ISSN (Print): 2077-9879 ISSN (Online): 2617-2658

Eurasian Mathematical Journal

2020, Volume 11, Number 2

Founded in 2010 by the L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University in cooperation with the M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University the Peoples' Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University) the University of Padua

Starting with 2018 co-funded by the L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University and the Peoples' Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University)

Supported by the ISAAC (International Society for Analysis, its Applications and Computation) and by the Kazakhstan Mathematical Society

Published by

the L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University Nur-Sultan, Kazakhstan

EURASIAN MATHEMATICAL JOURNAL

Editorial Board

Editors-in-Chief

V.I. Burenkov, M. Otelbaev, V.A. Sadovnichy Vice–Editors–in–Chief

K.N. Ospanov, T.V. Tararykova

Editors

Sh.A. Alimov (Uzbekistan), H. Begehr (Germany), T. Bekjan (China), O.V. Besov (Russia), N.K. Bliev (Kazakhstan), N.A. Bokavev (Kazakhstan), A.A. Borubaev (Kyrgyzstan), G. Bourdaud (France), A. Caetano (Portugal), M. Carro (Spain), A.D.R. Choudary (Pakistan), V.N. Chubarikov (Russia), A.S. Dzumadildaev (Kazakhstan), V.M. Filippov (Russia), H. Ghazaryan (Armenia), M.L. Goldman (Russia), V. Goldshtein (Israel), V. Guliyev (Azerbaijan), D.D. Haroske (Germany), A. Hasanoglu (Turkey), M. Huxley (Great Britain), P. Jain (India), T.Sh. Kalmenov (Kazakhstan), B.E. Kangyzhin (Kazakhstan), K.K. Kenzhibaev (Kazakhstan), S.N. Kharin (Kazakhstan), E. Kissin (Great Britain), V. Kokilashvili (Georgia), V.I. Korzyuk (Belarus), A. Kufner (Czech Republic), L.K. Kussainova (Kazakhstan), P.D. Lamberti (Italy), M. Lanza de Cristoforis (Italy), F. Lanzara (Italy), V.G. Maz'ya (Sweden), K.T. Mynbayev (Kazakhstan), E.D. Nursultanov (Kazakhstan), R. Oinarov (Kazakhstan), I.N. Parasidis (Greece), J. Pečarić (Croatia), S.A. Plaksa (Ukraine), L.-E. Persson (Sweden), E.L. Presman (Russia), M.A. Ragusa (Italy), M.D. Ramazanov (Russia), M. Reissig (Germany), M. Ruzhansky (Great Britain), M.A. Sadybekov (Kazakhstan), S. Sagitov (Sweden), T.O. Shaposhnikova (Sweden), A.A. Shkalikov (Russia), V.A. Skvortsov (Poland), G. Sinnamon (Canada), E.S. Smailov (Kazakhstan), V.D. Stepanov (Russia), Ya.T. Sultanaev (Russia), D. Suragan (Kazakhstan), I.A. Taimanov (Russia), J.A. Tussupov (Kazakhstan), U.U. Umirbaev (Kazakhstan), Z.D. Usmanov (Tajikistan), N. Vasilevski (Mexico), Dachun Yang (China), B.T. Zhumagulov (Kazakhstan)

Managing Editor

A.M. Temirkhanova

Aims and Scope

The Eurasian Mathematical Journal (EMJ) publishes carefully selected original research papers in all areas of mathematics written by mathematicians, principally from Europe and Asia. However papers by mathematicians from other continents are also welcome.

From time to time the EMJ publishes survey papers.

The EMJ publishes 4 issues in a year.

The language of the paper must be English only.

The contents of the EMJ are indexed in Scopus, Web of Science (ESCI), Mathematical Reviews, MathSciNet, Zentralblatt Math (ZMATH), Referativnyi Zhurnal – Matematika, Math-Net.Ru.

The EMJ is included in the list of journals recommended by the Committee for Control of Education and Science (Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan) and in the list of journals recommended by the Higher Attestation Commission (Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation).

Information for the Authors

<u>Submission</u>. Manuscripts should be written in LaTeX and should be submitted electronically in DVI, PostScript or PDF format to the EMJ Editorial Office through the provided web interface (www.enu.kz).

When the paper is accepted, the authors will be asked to send the tex-file of the paper to the Editorial Office.

The author who submitted an article for publication will be considered as a corresponding author. Authors may nominate a member of the Editorial Board whom they consider appropriate for the article. However, assignment to that particular editor is not guaranteed.

<u>Copyright</u>. When the paper is accepted, the copyright is automatically transferred to the EMJ. Manuscripts are accepted for review on the understanding that the same work has not been already published (except in the form of an abstract), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, and that it has been approved by all authors.

<u>Title page</u>. The title page should start with the title of the paper and authors' names (no degrees). It should contain the <u>Keywords</u> (no more than 10), the <u>Subject Classification</u> (AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) with primary (and secondary) subject classification codes), and the <u>Abstract</u> (no more than 150 words with minimal use of mathematical symbols).

Figures. Figures should be prepared in a digital form which is suitable for direct reproduction.

<u>References.</u> Bibliographical references should be listed alphabetically at the end of the article. The authors should consult the Mathematical Reviews for the standard abbreviations of journals' names.

<u>Authors' data.</u> The authors' affiliations, addresses and e-mail addresses should be placed after the References.

<u>Proofs.</u> The authors will receive proofs only once. The late return of proofs may result in the paper being published in a later issue.

Offprints. The authors will receive offprints in electronic form.

Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice

For information on Ethics in publishing and Ethical guidelines for journal publication see http://www.elsevier.com/publishingethics and http://www.elsevier.com/journal-authors/ethics.

Submission of an article to the EMJ implies that the work described has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture or academic thesis or as an electronic preprint, see http://www.elsevier.com/postingpolicy), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright-holder. In particular, translations into English of papers already published in another language are not accepted.

No other forms of scientific misconduct are allowed, such as plagiarism, falsification, fraudulent data, incorrect interpretation of other works, incorrect citations, etc. The EMJ follows the Code of Conduct of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), and follows the COPE Flowcharts for Resolving Cases of Suspected Misconduct (http://publicationethics.org/files/u2/NewCode.pdf). To verify originality, your article may be checked by the originality detection service CrossCheck http://www.elsevier.com/editors/plagdetect.

The authors are obliged to participate in peer review process and be ready to provide corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed. All authors of a paper should have significantly contributed to the research.

