissin (Great Britain), V. Kokilashvili (Georgia), V.I. Korzyuk (Belarus), A. Kufner (Czech Republic), L.K. Kussainova (Kazakhstan), P.D. Lamberti (Italy), M. Lanza de Cristoforis (Italy), F. Lanzara (Italy), V.G. Maz'ya (Sweden), K.T. Mynbayev (Kazakhstan), E.D. Nursultanov (Kazakhstan), R. Oinarov (Kazakhstan), I.N. Parasidis (Greece), J. Pečarić (Croatia), S.A. Plaksa (Ukraine), L.-E. Persson (Sweden), E.L. Presman (Russia), M.A. Ragusa (Italy), M.D. Ramazanov (Russia), M. Reissig (Germany), M. Ruzhansky (Great Britain), M.A. Sadybekov (Kazakhstan), S. Sagitov (Sweden), T.O. Shaposhnikova (Sweden), A.A. Shkalikov (Russia), V.A. Skvortsov (Poland), G. Sinamon (Canada), E.S. Smailov (Kazakhstan), V.D. Stepanov (Russia), Ya.T. Sultanaev (Russia), D. Suragan (Kazakhstan), I.A. Taimanov (Russia), J.A. Tussupov (Kazakhstan), U.U. Umirbaev (Kazakhstan), Z.D. Usmanov (Tajikistan), N. Vasilevski (Mexico), Dachun Yang (China), B.T. Zhumagulov (Kazakhstan)

Managing Editor

A.M. Temirkhanova

Aims and Scope

The Eurasian Mathematical Journal (EMJ) publishes carefully selected original research papers in all areas of mathematics written by mathematicians, principally from Europe and Asia. However papers by mathematicians from other continents are also welcome.

From time to time the EMJ publishes survey papers.

The EMJ publishes 4 issues in a year.

The language of the paper must be English only.

The contents of the EMJ are indexed in Scopus, Web of Science (ESCI), Mathematical Reviews, MathSciNet, Zentralblatt Math (ZMATH), Referativnyi Zhurnal – Matematika, Math-Net.Ru.

The EMJ is included in the list of journals recommended by the Committee for Control of Education and Science (Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan) and in the list of journals recommended by the Higher Attestation Commission (Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation).

Information for the Authors

Submission. Manuscripts should be written in LaTeX and should be submitted electronically in DVI, PostScript or PDF format to the EMJ Editorial Office through the provided web interface (www.enu.kz).

When the paper is accepted, the authors will be asked to send the tex-file of the paper to the Editorial Office.

The author who submitted an article for publication will be considered as a corresponding author. Authors may nominate a member of the Editorial Board whom they consider appropriate for the article. However, assignment to that particular editor is not guaranteed.

Copyright. When the paper is accepted, the copyright is automatically transferred to the EMJ. Manuscripts are accepted for review on the understanding that the same work has not been already published (except in the form of an abstract), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, and that it has been approved by all authors.

Title page. The title page should start with the title of the paper and authors' names (no degrees). It should contain the Keywords (no more than 10), the Subject Classification (AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) with primary (and secondary) subject classification codes), and the Abstract (no more than 150 words with minimal use of mathematical symbols).

Figures. Figures should be prepared in a digital form which is suitable for direct reproduction.

References. Bibliographical references should be listed alphabetically at the end of the article. The authors should consult the Mathematical Reviews for the standard abbreviations of journals' names.

Authors' data. The authors' affiliations, addresses and e-mail addresses should be placed after the References.

Proofs. The authors will receive proofs only once. The late return of proofs may result in the paper being published in a later issue.

Offprints. The authors will receive offprints in electronic form.

Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice

For information on Ethics in publishing and Ethical guidelines for journal publication see <http://www.elsevier.com/publishingethics> and <http://www.elsevier.com/journal-authors/ethics>.

Submission of an article to the EMJ implies that the work described has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture or academic thesis or as an electronic preprint, see <http://www.elsevier.com/postingpolicy>), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright-holder. In particular, translations into English of papers already published in another language are not accepted.

No other forms of scientific misconduct are allowed, such as plagiarism, falsification, fraudulent data, incorrect interpretation of other works, incorrect citations, etc. The EMJ follows the Code of Conduct of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), and follows the COPE Flowcharts for Resolving Cases of Suspected Misconduct (<http://publicationethics.org/files/u2/NewCode.pdf>). To verify originality, your article may be checked by the originality detection service CrossCheck <http://www.elsevier.com/editors/plagdetect>.

The authors are obliged to participate in peer review process and be ready to provide corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed. All authors of a paper should have significantly contributed to the research.

The reviewers should provide objective judgments and should point out relevant published works which are not yet cited. Reviewed articles should be treated confidentially. The reviewers will be chosen in such a way that there is no conflict of interests with respect to the research, the authors and/or the research funders.

The editors have complete responsibility and authority to reject or accept a paper, and they will only accept a paper when reasonably certain. They will preserve anonymity of reviewers and promote publication of corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed. The acceptance of a paper automatically implies the copyright transfer to the EMJ.

The Editorial Board of the EMJ will monitor and safeguard publishing ethics.

The procedure of reviewing a manuscript, established by the Editorial Board of the Eurasian Mathematical Journal

1. Reviewing procedure

1.1. All research papers received by the Eurasian Mathematical Journal (EMJ) are subject to mandatory reviewing.

1.2. The Managing Editor of the journal determines whether a paper fits to the scope of the EMJ and satisfies the rules of writing papers for the EMJ, and directs it for a preliminary review to one of the Editors-in-chief who checks the scientific content of the manuscript and assigns a specialist for reviewing the manuscript.

