Eurasian Mathematical Journal

EURASIAN MATHEMATICAL JOURNAL

Editorial Board

Aims and Scope

The Eurasian Mathematical Journal (EMJ) publishes carefully selected original research papers in all areas of mathematics written by mathematicians, principally from Europe and Asia. However papers by mathematicians from other continents are also welcome.

From time to time the EMJ publishes survey papers.

The EMJ publishes 4 issues in a year.

The language of the paper must be English only.

The contents of EMJ are indexed in Scopus, Web of Science (ESCI), Mathematical Reviews, MathSciNet, Zentralblatt Math (ZMATH), Referativnyi Zhurnal – Matematika, Math-Net.Ru.

The EMJ is included in the list of journals recommended by the Committee for Control of Education and Science (Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan) and in the list of journals recommended by the Higher Attestation Commission (Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation).

Information for the Authors

Submission. Manuscripts should be written in LaTeX and should be submitted electronically in DVI, PostScript or PDF format to the EMJ Editorial Office through the provided web interface (www.enu.kz).

When the paper is accepted, the authors will be asked to send the tex-file of the paper to the Editorial Office.

The author who submitted an article for publication will be considered as a corresponding author. Authors may nominate a member of the Editorial Board whom they consider appropriate for the article. However, assignment to that particular editor is not guaranteed.

Copyright. When the paper is accepted, the copyright is automatically transferred to the EMJ. Manuscripts are accepted for review on the understanding that the same work has not been already published (except in the form of an abstract), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, and that it has been approved by all authors.

Title page. The title page should start with the title of the paper and authors' names (no degrees). It should contain the Keywords (no more than 10), the Subject Classification (AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) with primary (and secondary) subject classification codes), and the Abstract (no more than 150 words with minimal use of mathematical symbols).

Figures. Figures should be prepared in a digital form which is suitable for direct reproduction.

References. Bibliographical references should be listed alphabetically at the end of the article. The authors should consult the Mathematical Reviews for the standard abbreviations of journals' names.

Authors' data. The authors' affiliations, addresses and e-mail addresses should be placed after the References.

Proofs. The authors will receive proofs only once. The late return of proofs may result in the paper being published in a later issue.

Offprints. The authors will receive offprints in electronic form.

Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice

For information on Ethics in publishing and Ethical guidelines for journal publication see http://www.elsevier.com/publishingethics and http://www.elsevier.com/journal-authors/ethics.

Submission of an article to the EMJ implies that the work described has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture or academic thesis or as an electronic preprint, see http://www.elsevier.com/postingpolicy), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright-holder. In particular, translations into English of papers already published in another language are not accepted.

No other forms of scientific misconduct are allowed, such as plagiarism, falsification, fraudulent data, incorrect interpretation of other works, incorrect citations, etc. The EMJ follows the Code of Conduct of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), and follows the COPE Flowcharts for Resolving Cases of Suspected Misconduct (http : //publicationethics.org/files/u2/New$_C$ode.pdf). To verify originality, your article may be checked by the originality detection service CrossCheck http://www.elsevier.com/editors/plagdetect.

The authors are obliged to participate in peer review process and be ready to provide corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed. All authors of a paper should have significantly contributed to the research.

The reviewers should provide objective judgments and should point out relevant published works which are not yet cited. Reviewed articles should be treated confidentially. The reviewers will be chosen in such a way that there is no conflict of interests with respect to the research, the authors and/or the research funders.

The editors have complete responsibility and authority to reject or accept a paper, and they will only accept a paper when reasonably certain. They will preserve anonymity of reviewers and promote publication of corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed. The acceptance of a paper automatically implies the copyright transfer to the EMJ.

The Editorial Board of the EMJ will monitor and safeguard publishing ethics.

The procedure of reviewing a manuscript, established by the Editorial Board of the Eurasian Mathematical Journal

1. Reviewing procedure

1.1. All research papers received by the Eurasian Mathematical Journal (EMJ) are subject to mandatory reviewing.

1.2. The Managing Editor of the journal determines whether a paper fits to the scope of the EMJ and satisfies the rules of writing papers for the EMJ, and directs it for a preliminary review to one of the Editors-in-chief who checks the scientific content of the manuscript and assigns a specialist for reviewing the manuscript.

1.3. Reviewers of manuscripts are selected from highly qualified scientists and specialists of the L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University (doctors of sciences, professors), other universities of the Republic of Kazakhstan and foreign countries. An author of a paper cannot be its reviewer.