The reviewers should provide objective judgments and should point out relevant published works which are not yet cited. Reviewed articles should be treated confidentially. The reviewers will be chosen in such a way that there is no conflict of interests with respect to the research, the authors and/or the research funders.

The editors have complete responsibility and authority to reject or accept a paper, and they will only accept a paper when reasonably certain. They will preserve anonymity of reviewers and promote publication of corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed. The acceptance of a paper automatically implies the copyright transfer to the EMJ.

The Editorial Board of the EMJ will monitor and safeguard publishing ethics.

The procedure of reviewing a manuscript, established by the Editorial Board of the Eurasian Mathematical Journal

1. Reviewing procedure

1.1. All research papers received by the Eurasian Mathematical Journal (EMJ) are subject to mandatory reviewing.

1.2. The Managing Editor of the journal determines whether a paper fits to the scope of the EMJ and satisfies the rules of writing papers for the EMJ, and directs it for a preliminary review to one of the Editors-in-chief who checks the scientific content of the manuscript and assigns a specialist for reviewing the manuscript.

1.3. Reviewers of manuscripts are selected from highly qualified scientists and specialists of the L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University (doctors of sciences, professors), other universities of the Republic of Kazakhstan and foreign countries. An author of a paper cannot be its reviewer.

1.4. Duration of reviewing in each case is determined by the Managing Editor aiming at creating conditions for the most rapid publication of the paper.

1.5. Reviewing is confidential. Information about a reviewer is anonymous to the authors and is available only for the Editorial Board and the Control Committee in the Field of Education and Science of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan (CCFES). The author has the right to read the text of the review.

1.6. If required, the review is sent to the author by e-mail.

1.7. A positive review is not a sufficient basis for publication of the paper.

1.8. If a reviewer overall approves the paper, but has observations, the review is confidentially sent to the author. A revised version of the paper in which the comments of the reviewer are taken into account is sent to the same reviewer for additional reviewing.

1.9. In the case of a negative review the text of the review is confidentially sent to the author.

1.10. If the author sends a well reasoned response to the comments of the reviewer, the paper should be considered by a commission, consisting of three members of the Editorial Board.

1.11. The final decision on publication of the paper is made by the Editorial Board and is recorded in the minutes of the meeting of the Editorial Board.

1.12. After the paper is accepted for publication by the Editorial Board the Managing Editor informs the author about this and about the date of publication.

1.13. Originals reviews are stored in the Editorial Office for three years from the date of publication and are provided on request of the CCFES.

1.14. No fee for reviewing papers will be charged.

2. Requirements for the content of a review

2.1. In the title of a review there should be indicated the author(s) and the title of a paper.

2.2. A review should include a qualified analysis of the material of a paper, objective assessment and reasoned recommendations.

2.3. A review should cover the following topics:

- compliance of the paper with the scope of the EMJ;

- compliance of the title of the paper to its content;

- compliance of the paper to the rules of writing papers for the EMJ (abstract, key words and phrases, bibliography etc.);

- a general description and assessment of the content of the paper (subject, focus, actuality of the topic, importance and actuality of the obtained results, possible applications);

- content of the paper (the originality of the material, survey of previously published studies on the topic of the paper, erroneous statements (if any), controversial issues (if any), and so on);

- exposition of the paper (clarity, conciseness, completeness of proofs, completeness of bibliographic references, typographical quality of the text);

- possibility of reducing the volume of the paper, without harming the content and understanding of the presented scientific results;

- description of positive aspects of the paper, as well as of drawbacks, recommendations for corrections and complements to the text.

2.4. The final part of the review should contain an overall opinion of a reviewer on the paper and a clear recommendation on whether the paper can be published in the Eurasian Mathematical Journal, should be sent back to the author for revision or cannot be published.

Web-page

The web-page of the EMJ is www.emj.enu.kz. One can enter the web-page by typing Eurasian Mathematical Journal in any search engine (Google, Yandex, etc.). The archive of the web-page contains all papers published in the EMJ (free access).

Subscription

Subscription index of the EMJ 76090 via KAZPOST.

E-mail

eurasianmj@yandex.kz

The Eurasian Mathematical Journal (EMJ) The Nur-Sultan Editorial Office The L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University Building no. 3 Room 306a Tel.: +7-7172-709500 extension 33312 13 Kazhymukan St 010008 Nur-Sultan, Kazakhstan

The Moscow Editorial Office The Peoples' Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University) Room 562 Tel.: +7-495-9550968 3 Ordzonikidze St 117198 Moscow, Russia

MIKHAIL L'VOVICH GOLDMAN

(to the 75th birthday)



Mikhail L'vovich Goldman was born on April 13, 1945 in Moscow. In 1963 he graduated from school in Moscow and entered the Physical Faculty of the M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University (MSU) from which he graduated in 1969 and became a PhD student (1969–1972) at the Mathematical Department of this Faculty. In 1972 he has defended the PhD thesis, and in 1988 his DSc thesis "The study of spaces of differentiable functions of many variables with generalized smoothness" at the S.L. Sobolev Institute of Mathematics in Novosibirsk. Scientific degree "Professor in Mathematics" was awarded to him in 1991.

From 1974 to 2000 M.L. Goldman was successively an assistant Professor, Full Professor, Head of the Mathematical Department at the Moscow Institute of Radio Engineering, Electronics and Automation (technical university). Since 2000 he is a Professor of the S.M. Nikol'skii Mathemat-

ical Institute at the Peoples Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University).

Research interests of M.L. Goldman are: the theory of function spaces, optimal embeddings, integral inequalities, spectral theory of differential operators. Main achievements: optimal embeddings of spaces with generalized smoothness, sharp conditions of the convergence of spectral expansions, descriptions of integral and differential properties of the generalized Bessel and Riesz-type potentials, sharp estimates for operators on cones and optimal envelopes for the cones of functions with properties of monotonicity. Professor M.L. Goldman has over 140 scientific publications in leading mathematical journals.

Under his scientific supervision, 8 candidate theses in Russia and 1 thesis in Kazakhstan were successfully defended. Some of his former students are now professors in Ethiopia, Columbia, Mongolia.

Participation in scientific and organizational activities of M.L. Goldman is well known. He is a member of the DSc Councils at RUDN and MSU, of the PhD Council in the Lulea Technical University (Sweden), a member of the Editorial Board of the Eurasian Mathematical Journal, an invited lector and visiting professor at universities of Russia, Germany, Sweden, UK etc., an invited speaker at many international conferences.