1.3. Reviewers of manuscripts are selected from highly qualified scientists and specialists of the L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University (doctors of sciences, professors), other universities of the Republic of Kazakhstan and foreign countries. An author of a paper cannot be its reviewer.

1.4. Duration of reviewing in each case is determined by the Managing Editor aiming at creating conditions for the most rapid publication of the paper.

1.5. Reviewing is confidential. Information about a reviewer is anonymous to the authors and is available only for the Editorial Board and the Control Committee in the Field of Education and Science of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan (CCFES). The author has the right to read the text of the review.

1.6. If required, the review is sent to the author by e-mail.

1.7. A positive review is not a sufficient basis for publication of the paper.

1.8. If a reviewer overall approves the paper, but has observations, the review is confidentially sent to the author. A revised version of the paper in which the comments of the reviewer are taken into account is sent to the same reviewer for additional reviewing.

1.9. In the case of a negative review the text of the review is confidentially sent to the author.

1.10. If the author sends a well reasoned response to the comments of the reviewer, the paper should be considered by a commission, consisting of three members of the Editorial Board.

1.11. The final decision on publication of the paper is made by the Editorial Board and is recorded in the minutes of the meeting of the Editorial Board.

1.12. After the paper is accepted for publication by the Editorial Board the Managing Editor informs the author about this and about the date of publication.

1.13. Originals reviews are stored in the Editorial Office for three years from the date of publication and are provided on request of the CCFES.

1.14. No fee for reviewing papers will be charged.

2. Requirements for the content of a review

2.1. In the title of a review there should be indicated the author(s) and the title of a paper.

2.2. A review should include a qualified analysis of the material of a paper, objective assessment and reasoned recommendations.

2.3. A review should cover the following topics:

- compliance of the paper with the scope of the EMJ;
- compliance of the title of the paper to its content;
- compliance of the paper to the rules of writing papers for the EMJ (abstract, key words and phrases, bibliography etc.);
- a general description and assessment of the content of the paper (subject, focus, actuality of the topic, importance and actuality of the obtained results, possible applications);
- content of the paper (the originality of the material, survey of previously published studies on the topic of the paper, erroneous statements (if any), controversial issues (if any), and so on);

- exposition of the paper (clarity, conciseness, completeness of proofs, completeness of bibliographic references, typographical quality of the text);
- possibility of reducing the volume of the paper, without harming the content and understanding of the presented scientific results;
- description of positive aspects of the paper, as well as of drawbacks, recommendations for corrections and complements to the text.

2.4. The final part of the review should contain an overall opinion of a reviewer on the paper and a clear recommendation on whether the paper can be published in the Eurasian Mathematical Journal, should be sent back to the author for revision or cannot be published.

Web-page

The web-page of the EMJ is www.emj.enu.kz. One can enter the web-page by typing Eurasian Mathematical Journal in any search engine (Google, Yandex, etc.). The archive of the web-page contains all papers published in the EMJ (free access).

Subscription

Subscription index of the EMJ 76090 via KAZPOST.

E-mail

eurasianmj@yandex.kz

The Eurasian Mathematical Journal (EMJ)
The Nur-Sultan Editorial Office
The L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University
Building no. 3
Room 306a
Tel.: +7-7172-709500 extension 33312
13 Kazhymukan St
010008 Nur-Sultan, Kazakhstan

The Moscow Editorial Office
The Peoples' Friendship University of Russia
(RUDN University)
Room 562
Tel.: +7-495-9550968
3 Ordzonikidze St
117198 Moscow, Russia

SHAVKAT ARIFJANOVICH ALIMOV

(to the 75th birthday)



Shavkat Arifjanovich Alimov was born on March 2, 1945 in the city of Nukus, Uzbekistan. In 1968, he graduated from the Department of Mathematics of Physical Faculty of the M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University (MSU), receiving a diploma with honors. From 1968 to 1970, he was a post-graduate student in the same department under the supervision of Professor V.A. Il'in. He defended his PhD thesis in 1970. In May 1973, at the age of 28, he defended his doctoral thesis devoted to equations of mathematical physics. In 1973, for research on the spectral theory, he was awarded the highest youth prize of the USSR.

From 1974 to 1984, he worked as a professor in the Department of General Mathematics at the Faculty of Computational Mathematics and Cybernetics. In 1984, Sh.A. Alimov joined the Tashkent State University (TSU) as a professor. From 1985 to 1987 he worked as the Rector of the Samarkand State University, from 1987 to 1990 - the Rector of the TSU, from 1990 to 1992 - the Minister of Higher and Secondary Special Education of the Republic of Uzbekistan. From 1992 to 1994, he headed the Department of Mathematical Physics of the TSU.

After some years of diplomatic work, he continued his academic career as a professor of the Department of Mathematical Physics at the National University of Uzbekistan (NUU). From the first days of the opening of the Tashkent branch of the MSU in 2006, he worked as a professor in the Department of Applied Mathematics. From 2012 to 2017, he headed the Laboratory of Mathematical Modeling of the Malaysian Institute of Microelectronic Systems in Kuala Lumpur. From 2017 to 2019, he worked as a professor at the Department of Differential Equations and Mathematical Physics of the NUU. From 2019 to the present, Sh.A. Alimov is a Scientific Consultant at the Center for Intelligent Software Systems, and an adviser to the Rector of the NUU.