1.4. Duration of reviewing in each case is determined by the Managing Editor aiming at creating conditions for the most rapid publication of the paper.

1.5. Reviewing is confidential. Information about a reviewer is anonymous to the authors and is available only for the Editorial Board and the Control Committee in the Field of Education and Science of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan (CCFES). The author has the right to read the text of the review.

1.6. If required, the review is sent to the author by e-mail.

1.7. A positive review is not a sufficient basis for publication of the paper.

1.8. If a reviewer overall approves the paper, but has observations, the review is confidentially sent to the author. A revised version of the paper in which the comments of the reviewer are taken into account is sent to the same reviewer for additional reviewing.

1.9. In the case of a negative review the text of the review is confidentially sent to the author.

1.10. If the author sends a well reasoned response to the comments of the reviewer, the paper should be considered by a commission, consisting of three members of the Editorial Board.

1.11. The final decision on publication of the paper is made by the Editorial Board and is recorded in the minutes of the meeting of the Editorial Board.

1.12. After the paper is accepted for publication by the Editorial Board the Managing Editor informs the author about this and about the date of publication.

1.13. Originals reviews are stored in the Editorial Office for three years from the date of publication and are provided on request of the CCFES.

1.14. No fee for reviewing papers will be charged.

2. Requirements for the content of a review

2.1. In the title of a review there should be indicated the author(s) and the title of a paper.

2.2. A review should include a qualified analysis of the material of a paper, objective assessment and reasoned recommendations.

2.3. A review should cover the following topics:

- compliance of the paper with the scope of the EMJ;

- compliance of the title of the paper to its content;

- compliance of the paper to the rules of writing papers for the EMJ (abstract, key words and phrases, bibliography etc.);

- a general description and assessment of the content of the paper (subject, focus, actuality of the topic, importance and actuality of the obtained results, possible applications);

- content of the paper (the originality of the material, survey of previously published studies on the topic of the paper, erroneous statements (if any), controversial issues (if any), and so on);

- exposition of the paper (clarity, conciseness, completeness of proofs, completeness of bibliographic references, typographical quality of the text);

- possibility of reducing the volume of the paper, without harming the content and understanding of the presented scientific results;

- description of positive aspects of the paper, as well as of drawbacks, recommendations for corrections and complements to the text.

2.4. The final part of the review should contain an overall opinion of a reviewer on the paper and a clear recommendation on whether the paper can be published in the Eurasian Mathematical Journal, should be sent back to the author for revision or cannot be published.

Web-page

The web-page of EMJ is www.emj.enu.kz. One can enter the web-page by typing Eurasian Mathematical Journal in any search engine (Google, Yandex, etc.). The archive of the web-page contains all papers published in EMJ (free access).

Subscription

For Institutions

- US$ 200 (or equivalent) for one volume (4 issues)
- US$ 60 (or equivalent) for one issue

For Individuals

- US$ 160 (or equivalent) for one volume (4 issues)
- US$ 50 (or equivalent) for one issue.

The price includes handling and postage.

The Subscription Form for subscribers can be obtained by e-mail:

eurasianmj@yandex.kz

The Eurasian Mathematical Journal (EMJ)
The Nur-Sultan Editorial Office
The L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University
Building no. 3
Room 306a
Tel.: +7-7172-709500 extension 33312
13 Kazhymukan St
010008 Nur-Sultan, Kazakhstan

The Moscow Editorial Office
The Peoples' Friendship University of Russia
(RUDN University)
Room 515
Tel.: +7-495-9550968
3 Ordzonikidze St
117198 Moscow, Russia

At the end of year 2019 there is 10th anniversary of the activities of the Eurasian Mathematical Journal. Volumes EMJ 10-4 and EMJ 11-1 are dedicated to this event.

ANDREI ANDREEVICH SHKALIKOV

(to the 70th birthday)

Andrei Andreevich Shkalikov, corresponding member of the Russian Academy of Sciences, an outstanding mathematician with a wide range of interests, a remarkable person, professor of the Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics of the M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University was born on November 19, 1949.

Andrei Andreevich is a leading specialist in the theory of operators and their applications, especially to problems of mechanics and mathematical physics. He is well known for his work in the theory of functions and in the theory of spaces with indefinite metrics. He is also a specialist in the theory of entire and meromorphic functions and its applications to operator theory.