The mathematical community, friends and colleagues and the Editorial Board of the Eurasian Mathematical Journal cordially congratulate Mikhail L'vovich Goldman on the occasions of his 75th birthday and wish him good health, happiness, and new achievements in mathematics and mathematical education.

EURASIAN MATHEMATICAL JOURNAL

ISSN 2077-9879 Volume 11, Number 2 (2020), 19 – 29

SURJECTIVE QUADRATIC JORDAN ALGEBRAS

Ye. Baissalov, A. Aljouiee

Communicated by J.A. Tussupov

Key words: quadratic Jordan algebra, linear minimality, surjectivity.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 03C60, 17C10.

Abstract. We introduce the concepts of surjectivity and linear minimality for quadratic Jordan algebras, then we present a partial classification of such algebras of characteristic 2. As a corollary, we obtain that in substance non-trivial minimal quadratic Jordan algebras are fields.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.32523/2077-9879-2020-11-2-19-29

1 Introduction

This article is not on algebra, as someone might think, rather on the model theory, which is located at the junction of logic and algebra. Recall that an infinite model \mathfrak{M} is called *minimal* if any first-order formula over \mathfrak{M} defines either a finite or cofinite subset in it. This concept of minimality played a major role in the development of the model theory, starting a model-theoretic generalization of the important algebraic concepts such as dependence, exchange principle, basis and dimension.

The study of minimal rings was undertaken in [2]. Later in [3], the concept of linear minimality was introduced for rings, as a weakened version of the minimality, and it was shown that it can be naturally extended to algebras. The motivation of this research was the following hypothesis.

Hypothesis. An infinite field is interpreted in any non-trivial minimal ring.

In [2] this hypothesis was confirmed for Jordan rings of characteristic different from 2, furthermore it has been proved that the minimality can be replaced by the linear minimality [1]. With regard to the characteristic 2, in this case, Jordan rings do not allow classical linear axiomatization in which all previous studies were fulfilled. There are many different approaches, and in this paper we choose the quadratic axiomatization.

Quadratic Jordan algebras are defined as vector spaces with additional operators U and σ , where U is a binary operator that is quadratic in the first variable and linear in the second one; σ is a quadratic unary operator. Here, as it is customary to the theory of quadratic Jordan algebras, we write $U_x y$ and x^2 instead of U(x, y) and $\sigma(x)$ respectively. We also denote by U_x a linear operator $U(x, \cdot)$. The following multilinear operators are defined in the standard way:

$$\{x, y, z\} := V_{x,y}z := U_{x,z}y := U_{x+z}y - U_xy - U_zy;$$
$$V_xy := (x+y)^2 - x^2 - y^2.$$

In this signature quadratic Jordan algebras distinguished by the following axioms:

- $(QJ1) \qquad V_{x,x} = V_{x^2}$
- (QJ2) $U_x V_x = V_x U_x$

- (QJ3) $U_x x^2 = (x^2)^2$
- $(QJ4) \qquad U_{x^2} = U_x^2$
- $(QJ5) \qquad U_x U_y x^2 = (U_x y)^2$

 $(QJ6) U_{U_xy} = U_x U_y U_x.$

In addition, it is required that these axioms hold not only in the algebra, but that they also continue to hold in all scalar extensions of it. This turns out to be equivalent to the condition that all formal linearizations of these identities (QJ1)-(QJ6) remain valid on the algebra itself.

2 Surjectivity of quadratic Jordan algebras

Initially, the linear minimality and the surjectivity properties were introduced for rings and algebras in a general linear axiomatization context [3], [4]. Here we adapt them for quadratic Jordan algebras.

First of all it is necessary to clarify the definition of the multiplication algebra.

Definition 1. Let $\mathfrak{Q} = \langle Q; +, U, \sigma, 0 \rangle$ be a quadratic Jordan algebra over a field F. The multiplication algebra $\mathfrak{T}(\mathfrak{Q})$ of the algebra \mathfrak{Q} is generated by the identity mapping $\mathrm{id}_Q : x \mapsto x$ together with maps of the form $U_a, U_{a,b}, V_a, V_{a,b}, a, b \in Q$, in the algebra $\mathfrak{E}(\mathfrak{Q})$ of all linear transformations of the vector space $\langle Q; +, 0 \rangle$. So, $\mathfrak{T}(\mathfrak{Q})$ is a unital associative algebra over F with the unit id_Q .

Note that when $char F \neq 2$ this definition of the multiplication algebra coincides with the classical one [5].

Definition 2. An infinite quadratic Jordan algebra \mathfrak{Q} is *surjective* provided that every nonzero linear mapping from the multiplication algebra $\mathfrak{T}(\mathfrak{Q})$ is a surjective mapping; it is *linearly minimal* (briefly, *l-minimal*) if it is surjective and every nonzero linear mapping from $\mathfrak{T}(\mathfrak{Q})$ has a finite kernel.

Now let us recall some definitions from [7].

Definition 3. An element *a* of a quadratic Jordan algebra is *weakly trivial* if $U_a \equiv 0$. An element *b* is *trivial* if it is weakly trivial and $b^2 = 0$. An algebra is (*weakly*) trivial if each element is (weakly) trivial.

In a weakly trivial algebra \mathfrak{Q} we have $U_a \equiv U_{a,b} \equiv V_{a,b} \equiv 0$ for all $a, b \in Q$. In such an algebra (QJ2), (QJ4)-(QJ6) hold trivially; (QJ1) becomes

 $(QJ1)' \quad V_{x^2} \equiv 0$

and (QJ3) takes the form

 $(\mathrm{QJ3})' \qquad (x^2)^2 \equiv 0.$

The following is Surjective Unit Lemma 18.1.4 from [7]. We present it here with a proof for an arbitrary characteristic (to provide a completeness).

Proposition 2.1. If a surjective quadratic Jordan algebra contains an element which is not weakly trivial, then the algebra is unital.

Proof. So, assume that $\mathfrak{Q} = \langle Q; +, U, \sigma, 0 \rangle$ is a surjective quadratic Jordan algebra and there exists $a \in Q$ with nonzero U_a . Then the operator U_a is surjective. Let the element $b \in Q$ be such that $U_a b = a$. Then by (QJ6) we have

$$U_a = U_a U_b U_a. \tag{2.1}$$

Hence, the operators U_b , U_bU_a are also nonzero, therefore they are both surjective too. Now from (2.1) we get Ker $U_a = \text{Ker } U_b = \{0\}$: if Ker $U_a \setminus \{0\}$ is non-empty, then for some $c \in Q$ we have $U_bU_ac \in \text{Ker } U_a \setminus \{0\}$, so

$$U_a c \neq 0 = U_a U_b U_a c$$

which contradicts (2.1); similarly, if Ker $U_b \setminus \{0\}$ is non-empty, then an element $c \in Q$ such that

 $U_a c \in \operatorname{Ker} U_b \setminus \{0\}$

leads to a contradiction. Thus, both operators U_a , U_b are invertible. From (2.1) we get also $U_a U_b = id_Q$ or $U_b = U_a^{-1}$.