The main scientific activity of Sh.A. Alimov is connected with the spectral theory of partial differential equations and the theory of boundary value problems for equations of mathematical physics. He obtained series of remarkable results in these fields. They cover many important problems of the theory of Schrodinger equations with singular potentials, the theory of boundary control of the heat transfer process, the mathematical problems of peridynamics related to the theory of hypersingular integrals.

In 1984, Sh.A. Alimov was elected a corresponding member and in 2000 an academician of the Academy of Sciences of Uzbekistan. He was awarded several prestigious state prizes.

Sh.A. Alimov has over 150 published scientific and a large number of educational works. Among his pupils there are 10 doctors of sciences and more than 20 candidates of sciences (PhD) working at universities of Uzbekistan, Russia, USA, Finland, and Malaysia.

For about thirty years, Sh.A. Alimov has been actively involved in the reform of mathematical school education.

Sh.A. Alimov meets his 75th birthday in the prime of his life, and the Editorial Board of the Eurasian Mathematical Journal heartily congratulates him on his jubilee and wishes him good health, new successes in scientific and pedagogical activity, family well-being and long years of fruitful life.

COMPARISON OF MORREY SPACES AND NIKOL'SKII SPACES

V.I. Burenkov, V.S. Guliyev, T.V. Tararykova

Communicated by M.L. Gol'dman

Key words: Morrey spaces, Nikol'skii spaces.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 42B35, 46B50.

Abstract. We consider two popular function spaces: the Morrey spaces and the Nikol'skii spaces and investigate the relationship between them in the one-dimensional case. In particular, we prove that, under the appropriate assumptions on the numerical parameters, their restrictions to the class of functions f of the form $f(x) = g(|x|)$, where g is a non-negative non-increasing function on $[0, \infty)$, coincide.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32523/2077-9879-2021-12-1-09-20>

1 Introduction

We shall use the following notation. For a Lebesgue measurable set $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $0 < p \leq \infty$, $L_p(G)$ is the standard Lebesgue space of all functions f Lebesgue measurable on G for which

$$\|f\|_{L_p(G)} = \left(\int_G |f(y)|^p dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$$

if $0 < p < \infty$ and

$$\|f\|_{L_\infty(G)} = \text{ess sup}_{x \in G} |f(x)| < \infty$$

if $p = \infty$. Also, for an open set $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $L_p^{loc}(G)$ is the set of all functions f such that $f \in L_p(K)$ for any compact $K \subset G$. If $G = \mathbb{R}^n$ then, for brevity, we write L_p for $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and L_p^{loc} for $L_p^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The Morrey spaces M_p^λ , named after C.B. Morrey, were introduced by him in 1938 in [10] and defined as follows. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $f \in M_p^\lambda$ if $f \in L_p^{loc}$ and

$$\|f\|_{M_p^\lambda} \equiv \|f\|_{M_p^\lambda(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} r^{-\lambda} \|f\|_{L_p(B(x,r))} < \infty. \tag{1.1}$$

Here the notation is slightly altered compared with the original definition in [10], namely we write $r^{-\lambda}$ rather than $r^{-\frac{n-\lambda}{p}}$ for the reasons which will be clarified in this paper and explicitly stated in Concluding remark.

If $\lambda = 0$, then clearly

$$M_p^0 = L_p. \tag{1.2}$$

If $\lambda = \frac{n}{p}$, then

$$M_p^{\frac{n}{p}} = L_\infty. \tag{1.3}$$

If $\lambda > \frac{n}{p}$ or $\lambda < 0$, then

$$M_p^\lambda = \Theta,$$

where $\Theta \equiv \Theta(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of all functions equivalent to 0 on \mathbb{R}^n . So the admissible range of the parameters is

$$0 < p \leq \infty \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{n}{p}. \quad (1.4)$$

Discussion of basic properties of the Morrey spaces can be found in [15], [19], [21], [1]. Description of numerous results for various generalizations and variants of the Morrey spaces can be found in survey papers [5–7], [9], [16–18].

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set and, for $\delta > 0$, $\Omega_\delta = \{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) > \delta\}$. The Nikol'skii spaces $H_p^\lambda(\Omega)$ of all functions possessing common smoothness of order λ measured in the L_p metric, named after S.M. Nikol'skii, were introduced by him in 1951 in [11], [12] and defined as follows: $f \in H_p^\lambda(\Omega)$ if $f \in L_p(\Omega)$ and

$$\|f\|_{H_p^\lambda(\Omega)} = \|f\|_{L_p(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{\dot{H}_p^\lambda(\Omega)} < \infty,$$

where

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}_p^\lambda(\Omega)} = \sup_{h \in \mathbb{R}^n, h \neq 0} |h|^{-\lambda} \|\Delta_h^\sigma f\|_{L_p(\Omega_{\sigma|h|})}$$

and $\Delta_h^\sigma f$ is the difference of f of order $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$ with step h and $\sigma > \lambda$. (For a wide class of open sets Ω , in particular for $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$, for different $\sigma > \lambda$ the definitions are equivalent, see [2], [3], [14].) Detailed exposition of the theory of the Nikol'skii spaces and their generalizations can be found in [2], [14], [20].

We also write $H_p^\lambda \equiv H_p^\lambda(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and say that $f \in (H_p^\lambda)^{loc}$, if $f \in H_p^\lambda(B(0, r))$ for all $r > 0$.