Andrei Andreevich is known for solving a number of difficult problems that for many years remained unsolved. His work on the basic properties of systems of root functions of differential operators is well known worldwide. He gave a justification for Mandelstam's hypothesis about the existence of solutions satisfying the radiation principle. He solved, in general form, the Rayleigh problem on the reflection of waves from a periodic surface, obtained a solution to the Sobolev problem on the stability of the motion of a top with a cavity filled with liquid. His contribution to the construction of an abstract theory of the Orr-Sommerfeld problem is invaluable. He obtained a description of the limiting spectral portraits for a large class of functions describing the profiles of fluid flows. He is one of the founders of the modern theory of differential operators, coefficients of which are distributions, and inverse problems for such operators.

Andrei Andreevich has been a plenary speaker at many international conferences. He conducts fruitful scientific work and collaborates with many international mathematical research centers.

Andrei Andreevich is an author of more than 130 scientific publications. Among his pupils there are more than 20 Candidates of Sciences and 6 Doctors of Sciences. The results obtained by A.A. Shkalikov, his pupils, collaborators and followers gained worldwide recognition.

Professor Shkalikov is also an outstanding organizer. Under his supervision, many international conferences were held. In particular, conferences dedicated to the memory of I.G. Petrovsky, I.M. Gelfand, S.M. Nikol'skii, B.M. Levitan, anniversary conferences of V.A. Sadovnichy, and others.

Andrei Andreyevich is a deputy editor-in-chief of the journals Mathematical Notes, Moscow University Mathematics Bulletin, Moscow University Mechanics Bulletin, and a member of the editorial boards of the Russian Mathematical Surveys, Proceedings of the Moscow Mathematical Society and other journals, including the Eurasian Mathematical Journal.

The Editorial Board of the Eurasian Mathematical Journal cordially congratulates Andrei Andreevich on the occasion of his 70th birthday and wishes him good health, and new achievements in mathematics and mathematical education.

GOOD NEWS: EMJ IS NOW AN SJR Q2 JOURNAL

Recently the lists were published of all mathematical journals included in 2018 SCImago Journal Rank (SJR) quartiles Q1 (385 journals), Q2 (430 journals), Q3 (445 journals), and Q4 (741 journals), and Scopus CiteScore quartiles Q1 (443 journals), Q2 (375 journals), Q3 (348 journals), and Q4 (283 journals).

With great pleasure we inform our readers and authors that the Eurasian Mathematical Journal was included in the most popular scientific ranking database SJR in quartile Q2, currently the only mathematical journal in the Republic of Kazakhstan and Central Asia. The SJR data for the Eurasian Mathematical Journal (2018) is as follows:

550. Eurasian Mathematical Journal (Kazakhstan), Q2, SJR=0.624.
(550 is the number in the list of all Q1 - Q4 journals.)

The SJR indicator is calculated by using the data of the Scopus Database of the Elsevier, the modern publishing business founded in 1880. It uses a sophisticated formula, taking into account various characteristics of journals and journals publications. This formula and related comments can be viewed on the web-page

http : //www.scimagojr.com/journalrank.php.

Some other SJR Q2 mathematical journals:

490. Studia Mathematica (Poland), SJR=0.706,

492. Comptes Rendus Mathematique (France), SJR=0.704,

522. Journal of Mathematical Physics (USA), SJR=0.667,

540. Doklady Mathematics (Russia), SJR=0.636,

570. Journal of Mathematical Sciences (Japan), SJR=0.602,

662. Journal of Applied Probability (UK), SJR=0.523,

733. Mathematical Notes (Russia), SJR=0.465,

791. Canadian Mathematical Bulletin (Canada), SJR=0.433.

Our journal ranks:

7726th place in the list of 31971 scientific journals, representing all subjects and all regions, included in this database (in the first 25% of journals of this category),

225th place in the list of 2519 scientific journals, representing all subjects, of the Asiatic region, included in this database (in the first 10% of journals of this category),

550th place in the list of 2011 mathematical journals, representing all regions, included in this database (in the first 30% of journals of this category),

19th place in the list of 165 mathematical journals of the Asiatic region, included in this database (in the first 15% of journals of this category).

On a separate page the SJR statistics for the Eurasian Mathematical Journal is attached.