Let us denote $e = U_a b^2$, then by (QJ6) and (QJ4) we obtain

$$U_e = U_a U_{b^2} U_a = U_a U_b^2 U_a = \mathrm{id}_Q.$$

Let $E := \{x \in Q : U_x = id_Q\}$. This set is non-empty, since $e \in E$. Moreover, from (QJ4) it follows that $e^2 \in E$. Furthermore, e^2 is an idempotent, since, by virtue of (QJ3), we have

$$(e^2)^2 = U_e e^2 = e^2$$

Hence, by Proposition 5.2.4 from [7], e^2 is the unit element of algebra \mathfrak{Q} .

Remark 1. (a) The proof of Proposition 2.1 shows that in a surjective quadratic Jordan algebra each element is either weakly trivial or invertible. If $U_a \neq 0$ then the unique b such that $U_a b = a$ is called the *inverse* of a and can be denoted by $b = a^{-1}$; for this b we have $U_b = U_a^{-1}$.

(b) In a unital algebra the operator σ can be defined via U: $\sigma x = U_x 1$, where 1 stands for the unit element. Therefore there is no need to consider it separately (it is sufficient to work only with U). In particular, in a unital Jordan algebra the notions of triviality and weakly triviality coincide, since $U_x \equiv 0$ implies $x^2 = U_x 1 = 0$.

Lemma 2.1. The identity

$$U_{x+y}(x+y) = U_x(x+y) + U_y(x+y) + V_{x^2}y + V_{y^2}x$$

holds in any quadratic Jordan algebra.

Proof. By the definition of multilinear functions and (QJ1) we have

$$U_{x+y}(x+y) = U_x(x+y) + U_y(x+y) + \{x, x+y, y\} = U_x(x+y) + U_y(x+y) + \{x, x, y\} + \{x, y, y\}$$
$$= U_x(x+y) + U_y(x+y) + V_{x,x}y + V_{y,y}x = U_x(x+y) + U_y(x+y) + V_{x^2}y + V_{y^2}x.$$

Lemma 2.2. In a surjective quadratic Jordan algebra weakly trivial elements form a subspace.

Proof. There is no problem if the algebra itself is weakly trivial, otherwise by Proposition 2.1 the algebra is unital and each weakly trivial element is trivial (see Remark 1.(b) above). Let a, b be any trivial elements and $\lambda \in F$. We have to show that λa and a + b are trivial. For λa we have

$$U_{\lambda a} = \lambda^2 U_a = \lambda^2 0 \equiv 0$$

There is no problem if a + b = 0. Let $a + b \neq 0$. By Lemma 2.1 we have

$$U_{a+b}(a+b) = U_a(a+b) + U_b(a+b) + V_{a^2}b + V_{b^2}a = 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 = 0.$$

So, $0 \neq a + b \in \text{Ker } U_{a+b}$ and the operator U_{a+b} is not invertible. Then by Remark 1.(a) above a + b is (weakly) trivial.

Notation. From now on we fix a surjective (non-trivial) quadratic Jordan algebra $\mathfrak{Q} = \langle Q; +, U, \sigma, 0 \rangle$ over F and denote by A its subspace of weakly trivial elements. If \mathfrak{Q} is unital we denote its unit by 1 and, identifying each $\lambda \in F$ by $\lambda 1 \in Q$, we can assume that $F \subseteq Q$. Since $U_1 = \mathrm{id}_Q$, obviously $1 \notin A$.

We notice that the subspace A is U-invariant, that is if $a \in A$ then $U_x a \in A$ for any $x \in Q$. Indeed, $U_a \equiv 0$ implies $U_{U_x a} = U_x U_a U_x \equiv 0$ by (QJ6).

Lemma 2.3. For any $a \in A$ and for all $x, y \in Q$ we have

$$\{x, a, y\} = U_{x+y}a - U_xa - U_ya \equiv 0.$$

Proof. If $\{b, a, c\} \neq 0$ for some $a \in A$ and $b, c \in Q$ then $A \neq Q$ and by the surjectivity property the map $x \stackrel{\varphi}{\mapsto} \{b, a, x\}$ will be surjective, giving $Q = \operatorname{Im} \varphi \subseteq A + A + A = A$, which is a contradiction. \Box

Lemma 2.4. $A = \{0\}$ or A is an infinite subspace.

Proof. Let $0 \neq a \in A$. By Lemma 2.3, the mapping $x \stackrel{\varphi_a}{\mapsto} U_x a$ is an endomorphism with Ker $\varphi_a = A$ and Im $\varphi_a \subseteq A$. Thus, $Q^+/A \cong \text{Im } \varphi_a$ implies the infinity of A.

The following proposition is true in a more general context. We use the surjectivity just to get a shorter proof (see 1.8.3 in [7] for the definition of ideals).

Proposition 2.2. A is an (inner) ideal in \mathfrak{Q} , so the quotient algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{Q}} = \mathfrak{Q}/A$ is well-defined.

Proof. If $A = \{0\}$ or A = Q then the statement of the proposition is obvious. Let $A \neq \{0\}$ and $A \neq Q$. By Proposition 2.1, \mathfrak{Q} is unital. We have already seen that if $a \in A$ then $U_a \equiv 0$, $a^2 = U_a 1 = 0$, $U_x a \in A$ and $\{x, a, y\} = 0$ for any $x, y \in Q$. So, it remains to show that $U_{x,a}y \in A$ for any $x, y \in Q$ (no need to check $V_x a \in A$ because of $V_x a = U_{x,a} 1$).

Let $a \in A$. The identity (see the identity (QJ7) on the page 22 of [6])

$$U_{x}U_{y}U_{a} + U_{a}U_{y}U_{x} + U_{x,a}U_{y}U_{x,a} = U_{U_{a}y,U_{x}y} + U_{U_{x,a}y}$$

gives $U_{x,a}U_yU_{x,a} = U_{U_{x,a}y}$. Since $A \subseteq \text{Ker}(U_{x,a}U_yU_{x,a})$, the map $U_{U_{x,a}y}$ is not invertible. So, $U_{x,a}y \in A$ (in fact, we have $U_{x,a} \equiv 0$: from $U_{x,a}1 \in A$ it follows $(U_{x,a})^2 = U_{x,a}U_1U_{x,a} = U_{U_{x,a}1} \equiv 0$ and then the surjectivity implies $U_{x,a} \equiv 0$).