Note that $\|\cdot\|_{M_p^\lambda}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{H}_p^\lambda}$ have the same behaviour with respect to dilations, that is for any $\varepsilon > 0$ for all admissible values of the parameters p and λ

$$\|f(\varepsilon x)\|_{M_p^\lambda} = \varepsilon^{\lambda - \frac{n}{p}} \|f(x)\|_{M_p^\lambda}, \quad \|f(\varepsilon x)\|_{\dot{H}_p^\lambda} = \varepsilon^{\lambda - \frac{n}{p}} \|f(x)\|_{\dot{H}_p^\lambda}. \quad (1.5)$$

Remark 1. Sometimes the number μ in the equality $\|f(\varepsilon x)\|_Z = \varepsilon^\mu \|f(x)\|_Z$ is called the differential dimension of the space Z . So the differential dimensions of the spaces M_p^λ and H_p^λ coincide and are equal to $\lambda - \frac{n}{p}$.

Example 1. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$|x|^\alpha \in (H_p^\lambda)^{loc} \iff |x|^\alpha \chi_{B(0,1)} \in M_p^\lambda \iff \alpha \geq \lambda - \frac{n}{p}.$$

2 Main result

Note that the space M_p^λ is not contained in L_p , while clearly the space H_p^λ is contained in L_p . For this reason in order to compare the spaces M_p^λ and H_p^λ it is natural to consider the following variant of the Morrey spaces

$$\widehat{M}_p^\lambda = M_p^\lambda \cap L_p$$

with the quasi-norm (norm if $1 \leq p \leq \infty$)

$$\|f\|_{\widehat{M}_p^\lambda} \equiv \|f\|_{\widehat{M}_p^\lambda(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|f\|_{M_p^\lambda(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The space M_p^λ is not monotonic with respect to the parameter λ . Indeed, by Example 1

$$M_p^\mu \not\subset M_p^\lambda$$

for any $0 \leq \lambda, \mu \leq \frac{n}{p}$, $\mu \neq \lambda$.

In contrast, the space \widehat{M}_p^λ , similarly to the space H_p^λ , is monotonic with respect to λ : if $0 \leq \lambda < \mu \leq \frac{n}{p}$, then

$$\widehat{M}_p^\mu \subset \widehat{M}_p^\lambda$$

and

$$\|f\|_{\widehat{M}_p^\lambda} \leq 2\|f\|_{\widehat{M}_p^\mu}.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\widehat{M}_p^\lambda} &= \sup_{r>0} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} r^{-\lambda} \|f\|_{L_p(B(x,r))} + \|f\|_{L_p} \\ &= \max \left\{ \sup_{0<r \leq 1} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} r^{-\lambda} \|f\|_{L_p(B(x,r))}, \sup_{r>1} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} r^{-\lambda} \|f\|_{L_p(B(x,r))} \right\} + \|f\|_{L_p} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \sup_{0<r \leq 1} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} r^{-\mu} \|f\|_{L_p(B(x,r))}, \|f\|_{L_p} \right\} + \|f\|_{L_p} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \|f\|_{M_p^\mu}, \|f\|_{L_p} \right\} + \|f\|_{L_p} \leq 2\|f\|_{\widehat{M}_p^\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote by $(H_p^\lambda)^\downarrow$ and $(\widehat{M}_p^\lambda)^\downarrow$ the subspaces of H_p^λ , \widehat{M}_p^λ respectively, consisting of all functions $f \in H_p^\lambda$, \widehat{M}_p^λ respectively, of the form $f(x) = g(|x|)$, where g is a non-negative non-increasing function on $[0, \infty)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $n = 1$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{p}$. Then*

$$H_p^\lambda \subset \widehat{M}_p^\lambda, \tag{2.1}$$

for any $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{p} - \lambda$

$$H_p^\lambda \not\subset \widehat{M}_p^{\lambda+\varepsilon},$$

for any $0 < \mu \leq \lambda$

$$\widehat{M}_p^\lambda \not\subset (H_p^\mu)^{loc},$$

and

$$(\widehat{M}_p^\lambda)^\downarrow = (H_p^\lambda)^\downarrow.$$

Remark 2. For $1 < p < \infty$ the first statement is proved in [8]; for any $0 < p < \infty$ it is proved in [4]. In [13] the n -dimensional case is considered and inclusion (2.1) is proved for $1 \leq p < \infty$, $0 < \lambda < \frac{n}{p}$. Here we follow the ideas of proofs developed in [4].

3 Auxiliary statements

First, we need to prove several auxiliary statements.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $h > 0$ and $f \in L_p(0, 2h)$.*

If $p = 1$, then

$$\int_0^h |f| dx \leq \int_h^{2h} |f| dx + \int_0^h |\Delta_h f| dx. \tag{3.1}$$

If $1 < p < \infty$, then for all $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\int_0^h |f|^p dx \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \int_h^{2h} |f|^p dx + C_p(\varepsilon) \int_0^h |\Delta_h f|^p dx, \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$C_p(\varepsilon) = \left(1 - (1 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{1-p}}\right)^{1-p}. \quad (3.3)$$

If f is non-negative and non-increasing on $(0, 2h)$, then in (3.1) there is equality, and for $1 < p < \infty$

$$\int_0^h |f|^p dx \geq \int_h^{2h} |f|^p dx + \int_0^h |\Delta_h f|^p dx. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. We start with the elementary inequality

$$|f(x)| \leq |f(x+h)| + |f(x+h) - f(x)|. \quad (3.5)$$

If $1 < p < \infty$, then by applying the inequality

$$(a+b)^p \leq (1+\varepsilon)a^p + C_p(\varepsilon)b^p,$$

where $a, b \geq 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $C_p(\varepsilon)$ is defined by (3.3), we get

$$|f(x)|^p \leq (1+\varepsilon)|f(x+h)|^p + C_p(\varepsilon)|f(x+h) - f(x)|^p. \quad (3.6)$$

By integrating (3.5) and (3.6) over $(0, h)$ we obtain (3.1), (3.2) respectively.