Recall that the Eurasian Mathematical Journal started its work in 2010 (see [1]-[3]) and was first included in SJR indicator in 2014 (Q4, SJR=0.101, see [4], [5], [6]). So, the ambitious plan set in [6] was implemented and even essentially exceeded.

As for the Scopus CiteScore indicator, it uses another sophisticated formula, differently taking into account various characteristics journals publications. This formula and related comments can be viewed on the web-page

https : //ru.service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/19266/supporthub/scopus.

In this indicator the Eurasian Mathematical Journal was included in quartile Q3. The CiteScore data for the Eurasian Mathematical Journal (2018) is as follows:

333. Eurasian Mathematical Journal (Kazakhstan), Q3, CiteScore = 0,41

(333 is the number in the list of only Q3 journals.)

Some other Scopus CiteScore Q3 mathematical journals:

320. Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal (Czech Republic), CiteScore = 0.44,

321. Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics (Italy), CiteScore = 0.44,

323. Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica (Hungary), CiteScore = 0.44,

332. Bulletin Mathematique de la Societe des Sciences Mathematiques de Roumanie (Romania), CiteScore = 0.41,

334. Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics (India), CiteScore = 0.41,

33. Transactions of the Moscow Mathematical Society (Russia), CiteScore = 0.41,

337. Illinois Journal of Mathematics (USA), CiteScore = 0.40,

339. Publications de l'Institut Mathematique (France), CiteScore = 0.40.

Our main current aim is to preserve the status of an SJR Q2 journal and of a Scopus CiteScore Q3 journal.

We hope that all respected members of the international Editorial Board, reviewers, current authors of our journal, representing more than 35 countries, and future authors will provide high quality publications in the EMJ which will allow to achieve this aim.

V.I. Burenkov, K.N. Ospanov, T.V. Tararykova, A.M. Temirkhanova.

12

Extract from http://www.scimagojr.com/journalrank.php
EURASIAN MATHEMATICAL JOURNAL

References

[1] B. Abdrayim, Opening address by the rector of L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University, Eurasian Math. J. 1 (2010), no. 1, 5.

[2] B. Abdrayim, Opening address by the rector of L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University, Eurasian Math. J. 1 (2010), no. 1, 6 (in Kazakh).

[3] B. Abdrayim, Opening address by the rector of L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University, Eurasian Math. J. 1 (2010), no. 1, 7 (in Russian).

[4] To the authors, reviewers, and readers of the Eurasian Mathematical Journal, Eurasian Math. J. 5 (2014), no. 2, 6.

[5] Eurasian Mathematical Journal is indexed in Scopus, Eurasian Math. J. 5 (2014), no. 3, 6–8.

[6] V.I. Burenkov, E.D. Nursultanov, T.Sh. Kalmenov, R. Oinarov, M. Otelbaev, T.V. Tararykova, A.M. Temirkhanova, EMJ: from Scopus Q4 to Scopus Q3 in two years?!, Eurasian Math. J. 7 (2016), no. 3, 6.

OPTIMAL RECOVERY OF SEMI-GROUP OPERATORS FROM INACCURATE DATA

G.G. Magaril-Il'yaev, E.O. Sivkova

Communicated by P.D. Lamberti

Abstract. The problem of optimal recovery of the operator at a given value of the parameter from inaccurate information about the other parameters is solved for a special one-parameter semi-group of operators. A family of optimal recovery methods is constructed. As a corollary, we obtain families of optimal recovery methods in the problem of recovery of a solution of the heat equation on the line and in the problem of recovery of a solution to the Dirichlet problem for the half-plane.

1 Statement of problem and formulation of the main result

Let X be a real or complex Banach space with elements $f, g, \ldots$, and let $T(t)$, $0 \le t < \infty$, be a family of continuous linear operators on X to itself having the semi-group property: $T(t_1 + t_2) = T(t_1)T(t_2)$, $t_1, t_2 \ge 0$.

We set the following problem: recover (in the best possible way) the value of operator $T(\tau)$ from approximate values of operators $T(t_1)$ and $T(t_2)$, where $\tau \ne t_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

A precise statement is as follows. Assume that for each $f \in X$ we know elements $g_i \in X$, $i = 1, 2$, such that

$$\|T(t_i)f - g_i\|_X \le \delta_i, \quad \delta_i > 0, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Any map $\varphi \colon X \times X \to X$ we call a method of recovery. The error of this method is the value

$$e(\tau, \varphi) = \sup_{\substack{f \in X, \ g_i \in X, \ i=1,2 \\ \|T(t_i)f - g_i\|_X \le \delta_i, \ i=1,2}} \|T(\tau)f - \varphi(g_1, g_2)\|_X.$$

We are interested in the value

$$E(\tau) = \inf_\varphi e(\tau, \varphi),$$

where the infimum is taken over all $\varphi \colon X \times X \to X$, is called the *optimal recovery error*, and methods $\widehat{\varphi}$, for which the lower bound is attained, i. e., for which

$$E(\tau) = e(\tau, \widehat{\varphi}),$$

will be called *optimal recovery methods*.