Definition 4. We say that the algebra \mathfrak{Q} has a trivial linear part if $\{x, y, z\} \equiv 0$ and $V_x y \equiv 0$ for all $x, y, z \in Q$

Remark 2. If $V_x y \equiv 0$, in particular, if the algebra Q has a trivial linear part, then σ is an endomorphism of the abelian group $\langle Q; +, 0 \rangle$. Moreover, if $charF \neq 2$ then $\sigma \equiv 0$. Indeed, on one hand, σ is an endomorphism and $\sigma(2x) = \sigma(x+x) = \sigma x + \sigma x = 2(\sigma x)$ for each $x \in Q$. On the other hand, since σ is quadratic, $\sigma(2x) = 4(\sigma x)$. Then the equality $2(\sigma x) = 4(\sigma x)$ together with $charF \neq 2$ implies $\sigma x = 0$.

Lemma 2.5. If the algebra \mathfrak{Q} is weakly trivial then $V_a \equiv 0$ for all $a \in Q$; that is \mathfrak{Q} has a trivial linear part.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $V_a \neq 0$ for some $a \in Q$. By the surjectivity property, V_a is surjective and Ker V_a is a proper subspace of \mathfrak{Q} . For any $b \in Q$, $\sigma b = b^2 \in \text{Im } \sigma$ we have $V_a b^2 = V_{b^2} a = 0$ by (QJ1)'. So Im $\sigma \subseteq \text{Ker } V_a$. Then from the identity $V_a x = (x+a)^2 - a^2 - x^2$ we get that

$$\operatorname{Im} V_a \subseteq \operatorname{Im} \sigma - \operatorname{Im} \sigma - \operatorname{Im} \sigma \subseteq \operatorname{Ker} V_a,$$

contradicting the surjectivity of V_a .

Proposition 2.3. If $charF \neq 2$ then \mathfrak{Q} is a division algebra.

Proof. Assume the contrary, let $A \neq \{0\}$. If A = Q then, by Lemma 2.5, \mathfrak{Q} has trivial linear part; and by Remark 2 the algebra \mathfrak{Q} is trivial, a contradiction.

So, let $A \neq Q$. Then \mathfrak{Q} is unital. Since $charF \neq 2$, for any $0 \neq a \in A$ we have $\{1, a, 1\} =$ $U_{1+1}a - U_1a - U_1a = 4a - a - a = 2a \neq 0$. This contradicts Lemma 2.3.

Proposition 2.3 improves the result of [1]; there the division property was proved under the stronger assumption of the linear minimality.

3 Triviality of linear part

From here point to the end of the article, we will assume that charF = 2.

Definition 5. In a unital quadratic Jordan algebra the powers of elements can be defined as usual: $x^{0} = 1, x^{1} = x$ and $x^{2n} = U_{x^{n}} 1, x^{2n+1} = U_{x^{n}} x$ for positive integer n (see page 26 of [6]). If $x^{n} = 1$ for some positive integer n, then the smallest n with this property is called the *order* of x and we write |x| = n; otherwise, we say that x is of *infinite order* and write $|x| = \infty$.

First we recall some basic properties of orders.

Claim 1. (1) If there is an element of even order then there is an element of order 2; in particular, σ is not injective.

(2) If |x| = 2n - 1 then $x^{2n} = x$, so $x \in \text{Im } \sigma$.

Proof. (1) If |x| = 2n then by definition $x^{2n} = U_{x^n} = 1$ and $x^n \neq 1$. So for $y = x^n$ we have $y \neq 1$ and $y^2 = U_y = 1$. This means that |y| = 2.

(2) Let |x| = 2n - 1 then $U_x^{2n-1} = U_{x^{2n-1}} = U_1 = \mathrm{id}_Q$, so there is the inverse mapping $U_x^{-1} = U_x^{2n-2} = U_x^{2n-2}$ $U_{x^{2n-2}} = U_y$ for $y = x^{2n-2}$. We have

$$U_y x = U_{x^{2n-2}} x = U_{x^{n-1}} (U_{x^{n-1}} x) = U_{x^{n-1}} x^{2n-1} = U_{x^{n-1}} 1 = x^{2n-2} = y,$$

so $x = U_y^{-1}y = U_x y = U_x (U_{x^{n-1}}1) = U_{x^n}1 = x^{2n}$.

Proposition 3.1. If \mathfrak{Q} is *l*-minimal, then $V_x \equiv 0$ for any $x \in Q$.

Proof. Assume the contrary: $V_x \neq 0$ for some $x \in Q$. Then, by the minimality, V_x is a surjective map with a finite kernel Ker V_x . Since char(F) = 2, we notice that

$$V_x x = (x+x)^2 + x^2 + x^2 = 0.$$

So, $\{0, x\} \subseteq \text{Ker } V_x$, whence $|\text{Ker } V_x| > 1$.

Now, by Lemma 2.5 the algebra \mathfrak{Q} is not weakly trivial, hence by Proposition 2.1 it is unital. Since char(F) = 2, as a consequence of the following identity (see the identity (QJ20) on the page 24 of [6])

$$V_{a^2} = V_a^2 - 2U_a$$

we get $V_{a^2} = V_a^2$ for each $a \in Q$. We can proceed further by induction and show that the identity

$$V_{a^k} = V_a^k$$

holds for each $k = 2^n$, where n is positive integer. Hence for such k we have

$$V_x x^k = V_{x^k} x = V_x^k x = V_x^{k-1} (V_x x) = V_x^{k-1} 0 = 0$$

This means that $\{x, x^2, x^4, \dots, x^{2^n}, \dots\} \subseteq \operatorname{Ker} V_x$. But $\operatorname{Ker} V_x$ is finite, so for some $m \neq n$ we have $x^{2^m} = x^{2^n}$. This is a contradiction, as on one hand, we have $V_{x^{2^m}} = V_{x^{2^n}}$, and on the other hand

 $|\operatorname{Ker} V_{x^{2^m}}| = |\operatorname{Ker} V_x^{2^m}| = |\operatorname{Ker} V_x|^{2^m} \neq |\operatorname{Ker} V_x|^{2^n} = |\operatorname{Ker} V_x^{2^n}| = |\operatorname{Ker} V_{x^{2^n}}|.$

Now let us give a conclusion on the structure of the algebra \mathfrak{Q} when it is weakly trivial. By Lemma 2.5 (see also Remark 2), σ is an endomorphism of the abelian group $\langle Q; +, 0 \rangle$ and (QJ3)' provides that Im $\sigma \subseteq$ Ker σ . Vice versa, it is easy to check that any vector space together with a quadratic endomorphism σ of $\langle Q; +, 0 \rangle$ such that Im $\sigma \subseteq$ Ker σ , provides a weakly trivial, linearly minimal quadratic Jordan algebra, since its multiplication algebra consists of only scalar multiplications.