Let f be non-negative and non-increasing on $(0, 2h)$, then there is equality in (3.5) which implies, after integration, equality in (3.1). If $1 < p < \infty$, then by (3.5) with the equality sign

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)|^p &= (|f(x+h)| + |f(x+h) - f(x)|)^p \\ &\geq |f(x+h)|^p + |f(x+h) - f(x)|^p, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

which implies, after integration, inequality (3.4). \square

Lemma 3.2. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $h > 0$ and $f \in L_p(0, (k+1)h)$.

If $p = 1$, then

$$\int_0^h |f| dx \leq \int_{kh}^{(k+1)h} |f| dx + \int_0^{kh} |\Delta_h f| dx. \quad (3.8)$$

If $1 < p < \infty$, then for all $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\int_0^h |f|^p dx \leq \int_{kh}^{(k+1)h} |f|^p dx + \varepsilon \int_h^{(k+1)h} |f|^p dx + C_p(\varepsilon) \int_0^{kh} |\Delta_h f|^p dx. \quad (3.9)$$

If f is non-negative and non-increasing on $(0, (k+1)h)$, then there is equality in (3.8), and for $1 < p < \infty$

$$\int_0^h |f|^p dx \geq \int_{kh}^{(k+1)h} |f|^p dx + \int_0^{kh} |\Delta_h f|^p dx. \quad (3.10)$$

Proof. If $p = 1$, then from (3.5) it follows that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\int_{(m-1)h}^{mh} |f| dx \leq \int_{mh}^{(m+1)h} |f| dx + \int_{(m-1)h}^{mh} |\Delta_h f| dx. \quad (3.11)$$

Hence

$$\sum_{m=1}^k \int_{(m-1)h}^{mh} |f| dx \leq \sum_{m=1}^k \int_{mh}^{(m+1)h} |f| dx + \sum_{m=1}^k \int_{(m-1)h}^{mh} |\Delta_h f| dx$$

or

$$\int_0^h |f| dx + \int_h^{kh} |f| dx \leq \int_h^{kh} |f| dx + \int_{kh}^{(k+1)h} |f| dx + \int_0^{kh} |\Delta_h f| dx, \quad (3.12)$$

which implies (3.8).

If $1 < p < \infty$, then from (3.6), by a similar argument, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^h |f|^p dx + \int_h^{kh} |f|^p dx \\ & \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \int_h^{kh} |f|^p dx + (1 + \varepsilon) \int_{kh}^{(k+1)h} |f|^p dx + C_p(\varepsilon) \int_0^{kh} |\Delta_h f|^p dx, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (3.8).

Let f be non-negative and non-increasing on $(0, (k+1)h)$, then there is equality in (3.11), hence in (3.12) and (3.8). If $1 < p < \infty$, then by inequality (3.7) inequality (3.6) follows with $|f|$ and $|\Delta f|$ replaced by $|f|^p$ and $|\Delta f|^p$ and \leq replaced by \geq , hence inequality (3.7) follows with $|f|$ and $|\Delta f|$ replaced by $|f|^p$ and $|\Delta f|^p$ and \geq , which implies (3.10). \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $h > 0$ and $f \in L_p(0, nh)$.*

If $p = 1$, then

$$\int_0^h |f| dx \leq \frac{1}{n} \int_0^{nh} |f| dx + \int_0^{(n-1)h} |\Delta_h f| dx. \quad (3.13)$$

If $1 < p < \infty$, then for all $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\int_0^h |f|^p dx \leq \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{n} \int_0^{nh} |f|^p dx + C_p\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{n}\right) \int_0^{(n-1)h} |\Delta_h f|^p dx. \quad (3.14)$$

If f is non-negative and non-increasing on $(0, nh)$, then for $1 \leq p < \infty$

$$\int_0^h |f|^p dx \geq \int_0^{(n-1)h} |\Delta_h f|^p dx. \quad (3.15)$$

Proof. If $p = 1$, then from (3.8) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} n \int_0^h |f| dx & \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{kh}^{(k+1)h} |f| dx + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_0^{kh} |\Delta_h f| dx \\ & \leq \int_0^{nh} |f| dx + n \int_0^{(n-1)h} |\Delta_h f| dx, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (3.13).

If $1 < p < \infty$, then from (3.9), by a similar argument, it follows that for all $\gamma > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} n \int_0^h |f|^p dx &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{kh}^{(k+1)h} |f|^p dx + \gamma \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_0^{(k+1)h} |f|^p dx \\ &+ C_p(\gamma) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_0^{kh} |\Delta_h f|^p dx \\ &\leq \int_0^{nh} |f|^p dx + \gamma n \int_0^{nh} |f|^p dx + C_p(\gamma) n \int_0^{(n-1)h} |\Delta_h f|^p dx, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (3.14) if to set $\gamma = \frac{\varepsilon}{n}$.