In this work for a certain family of operators, we compute the exact value of the optimal recovery error and find a family of optimal recovery methods.

Let $a(\cdot)$ be a continuous non-negative function on $\mathbb{R}$, $a(0) = 0$ and $a(\xi) \to +\infty$ as $|\xi| \to +\infty$. Let F be the Fourier transform in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$. We define the family of operators $T_a(t) \colon L_2(\mathbb{R}) \to L_2(\mathbb{R})$, $t \geq 0$, by the formula

$$F[T_a(t)f](\xi) = e^{-ta(\xi)}F[f](\xi) \quad \text{for a. e. } \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \ \forall f(\cdot) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}).$$

These operators are obviously linear. We show that they are continuous. Indeed, let $\omega(\cdot) \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and the operator $\Lambda_\omega \colon L_2(\mathbb{R}) \to L_2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $F[\Lambda_\omega f](\xi) = \omega(\xi)F[f](\xi)$ for a. e. $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, by Plancherel's theorem for any function $f(\cdot) \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$\|\Lambda_w f(\cdot)\|^2_{L_2(\mathbb{R})} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\Lambda_w f(x)|^2 \, dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |F[\Lambda_w f](\xi)|^2 \, d\xi$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |w(\xi)|^2 |F[f](\xi)|^2 \, d\xi \leq \|w(\cdot)\|^2_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |F[f](\xi)|^2 \, d\xi$$

$$= \|w(\cdot)\|^2_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 \, dx = \|w(\cdot)\|^2_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|f(\cdot)\|^2_{L_2(\mathbb{R})},$$

that is, the operator Λ_w is continuous.

Check the semi-group property. For any function $f(\cdot) \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$ we have by Plancherel's theorem for a. e. $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$F[T_a(t_1 + t_2)f](\xi) = e^{-(t_1+t_2)a(\xi)}F[f](\xi) = e^{-t_1 a(\xi)}F[T_a(t_2)f](\xi)$$

$$= F[T_a(t_1)(T_a(t_2)f)](\xi).$$

Since $F \colon L_2(\mathbb{R}) \to L_2(\mathbb{R})$ is an isomorphism, it follows that $T_a(t_1 + t_2) = T_a(t_1)T_a(t_2)$.

Let $0 \leq t_1 < \tau < t_2$, $\delta_1 > 0$, $\delta_2 > 0$. Put

$$\widehat{\lambda}_1 = \frac{t_2 - \tau}{t_2 - t_1} \left(\frac{\delta_1}{\delta_2}\right)^{\frac{-2(\tau - t_1)}{t_2 - t_1}}, \qquad \widehat{\lambda}_2 = \frac{\tau - t_1}{t_2 - t_1} \left(\frac{\delta_1}{\delta_2}\right)^{\frac{2(t_2 - \tau)}{t_2 - t_1}}.$$

The main result of this work is the following

Theorem 1.1. *Let* $0 \leq t_1 < \tau < t_2$ *and* $\delta_1 > \delta_2 > 0$. *Then*

$$E(\tau) = \sqrt{\widehat{\lambda}_1 \delta_1^2 + \widehat{\lambda}_2 \delta_2^2}.$$