Example. Let F be an infinite field of characteristic 2 and $Q = F \times F = F^2$. If we define $U \equiv (0,0)$ and a quadratic function $\sigma : Q \to Q$ so that $\sigma(x, y) = (0, x^2)$, then Im $\sigma \subset \text{Ker } \sigma$, and we get a weakly trivial, linearly minimal quadratic Jordan algebra.

We will not classify linearly minimal weakly trivial quadratic Jordan algebras: firstly, they are not so interesting, since the presence of U-operators is fictitious; secondly, the linear minimality condition does not have a structural impact on them. They exist, as shown above, and we leave them at that.

In what follows we assume that our algebra contains a non-weakly trivial element. By Proposition 1, it is equivalent to the following assumption.

Assumption 1. $A \neq Q$ and \mathfrak{Q} is unital.

So, from now on A is the subspace of trivial elements (see Remark 1.(b)).

Lemma 3.1. If \mathfrak{Q} is *l*-minimal then $U_x U_y 1 = U_y U_x 1$ for any $x, y \in Q$.

Proof. We use the identity (QJ30) from [6]: $(V_x y)^2 = U_x y^2 + U_y x^2 + V_y U_x y$. Since by Proposition 3.1 $(V_x y)^2 = V_y U_x y = 0$, we have $U_x U_y 1 = U_x y^2 = U_y x^2 = U_y U_x 1$.

Proposition 3.2. If \mathfrak{Q} is *l*-minimal then it has a trivial linear part.

Proof. Assume the contrary: $\{a, b, c\} \neq 0$ for $a, b, c \in Q$. The *l*-minimality, Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 imply $A = \{0\}$. Also, by Proposition 3.1 and Remark 2, the map σ is an endomorphism. Moreover, it is a monomorphism, since Ker $\sigma = A = \{0\}$ (we note that by Remark 1.(a) we have $U_a 1 = a^2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow U_a \equiv 0 \Leftrightarrow a \in A \Leftrightarrow a = 0$). Let $x, y \in Q$ be arbitrary elements and $z \in \text{Im } \sigma$. Let $z = w^2$ for $w \in Q$. Then by Lemma 3.1, we get $U_{x+y}z = U_{x+y}U_w 1 = U_w U_{x+y} 1 = U_w (x+y)^2 = U_w x^2 + U_w y^2 = U_x w^2 + U_y w^2 = U_x z + U_y z$, which exactly means that

$$\{x, z, y\} = U_{x,y}z = U_{x+y}z - U_xz - U_yz = 0.$$

Since Im σ is infinite, the linear minimality implies $\{x, z, y\} \equiv 0$ for all $x, y, z \in Q$. This contradicts $\{a, b, c\} \neq 0$.

Let us note that if \mathfrak{Q} has a trivial linear part, then the multiplication algebra $\mathfrak{T}(\mathfrak{Q})$ is generated by the operators of the form U_x .

4 Linearly minimal division Jordan algebras

Let \mathfrak{Q} be a unital quadratic Jordan algebra with a trivial linear part. We will use the following corollaries of the triviality: $U_{x+y} = U_x + U_y$ and $(x+y)^2 = x^2 + y^2$ for all $x, y \in Q$.

Remark 3. Until Assumption 2, neither an infinity nor the *l*-minimality of algebra \mathfrak{Q} will be used.

Lemma 4.1. The algebra $\mathfrak{T}(\mathfrak{Q})$ is commutative.

Proof. We use the identity $U_x U_y U_z + U_z U_y U_x + U_{x,z} U_y U_{x,z} = U_{U_z y, U_x y} + U_{U_{x,z} y}$ again (see the proof of Propostion 2.2). Since the algebra has a trivial linear part, this identity gives $U_x U_y U_z = U_z U_y U_x$. Putting z = 1 we get $U_x U_y = U_y U_x$ for arbitrary $x, y \in Q$. So, since the generators commute, the algebra $\mathfrak{T}(\mathfrak{Q})$ is commutative. **Definition 6.** We say that an element $c \in Q$ is *normal* if $U_c b^2 \in \text{Im } \sigma$ for all $b \in Q$. Let N be a set of normal elements in \mathfrak{Q} .

Proposition 4.1. N is a subspace of \mathfrak{Q} and $\operatorname{Im} \sigma \subseteq N$.

Proof. Let $c, d \in N$, $b \in Q$ and $\lambda \in F$. Assume that $U_c b^2 = x^2$, $U_d b^2 = y^2 \in \text{Im } \sigma$. Then $U_{\lambda c} b^2 = \lambda^2 U_c b^2 = (\lambda x)^2 \in \text{Im } \sigma$. Moreover, by the triviality of the linear part

$$U_{c+d}b^2 = U_cb^2 + U_db^2 = x^2 + y^2 = (x+y)^2 \in \text{Im}\sigma$$
.

Hence, N is a subspace. Also, by (QJ4), Lemma 4.1 and (QJ6), we have for any $a \in Q$

$$U_{a^2}b^2 = U_aU_aU_b1 = U_aU_bU_a1 = (U_ab)^2 \in \mathrm{Im}\sigma$$

Thus Im $\sigma \subseteq N$.

Let $\Phi = \langle \{a^2 : a \in F\}; +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$. Then Φ is a subfield of F and it is isomorphic to F via σ . Now \mathfrak{Q} can be considered as an algebra \mathfrak{Q}_{Φ} over Φ . If so, $\Sigma_{\Phi} = \langle \operatorname{Im} \sigma; +, U, 0, 1 \rangle$ becomes its subalgebra, since $\operatorname{Im} \sigma$ is obviously closed under multiplications by scalars from Φ .