Let f be non-negative and non-increasing on $(0, nh)$, then inequality (3.15) follows for $p = 1$ by (3.8) with \leq replaced by $=$ and for $1 < p < \infty$ by for inequality (3.10) with $k = n - 1$. \square

4 Proof of main result

Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Step 1a. Let $p = 1$, $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $f \in H_1^\lambda$. Given $0 < h < a$, in (3.13) we take $n = \left\lceil \frac{a}{h} \right\rceil$. Then $(n-1)h \leq a-h \leq nh \leq a$. If $0 < h \leq \frac{a}{2}$, then $\frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{h}{a-h} \leq \frac{2h}{a}$. Hence, by (3.13)

$$\int_0^h |f| dx \leq \frac{2h}{a} \int_0^a |f| dx + \int_0^{a-h} |\Delta_h f| dx \quad (4.1)$$

If $\frac{a}{2} < h \leq a$, then

$$\int_0^h |f| dx \leq \frac{2h}{a} \int_0^a |f| dx$$

and again inequality (4.1) follows.

Inequality (4.1) implies, by passing to the limit as $a \rightarrow \infty$, that

$$\int_0^h |f| dx \leq \int_0^\infty |\Delta_h f| dx.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-h}^0 |f(x)| dx &= \int_0^h |f(-x)| dx \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty |f(-x-h) - f(x)| dx = \int_{-\infty}^{-h} |f(x+h) - f(x)| dx. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\int_{-h}^{-h} |f| dx \leq \int_{-\infty}^\infty |f(x+h) - f(x)| dx.$$

Moreover, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x-h}^{x+h} |f(y)| dy &= \int_{-h}^h |f(y+x)| dy \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^\infty |f(y+x+h) - f(y+x)| dy = \int_{-\infty}^\infty |f(y+h) - f(y)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|f\|_{M_1^\lambda} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \sup_{h > 0} h^{-\lambda} \|f\|_{L_1(x-h, x+h)} \leq \sup_{h > 0} h^{-\lambda} \|\Delta_h f\|_{L_1} \leq \|f\|_{\dot{H}_1^\lambda}.$$

Step 1b. Let $1 < p < \infty$, $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{p}$ and $f \in H_p^\lambda$. Assume that $0 < h \leq \frac{a}{2}$. Then by inequality (3.14) with $n = 2$

$$\|f\|_{L_p(0,h)} \leq \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{L_p(0,2h)} + \left(C_p\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|\Delta_h f\|_{L_p(a-h)},$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} h^{-\lambda} \|f\|_{L_p(0,h)} &\leq (1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{p}} 2^{\lambda-\frac{1}{p}} (2h)^{-\lambda} \|f\|_{L_p(0,2h)} \\ &\quad + \left(C_p\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} h^{-\lambda} \|\Delta_h f\|_{L_p(0,a-h)}. \end{aligned}$$

Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ to be such that

$$A = (1+\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{p}} 2^{\lambda-\frac{1}{p}} < 1,$$

say, $\varepsilon = 2^{-p\lambda} - 2^{-1}$, in which case $A = \left(\frac{1+2^{p\lambda-1}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$, and denote

$$\psi(h) = h^{-\lambda} \|f\|_{L_p(0,h)}, \quad B = \left(C_p\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \sup_{0 < \eta \leq a} \eta^{-\lambda} \|\Delta_\eta f\|_{L_p(0,a-\eta)}.$$

Then for all $0 < h \leq \frac{a}{2}$

$$\psi(h) \leq A\psi(2h) + B.$$

Consequently, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^m h \leq a$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(h) &\leq A(A\psi(4h) + B) + B = A^2\psi(4h) + (A+1)B \leq \dots \\ &\leq A^m\psi(2^m h) + (A^{m-1} + \dots + 1)B \leq A^m\psi(2^m h) + \frac{B}{1-A}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we choose m such that $2^m h \leq a < 2^{m+1}h$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} h^{-\lambda} \|f\|_{L_p(0,h)} &\leq A^m (2^m h)^{-\lambda} \|f\|_{L_p(0,2^m h)} + \frac{B}{1-A} \\ &\leq 2^\lambda a^{-\lambda} \|f\|_{L_p(0,a)} + K \|f\|_{\dot{H}_p^\lambda}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where $K = (1-A)^{-1} (C_p(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}))^{\frac{1}{p}}$. If $\frac{a}{2} < h \leq a$, then

$$h^{-\lambda} \|f\|_{L_p(0,h)} \leq 2^\lambda a^{-\lambda} \|f\|_{L_p(0,a)}.$$

So inequality (4.2) holds for all $0 < h \leq a$. By passing in (4.2) to the limit as $a \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that for all $h > 0$

$$h^{-\lambda} \|f\|_{L_p(0,h)} \leq K \|f\|_{\dot{H}_p^\lambda}.$$

Similarly to Step 1, it also follows that for all $x \in R$

$$h^{-\lambda} \|f\|_{L_p(x-h, x+h)} \leq K \|f\|_{\dot{H}_p^\lambda},$$

hence $H_p^\lambda \subset \widehat{M}_p^\lambda$ and

$$\|f\|_{M_p^\lambda} \leq K \|f\|_{\dot{H}_p^\lambda}.$$

Step 2. By Example 1

$$|x|^{\lambda - \frac{1}{p}} \chi_{B(0,1)}(x) \in H_p^\lambda, \quad \text{but} \quad |x|^{\lambda - \frac{1}{p}} \chi_{B(0,1)}(x) \notin M_p^{\lambda + \varepsilon}$$

for any $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{p} - \lambda$.