Function $\xi \mapsto \widehat{\lambda}_1 e^{-2t_1 a(\xi)} + \widehat{\lambda}_2 e^{-2t_2 a(\xi)} - e^{-2\tau a(\xi)}$ *is non-negative for all* $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, *and for any function* $\omega(\cdot) \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *such that for a. e.* $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\left| \omega(\xi) - \frac{\widehat{\lambda}_2 e^{-(\tau - t_1)a(\xi)}}{\widehat{\lambda}_1 e^{(t_2 - t_1)a(\xi)} + \widehat{\lambda}_2 e^{-(t_2 - t_1)a(\xi)}} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{\sqrt{\widehat{\lambda}_1 \widehat{\lambda}_2} \, e^{t_2 a(\xi)}}{\widehat{\lambda}_1 e^{(t_2 - t_1)a(\xi)} + \widehat{\lambda}_2 e^{-(t_2 - t_1)a(\xi)}} \sqrt{\widehat{\lambda}_1 e^{-2t_1 a(\xi)} + \widehat{\lambda}_2 e^{-2t_2 a(\xi)} - e^{-2\tau a(\xi)}},$$

the method $\widehat{\varphi}_\omega \colon L_2(\mathbb{R}) \times L_2(\mathbb{R}) \to L_2(\mathbb{R})$ *is defined in terms of Fourier transform for a. e.* $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ *by the formula*

$$F[\widehat{\varphi}_\omega(g_1, g_2)](\xi) = (e^{-(\tau - t_1)a(\xi)} - \omega(\xi)e^{-(t_2 - t_1)a(\xi)})F[g_1](\xi) + \omega(\xi)F[g_2](\xi)$$

is optimal.

2   Proof of Theorem 1.1

The scheme of the proof is as follows. First, we prove an estimate from below for the optimal recovery error. Then we construct a family of recovery methods whose errors coincide with this estimate and thus these methods are optimal.

1) *The estimate from below for the optimal recovery error.* We prove this estimate for an abstract family of operators defined above.

Let us show that the value of the problem

$$\|T(\tau)f\|_X \to \max, \quad \|T(t_i)f\|_X \le \delta_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad f \in X, \tag{2.1}$$

i. e., the supremum of the functional to be maximized under the indicated constraints, is not greater than $E(\tau)$.

Indeed, let $f_0 \in X$ and $\|T(t_i)f_0\|_X \le \delta_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Then obviously $-f_0$ satisfies the same conditions and we have for an arbitrary method φ

$$2\|T(\tau)f_0\|_X = \|T(\tau)f_0 - \varphi(0,0) - (T(\tau)(-f_0) - \varphi(0,0))\|_X$$
$$\le \|T(\tau)f_0 - \varphi(0,0)\|_X + \|T(\tau)(-f_0) - \varphi(0,0)\|_X$$
$$\le 2 \sup_{\substack{f \in X, \\ \|T(t_i)f\|_X \le \delta_i,\, i=1,2}} \|T(\tau)f - \varphi(0,0)\|_X$$
$$\le 2 \sup_{\substack{f \in X,\, g_i \in X,\, i=1,2, \\ \|T(t_i)f-g_i\|_X \le \delta_i,\, i=1,2}} \|T(\tau)f - \varphi(g_1, g_2)\|_X = 2e(\tau, \varphi).$$

Passing to the supremum over all $f \in X$ such that $\|T(t_i)f\|_X \le \delta_i$, $i = 1, 2$, on the left-hand side and to the infimum over all methods φ on the right-hand side, we obtain the inequality

$$\sup_{\substack{f \in X, \\ \|T(t_i)f\|_X \le \delta_i,\, i=1,2}} \|T(\tau)f\|_X \le E(\tau), \tag{2.2}$$

which means that the value of problem (2.1) is not greater than $E(\tau)$.

Now find the value of problem (2.1) for the family $T_a(t)$, $t \ge 0$. By Plancherel's theorem, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |T_a(t)f(x)|^2 \, dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |F[T_a(t)f](\xi)|^2 \, d\xi = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2ta(\xi)} |F[f](\xi)|^2 \, d\xi.$$

Then the square of the value of problem (2.1) for the family $T_a(t)$, $t \ge 0$, is equal to the value of such problem

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\tau a(\xi)} |F[f](\xi)|^2 \, d\xi \to \max, \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2t_i a(\xi)} |F[f](\xi)|^2 \, d\xi \le \delta_i^2,$$

$$i = 1, 2, \quad f(\cdot) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}). \tag{2.3}$$

This problem can be considered as a problem where the variables are positive measures $d\mu_f(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-1}|F[f](\xi)|^2 \, d\xi$, $f(\cdot) \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$. It is convenient to consider a more general problem, namely, the extension of problem (2.3) to the set of all positive Borel measures μ on the line:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\tau a(\xi)} \, d\mu(\xi) \to \max, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2t_1 a(\xi)} \, d\mu(\xi) \le \delta_1^2,$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2t_2 a(\xi)} \, d\mu(\xi) \le \delta_2^2, \quad \mu \ge 0. \tag{2.4}$$

This is a convex extremal problem. Its Lagrange function has the form

$$\mathcal{L}(\mu, \lambda) = -\lambda_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\tau a(\xi)} \, d\mu(\xi) + \lambda_1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2t_1 a(\xi)} d\mu(\xi) - \delta_1^2 \right)$$

$$+ \lambda_2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2t_2 a(\xi)} d\mu(\xi) - \delta_2^2 \right),$$

where $\lambda = (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ is the set of Lagrange multipliers.