Lemma 4.2. The mapping $\sigma : \mathfrak{Q}_F \to \Sigma_{\Phi}$ is a homomorphism, hence $\mathfrak{Q}_F/A \cong \Sigma_{\Phi}$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in Q$ and $\lambda \in F$. Then since σ is quadratic, by definition we have

$$\sigma(\lambda x) = \lambda^2 \sigma x = \sigma \lambda \cdot \sigma x \,.$$

Since the algebra has a trivial linear part

$$\sigma(x+y) = \sigma x + \sigma y \,.$$

By (QJ5), Lemma 4.1 and (QJ4), we have

$$\sigma(U_x y) = (U_x y)^2 = U_x U_y x^2 = U_x U_y U_x 1 = U_x U_x U_y 1 = U_x U_x y^2 = U_{x^2} y^2 = U_{\sigma x} \sigma y \,.$$

We know that Ker $\sigma = A$. Thus σ is a homomorphism indicating that \mathfrak{Q}_F/A and Σ_{Φ} are isomorphic algebras.

The remaining of the section is devoted to complete characterization of linearly minimal division Jordan algebras. So from now we continue using the following assumption.

Assumption 2. \mathfrak{Q} is a linearly minimal division Jordan algebra, that is $A = \{0\}$.

First let us discuss some immediate corollaries of this assumption.

Observations 1. The map $\sigma : \mathfrak{Q}_F \to \Sigma_{\Phi}$ is an isomorphism. So the inverse mapping $\rho := \sigma^{-1} : \Sigma_{\Phi} \to \mathfrak{Q}_F$ is well-defined.

2. If all elements have finite order, then σ is an automorphism of Q^+ , since each element must have odd order (by Claim 1 in Section 3). In this case $N = \text{Im } \sigma = Q$.

Lemma 4.3. If $c \in N$ then (1) $U_a c \in N$ for any $a \in Q$;

(2) $\rho(U_c d^2) \in N$ for any $d \in N$;

(3) $c^{-1} \in N$.

Proof. The commutativity of the algebra $\mathfrak{T}(\mathfrak{Q})$ is used in the proof of each item. Let $b \in Q$ be an arbitrary element.

(1) If $U_c b^2 = x^2$, then by (QJ6) we have $U_{U_a c} b^2 = U_a U_c U_a b^2 = U_a U_a U_c b^2 = U_a U_a x^2 = U_a U_a U_x 1 = U_a U_x U_a 1 = U_{U_a x} 1 = (U_a x)^2$. This means that $U_a c \in N$. (2) $U_c d^2 \in \text{Im}\sigma \subseteq N$, so $U_{\rho(U_c d^2)} b^2 = U_{\rho(U_c d^2)} U_b 1 = U_b U_{\rho(U_c d^2)} 1 = U_b (U_c d^2) \in \text{Im}\sigma$ by (1). Thus, $\rho(U_c d^2) \in N$. (3) By (QJ6) $U_{c^{-1}} b^2 = U_{c^{-1}} U_b 1 = U_c U_{c^{-1}} U_b U_{c^{-1}} 1 = U_c U_{U_{c^{-1}} b} 1 = U_c (U_{c^{-1}} b)^2 \in \text{Im}\sigma$. So, $c^{-1} \in N$.

Definition 7. We define the symmetric bilinear form on N as follows: for $x, y \in N$

$$x \cdot y := \rho(U_x U_y 1) = \rho(U_x y^2)$$

Proposition 4.2. $\mathcal{N} = \langle N; +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a field and the subalgebra $\mathfrak{N} = \langle N; +, U, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a special algebra $\mathcal{N}^{(+)}$.

Proof. In order to prove that \mathcal{N} is a field, the only identity we need to check is the associativity law for \cdot . We know that \cdot is a commutative operation and $\mathfrak{T}(\mathfrak{Q})$ is commutative too. So, for any $a, b, c \in N$ we have

$$U_a U_b c^2 = U_b U_a c^2,$$

which is equivalent to

$$a \cdot (b \cdot c) = b \cdot (a \cdot c).$$

So, for any $a, b, c \in N$

 $a \cdot (b \cdot c) = a \cdot (c \cdot b) = c \cdot (a \cdot b) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c.$

Thus, \cdot is associative.

It is easy to verify the second statement of the proposition: $x \cdot y \cdot x = x^2 \cdot y = \rho(U_{x^2}y^2) = U_xy$. \Box

Definition 8. Let $\mathcal{K} = \langle K; +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$ be a field with $char\mathcal{K} = 2$ and let \mathfrak{P} be a quadratic Jordan algebra over a subfield of \mathcal{K} . We say that the special algebra \mathfrak{P} lives in the field \mathcal{K} if \mathfrak{P} is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{K}^{(+)}$, where $\mathcal{K}^{(+)} = \langle K; +, U, 0, 1 \rangle$ with $U_x y = x^2 \cdot y$.

By Proposition 4.1 Σ_{Φ} lives in the field \mathfrak{N} . This leads to an important conclusion.

Corollary 4.1. The algebra \mathfrak{Q} lives in a field.

This corollary and the next lemma give a complete classification of l-minimal quadratic division Jordan algebras.

Lemma 4.4. Any infinite quadratic Jordan division algebra living in a field is linearly minimal.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{P} = \langle P; +, U, 0, 1 \rangle$ be an infinite quadratic Jordan division algebra living in a field Ψ . Let $f \in \mathfrak{T}(\mathfrak{P})$ be a non-zero linear function. Then f(x) = ax for some $a \in \Psi^*$ and obviously Ker $f = \{0\}$. First, we notice that $a = f(1) \in P$, hence $a^{-1} \in P$, since \mathfrak{P} is a division algebra. Now let $b \in P$ be any element. Then $U_{a^{-1}}b = a^{-2}b \in P$. Next, $f(a^{-2}b) = a^{-1}b \in P$, then $f(a^{-1}b) = b \in P$. So, f is surjective and \mathfrak{P} is linearly minimal. \Box

Example. Let $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{Z}_2(x, y)$, i.e. \mathcal{N} is the field of all rational functions over \mathbb{Z}_2 in two variables x, y. Let $\Phi = \mathbb{Z}_2(x^2, y^2)$ be the subfield of squares in \mathcal{N} . Of course, any subfield of \mathcal{N} containing Φ would be a subalgebra of $\mathcal{N}_{\Phi}^{(+)}$. Let P denote the subspace of \mathcal{N} generated (over Φ) by the set of vectors $\{1, x, y\}$. Then $\mathfrak{P} = \langle P; +, U, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{N}_{\Phi}^{(+)}$. So, \mathfrak{P} lives in the field \mathcal{N} and it is not a subfield, since $xy \notin P$.