Step 3. Let for $1 \leq p < \infty$, $0 < \mu \leq \lambda < \frac{1}{p}$

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{\gamma(\frac{1}{p} - \lambda)} \chi_k(x),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_k(x) &= \chi_{(k^{-\gamma}, k^{-\gamma} + \varphi_\gamma(k)]}(x), \\ \varphi_\gamma(k) &= \frac{1}{2} [(k-1)^{-\gamma} - k^{-\gamma}] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$0 < \gamma < \frac{\mu}{\lambda - \mu}. \quad (4.3)$$

Note that for $k \geq 2$

$$\frac{\gamma}{2} k^{-\gamma-1} \leq \varphi_\gamma(k) \leq \frac{\gamma}{2} (k-1)^{-\gamma-1} \leq \gamma 2^\gamma k^{-\gamma-1}. \quad (4.4)$$

So, $f(x) = k^{\gamma(\frac{1}{p} - \lambda)}$, if $k^{-\gamma} < x \leq k^{-\gamma} + \varphi_\gamma(k)$; $f(x) = 0$, if $k^{-\gamma} + \varphi_\gamma(k) < x \leq (k-1)^{-\gamma}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \geq 2$.

Since for $k^{-\gamma} < x < k^{-\gamma} + \varphi_\gamma(k)$, $k \geq 2$, we have $x \leq (k-1)^{-\gamma} \leq 2^\gamma k^{-\gamma}$, hence $k^\gamma \leq 2^\gamma x^{-1}$, it follows that for all $x \in (0, 1]$

$$0 \leq f(x) \leq 2^{\gamma(\frac{1}{p} - \lambda)} x^{\lambda - \frac{1}{p}}.$$

Therefore, by Example 1, $f \in \widehat{M}_p^\lambda$.

Let $h = \varphi_\gamma(m)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_{\varphi_\gamma(m)} f\|_{L_p((-2,2)_{\varphi_\gamma(m)})} &\geq \int_0^1 |f(x + \varphi_\gamma(m)) - f(x)|^p dx \\ &\geq \sum_{k=2}^m \int_{k^{-\gamma} + \varphi_\gamma(k) - \varphi_\gamma(m)}^{k^{-\gamma} + \varphi_\gamma(k)} |f(x + \varphi_\gamma(m)) - f(x)|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi_\gamma(m) \leq \varphi_\gamma(k)$, for $k^{-\gamma} + \varphi_\gamma(k) - \varphi_\gamma(m) < x < k^{-\gamma} + \varphi_\gamma(k)$, we have

$$k^{-\gamma} < x < k^{-\gamma} + \varphi_\gamma(k) \quad \text{and} \quad k^{-\gamma} + \varphi_\gamma(k) < x + \varphi_\gamma(m) < k^{-\gamma} + 2\varphi_\gamma(k) = (k-1)^{-\gamma},$$

therefore $f(x) = k^{\gamma(\frac{1}{p}-\lambda)}$ and $f(x + \varphi_\gamma(m)) = 0$. Hence, by (4.4)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_{\varphi_\gamma(m)} f\|_{L_p((-2,2),\varphi_\gamma(m))}^p &\geq \sum_{k=2}^m k^{\gamma(\frac{1}{p}-\lambda)p} \varphi_\gamma(m) \geq \left(\sum_{k \geq \frac{m}{2}}^m k^{\gamma(1-p\lambda)} \right) \varphi_\gamma(m) \\ &\geq \frac{m}{2} \left(\frac{m}{2} \right)^{\gamma(1-p\lambda)} \frac{\gamma}{2} m^{-\gamma-1} = \gamma 2^{\gamma(pl-1)-2} m^{-\gamma p \lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (4.3) and (4.4)

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{H_p^\mu(-2,2)} &\geq \sup_{h>0} h^{-\mu} \|\Delta_h f\|_{L_p(-2+h,2-h)} \\ &\geq (\gamma 2^{\gamma(pl-1)-2})^{\frac{1}{p}} \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq 2} \varphi_\gamma(m)^{-\mu} m^{-\gamma \lambda} \\ &\geq (\gamma 2^\gamma)^{-\mu} (\gamma 2^{\gamma(pl-1)-3})^{\frac{1}{p}} \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq 2} m^{\gamma(\mu-\lambda)+\mu} = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

So $f \notin (H_p^\mu)^{loc}$.

Step 4. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{p}$ and $f \in (\widehat{M}_p^\lambda)^\downarrow$. By passing in (3.15) to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get that for any $h > 0$

$$\int_0^\infty |\Delta_h f|^p dx \leq \int_0^h |f|^p dx.$$

Similarly to Step 1 we get that also

$$\int_{-\infty}^{-h} |\Delta_h f|^p dx \leq \int_{-h}^0 |f|^p dx.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_h f\|_{L_p} &= \left(\int_{-\infty}^\infty |\Delta_h f|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left(\int_{-h}^h |f|^p dx + \int_{-h}^0 |f(x+h) - f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{-h}^h |f|^p dx + 2^{p-1} \left(\int_{-h}^0 |f(x+h)|^p dx + \int_{-h}^0 |f(x)|^p dx \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= (1 + 2^{p-1})^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{-h}^h |f|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq 2 \|f\|_{L_p(-h,h)} \leq 2h^\lambda \|f\|_{M_p^\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

If $h < 0$, then

$$\|\Delta_h f\|_{L_p} = \|\Delta_{-h} f\|_{L_p} \leq 2|h|^\lambda \|f\|_{M_p^\lambda}.$$

So $(\widehat{M}_p^\lambda)^\downarrow \subset (H_p^\lambda)^\downarrow$,

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}_p^\lambda} \leq 2 \|f\|_{M_p^\lambda}$$

and

$$\|f\|_{H_p^\lambda} \leq 2 \|f\|_{\widehat{M}_p^\lambda}.$$

5 Concluding remark

By statements of Sections 2.1 and 2.2 it follows that the Morrey space M_p^λ is not a space of functions possessing any kind of common smoothness of order μ measured in L_p -metrics for any $0 < \mu \leq \lambda$, but the expressions $\|f\|_{L_p(B(x,r))}$ behave like the ones for functions f possessing certain smoothness of order λ measured in L_p -metrics.