If $\widehat{\mu}$ delivers a minimum in problem (2.4), then according to the Karush–Kuhn–Tucker theorem (see [1]) there is a set of Lagrange multipliers $\widehat{\lambda} = (\widehat{\lambda}_0, \widehat{\lambda}_1, \widehat{\lambda}_2)$, not all of which vanish, such that

(a) $\min_{\mu \geq 0} \mathcal{L}(\mu, \widehat{\lambda}) = \mathcal{L}(\widehat{\mu}, \widehat{\lambda})$;

(b) $\widehat{\lambda}_i \geq 0, \quad i = 0, 1, 2$;

(c) $\widehat{\lambda}_1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2t_1 a(\xi)} \, d\widehat{\mu}(\xi) - \delta_1^2 \right) = 0, \quad \widehat{\lambda}_2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2t_2 (\xi)} \, d\widehat{\mu}(\xi) - \delta_2^2 \right) = 0.$

We show that $\widehat{\lambda}_0 > 0$. Indeed, if $\widehat{\lambda}_0 = 0$, then $\widehat{\lambda}_1 + \widehat{\lambda}_2 > 0$ and we have for $\widehat{\lambda} = (0, \widehat{\lambda}_1, \widehat{\lambda}_2)$ and $\mu = 0$ taking into account condition (c)

$$\mathcal{L}(0, \widehat{\lambda}) = -\widehat{\lambda}_1 \delta_1^2 - \widehat{\lambda}_2 \delta_2^2 < 0 = \widehat{\lambda}_1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2t_1 a(\xi)} d\widehat{\mu}(\xi) - \delta_1^2 \right)$$

$$+ \widehat{\lambda}_2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2t_2 a(\xi)} d\widehat{\mu}(\xi) - \delta_2^2 \right) = \mathcal{L}(\widehat{\mu}, \widehat{\lambda})$$

that is impossible by virtue of condition (a).

If $\widehat{\lambda}_0 > 0$, then conditions (a), (b) and (c) are sufficient for $\widehat{\mu}$ to be a solution of problem (2.4). More precisely, if the measure $\widehat{\mu}$ is admissible in problem (2.4) and there is such a vector $\widehat{\lambda} = (\widehat{\lambda}_0, \widehat{\lambda}_1, \widehat{\lambda}_2)$ with $\widehat{\lambda}_0 > 0$ that conditions (a), (b) and (c) are satisfied, then $\widehat{\mu}$ is a solution of this problem.

In fact, let $\widehat{\mu}$ be an admissible measure in problem (2.4). Using this fact together with (b) and then (a) and (c) we obtain that

$$-\widehat{\lambda}_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\tau a(\xi)} \, d\mu(\xi) \geq -\widehat{\lambda}_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\tau a(\xi)} \, d\mu(\xi) + \widehat{\lambda}_1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2t_1 a(\xi)} d\mu(\xi) - \delta_1^2 \right)$$

$$+ \widehat{\lambda}_2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2t_2 a(\xi)} d\mu(\xi) - \delta_2^2 \right) = \mathcal{L}(\mu, \widehat{\lambda}) \geq \mathcal{L}(\widehat{\mu}, \widehat{\lambda}) - \widehat{\lambda}_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\tau a(\xi)} \, d\widehat{\mu}(\xi)$$

$$+ \widehat{\lambda}_1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2t_1 a(\xi)} d\widehat{\mu}(\xi) - \delta_1^2 \right) + \widehat{\lambda}_2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2t_2 a(\xi)} d\widehat{\mu}(\xi) - \delta_2^2 \right)$$

$$= -\widehat{\lambda}_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\tau a(\xi)} \, d\widehat{\mu}(\xi).$$

Dividing by $\widehat{\lambda}_0$, we come to the fact that $\widehat{\mu}$ is a solution of problem (2.4).