5 Main theorems

Now we are ready to prove the main results of the paper.

Theorem 5.1. Let \mathfrak{Q} be a *l*-minimal, unital quadratic Jordan algebra and charF = 2. Then

- (1) if $A = \{0\}$ then the algebra \mathfrak{Q} lives in a field;
- (2) if $A \neq \{0\}$ then the quotient algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{Q}} = \mathfrak{Q}/A$ lives in a field.

Proof. (1) just repeats Corollary 4.1.

(2) The quotient algebra $\hat{\Omega}$ inherits all properties of the algebra $\hat{\Omega}$ such as unitality, triviality of the linear part and commutativity of the multiplication algebra. Moreover, it is a division algebra. So, if $\overline{\Omega}$ is infinite, then all the results of the previous section, where we nowhere relied on the *l*-minimality of algebra, are applicable to $\overline{\Omega}$. Therefore, repeating the same reasoning that we conducted in Section 4, we prove that $\overline{\Omega}$ lives in a field.

Now let $\overline{\mathfrak{Q}}$ be a finite division algebra. All the results of the previous section, where we nowhere relied on the infinity of algebra, remain valid for $\overline{\mathfrak{Q}}$, since $\overline{\mathfrak{Q}}$ inherits from \mathfrak{Q} all the properties necessary for them. According to Observation 2, all elements of $\overline{\mathfrak{Q}}$ are normal. Finally, Proposition 4.2 implies that $\overline{\mathfrak{Q}} = \Psi^{(+)}$ for some field Ψ .

Example. Let F be a field of characteristic 2 (it may be finite) and let A be an infinite vector space over F. We define operations over $F \times A$ as follows: for $(f, a), (g, b) \in F \times A$ we put

$$(f, a) + (g, b) := (f + g, a + b)$$

and

$$(f,a)^2 := (f^2,0); \quad U_{(f,a)}(g,b) := (f^2g,f^2b).$$

Then we obtain the quadratic Jordan algebra $\mathfrak{Q}_{F,A}$ on $F \times A$, which is indeed the algebra $F \cdot 1 + A$ of trivial bilinear form [7]. It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{Q}_{F,A}$ is a linearly minimal, unital algebra with a trivial linear part; $\mathcal{A} = \{0\} \times A$ is the inner ideal of trivial elements and the quotient algebra $\mathfrak{Q}_{F,A}/\mathcal{A}$ is isomorphic to $F^{(+)}$.

Question. Let \mathfrak{Q} be a linearly minimal, unital quadratic Jordan algebra with the infinite ideal of trivial elements, charF = 2. Is \mathfrak{Q} always special?

Theorem 5.2. Any minimal non-trivial quadratic Jordan algebra lives in a field with the same set of elements.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{Q} = \langle Q; +, U, \sigma, 0 \rangle$ be a minimal quadratic Jordan algebra. Since each element of $\mathfrak{T}(\mathfrak{Q})$ is definable by a first-order formula, \mathfrak{Q} is linearly minimal. By [2] (see also [1]) we can assume charF = 2.

If \mathfrak{Q} is weakly minimal, then σ is an endomorphism of Q^+ with Im $\sigma \subseteq \text{Ker } \sigma$ (see the discussion after Proposition 3.1). Since $Q^+/\text{Ker } \sigma \cong \text{Im } \sigma$, the subgroup Ker σ is infinite. But Ker σ is definable by the formula $\sigma x = 0$, so by minimality Ker $\sigma = Q$, i.e. \mathfrak{Q} is trivial.

Now, assume that \mathfrak{Q} is not weakly minimal, then it is unital by Proposition 2.1. The subspace $A \subset Q$ is definable by the formula $\forall y(U_x y = 0)$, so it must be finite. Further, we get $A = \{0\}$ by Lemma 2.4.

Finally, let us note that the subspace N of normal elements is definable by the formula $\forall y \exists z (U_x \sigma y = \sigma z)$ and, by Proposition 4.1, it is an infinite subspace containing Im σ . So, N = Q, again by the minimality of \mathfrak{Q} . Thus, by Proposition 4.2, $\mathcal{Q} = \langle Q; +, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a field and $\mathfrak{Q} = \mathcal{Q}^{(+)}$.

Acknowledgments

The first author was partially supported by the Committee of Science of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan, grant N AP05132349, and also by Nazarbayev University Faculty Development Competitive Research Grant N 090118FD5342.

References

- Y. Baisalov, A. Aljouiee, *Linearly minimal Jordan algebras of characteristic other than 2.* Algebra and Logic. 54 (2015), 653–662 (in Russian), 421–427 (English translation).
- [2] E.R. Baisalov, K.A. Meirembekov, A.T. Nurtazin, *Definable minimal models*. In: Model Theory in Kazakhstan. EcoStudy, Almaty, 2006, 140–157.
- [3] E.R. Baisalov, *Linear minimal rings and algebras*. Algebra and Logic. 51 (2012), 159–167 (in Russian), 105–110 (English translation).
- [4] E. R. Baisalov, R. Bibazarov, B. Duzban, *Linear minimal associative rings*. Bulletin of the L. N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University (math., comp. sci., mech. series). 89 (2012), 32–35 (in Kazakh).
- [5] N. Jacobson, *Lie algebras*. Wiley and Sons, New York etc. (1962).
- [6] N. Jacobson, Lectures on quadratic jordan algebras. Tata Institute of Fundamental research, Bombay (1962).
- [7] K. McCrimmon, A taste of Jordan algebras. Springer, New York (2004).
- [8] K. McCrimmon, Quadratic Jordan algebras and cubing operations. Trans. of the Amer. Math. Soc. 153 (1971), 265–278.
- [9] E. I. Zel'manov, Jordan division algebras. Algebra and Logic. 18 (1979), 286–310 (in Russian).
- [10] K.A. Zhevlakov, A.M. Slinko, I.P. Shestakov, A.I. Shirshov, *Rings that are nearly associative*. Pure and Applied Mathematics 104, Academic Press (1982).

Yerzhan Baissalov Department of Mechanics and Mathematics L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University 5 Munaitpasov St. 010008 Nur-Sultan, Kazakhstan E-mail: baisalov_yer@enu.kz

Abdullah Aljouiee Department of Mathematics and Statistics Al-Imam University P.O. BOX 90950 11623 Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia E-mail: joa111@gmail.com

Received: 10.06.2019