Moreover, for functions of the form $f(x) = g(|x|)$, where g is a non-negative non-increasing function λ plays a role of the smoothness parameter. This is the reason why the initial notation for the space Morrey space was altered.

It appears that, in many situations in real analysis and especially in applications to the theory of partial differential equations, of primary importance is the behaviour of the expressions $\|f\|_{L_p(B(x,r))}$ rather than smoothness properties of f . In such cases the usage of the Morrey spaces is natural and effective.

Acknowledgments

The research of V.I. Burenkov and T.V. Tararykova was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project no. 18-51-06005). The research of V.S. Guliyev was supported by the grant of the 1st Azerbaijan-Russia Joint Grant Competition (grant no. EIF-BGM-4-RFTF-1/2017-21/01/1-M-08)

References

- [1] D.R. Adams, *Morrey spaces*, Birkhäuser, 2015.
- [2] O.V. Besov, V.P. Il'in, S.M. Nikol'skii, *Integral Representations of functions and embedding theorems* (in Russian). 2nd Edition, Fizmatlit Nauka, Moscow, 1996.
- [3] V.I. Burenkov, *Sobolev spaces on domains*, B.G. Teubner, Stuttgart-Leipzig, 1998.
- [4] V.I. Burenkov, *Sharp estimates for integrals over small intervals for functions possessing some smoothness*, Progress in Analysis. Proceedings of the 3rd ISAAC Congress. World Scientific. New Jersey-London-Singapore-Hong Kong (2003), 45-56.
- [5] V.I. Burenkov, *Recent progress in studying the boundedness of classical operators of real analysis in general Morrey-type spaces*. I. Eurasian Math. J. 3 (2012), no. 3, 11-32.
- [6] V.I. Burenkov, *Recent progress in studying the boundedness of classical operators of real analysis in general Morrey-type spaces*. II. Eurasian Math. J. 4 (2013), no. 1, 21-45.
- [7] V.S. Guliyev, *Generalized weighted Morrey spaces and higher order commutators of sublinear operators*, Eurasian Math. J. 3 (2012), no. 3, 33-61.
- [8] B. Kuttner, *Some theorems on fractional derivatives*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 3 (1953), no. 2, 480-497.
- [9] P.G. Lemarié-Rieusset, *The role of Morrey spaces in the study of Navier-Stokes and Euler equations*. Eurasian Math. J. 3 (2012), no. 3, 62-93.
- [10] C.B. Morrey, *On the solutions of quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 43 (1938), 126-166.
- [11] S.M. Nikol'skii, *Inequalities for entire functions of exponential type and applications*. Doklady. Mathematics. Academy of Sciences of the USSR 38 (1951), 244-278 (in Russian).
- [12] S.M. Nikol'skii, *Inequalities for entire functions of exponential type and applications to the theory of differentiable functions of several variables*. Proc. Steklov Math. Inst. 38 (1951), 244-278 (in Russian).
- [13] S.M. Nikol'skii, *On a property of the H_p^r classes*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest, Sect. Math. 3-4 (1960/1961), 205-216.
- [14] S. M. Nikol'skii, *Approximation of functions of several variables and embedding theorems*, Nauka, Moscow, 1969 (in Russian). English translation. Springer-Verlag, 1975.
- [15] J. Peetre, *On the theory of $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}$ spaces*. J. Func. Anal. 4 (1969), 71-87.
- [16] M.A. Ragusa, *Partial differential equations involving Morrey spaces as initial conditions*. Eurasian Math. J. 3 (2012), no. 3, 94-109.
- [17] W. Sickel, *Some generalizations of the spaces $F_{1,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and relations to Lizorkin-Triebel spaces built on Morrey spaces*. I. Eurasian Math. J. 3 (2012), no. 3, 110-149.
- [18] W. Sickel, *Some generalizations of the spaces $F_{1,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and relations to Lizorkin-Triebel space built on Morrey spaces*. II. Eurasian Math. J. 4 (2013), no. 1, 82-124.
- [19] G. Stampacchia *$\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}$ -spaces and interpolation*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 17 (1964), 293-306.
- [20] H. Triebel, *Theory of function spaces*, Birkhäuser (1983).
- [21] C.T. Zorko, *Morrey space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 98(4) (1986), 586-592.

Victor Ivanovich Burenkov, Tamara Vassil'evna Tararykova
S.M. Nikol'skii Mathematical Institute
Peoples Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University)
6 Miklukho Maklay St
117198 Moscow, Russian Federation
and
Cardiff School of Mathematics
Cardiff University
Senghennydd Rd
Cardiff, CF4 24AG, UK
E-mails: burenkov@cf.ac.uk, tararykovat@cf.ac.uk

Vagif Sabir oglu Guliyev
Institute of Applied Mathematics
Baku State University
23 B. Vaxabzade St
AZ1148, Baku, Republic of Azerbaijan
and
Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics
Azerbaijan National Academy of Sciences
9 B. Vaxabzade St
AZ1141, Baku, Republic of Azerbaijan
E-mail: vagif@guliyev.com

Received: 01.03.2020