We now investigate relations (a), (b) and (c) to understand the structure of measure $\widehat{\mu}$ and vector $\widehat{\lambda}$.

We rewrite the Lagrange function as

$$\mathcal{L}(\mu, \lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(-\lambda_0 e^{-2\tau a(\xi)} + \lambda_1 e^{-2t_1 a(\xi)} + \lambda_2 e^{-2t_2 a(\xi)} \right) d\mu(\xi) - \lambda_1 \delta_1^2 - \lambda_2 \delta_2^2.$$

Then condition (a) is equivalent to the inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(-\widehat{\lambda}_0 e^{-2\tau a(\xi)} + \widehat{\lambda}_1 e^{-2t_1 a(\xi)} + \widehat{\lambda}_2 e^{-2t_2 a(\xi)} \right) d\mu(\xi)$$
$$\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(-\widehat{\lambda}_0 e^{-2\tau a(\xi)} + \widehat{\lambda}_1 e^{-2t_1 a(\xi)} + \widehat{\lambda}_2 e^{-2t_2 a(\xi)} \right) d\widehat{\mu}(\xi) \quad (2.5)$$

for all $\mu \geq 0$.

Check that the value on the right must be zero. Indeed, if it is positive (negative), then taking $\mu = (1/2)\widehat{\mu}$ ($\mu = 2\widehat{\mu}$), we come to a contradiction with (2.5).

Further, the expression under the integral sign in (2.5) must be non-negative. If at some point this expression takes a negative value, then taking as a measure μ Dirac measure at this point, we get a negative number in (2.5) on the left, which is impossible.

So, the vector $\widehat{\lambda}$ must be such that the function under the sign of the integral in (2.5) is nonnegative, and the measure $\widehat{\mu}$ must be concentrated in zeros of this function.

Let $\widehat{\mu} = A\delta_{\xi_0}$, where δ_{ξ_0} is the Dirac measure at the point $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A > 0$. Choose ξ_0 and A so as to fulfill condition (c), and then choose positive $\widehat{\lambda}_0$, $\widehat{\lambda}_1$ and $\widehat{\lambda}_2$ so that the function under the integral sign in (2.5) is nonnegative and equals zero at the point ξ_0.

So, take ξ_0 and A such that the following equalities hold:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2t_i a(\xi)} d\widehat{\mu}(\xi) = A e^{-2t_i a(\xi_0)} = \delta_i^2, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (2.6)$$

This implies that

$$a(\xi_0) = \frac{\ln(1/\delta_2) - \ln(1/\delta_1)}{t_2 - t_1}, \qquad A = \delta_1^{2t_2/(t_2-t_1)} \delta_2^{-2t_1/(t_2-t_1)}. \quad (2.7)$$

Let us move on to the selection of Lagrange multipliers. To do this, we rewrite the expression under the sign of the integral in (2.5) as follows

$$e^{-2\tau a(\xi)} \left(-\widehat{\lambda}_0 + \widehat{\lambda}_1 e^{-2(t_1-\tau)a(\xi)} + \widehat{\lambda}_2 e^{-2(t_2-\tau)a(\xi)} \right). \quad (2.8)$$

It is clear that the nonnegativity of the function in brackets implies the nonnegativity of the whole expression. Define the function $\alpha \mapsto H(\alpha, \widehat{\lambda})$ on $\mathbb{R}$ by the formula

$$H(\alpha, \widehat{\lambda}) = -\widehat{\lambda}_0 + \widehat{\lambda}_1 e^{-2(t_1-\tau)\alpha} + \widehat{\lambda}_2 e^{-2(t_2-\tau)\alpha}$$

and assume that at the point $a(\xi_0)$ this function and its derivative are equal to zero. This gives the following relations

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{\lambda}_1 e^{-2(t_1-\tau)a(\xi_0)} + \widehat{\lambda}_2 e^{-2(t_2-\tau)a(\xi_0)} = \widehat{\lambda}_0, \\ \widehat{\lambda}_1 (t_1 - \tau) e^{-2(t_1-\tau)a(\xi_0)} + \widehat{\lambda}_2 (t_2 - \tau) e^{-2(t_2-\tau)a(\xi_0)} = 0, \end{cases}$$

from which we obtain that

$$\widehat{\lambda}_1 = \widehat{\lambda}_0 \frac{t_2 - \tau}{t_2 - t_1} e^{2(t_1-\tau)a(\xi_0)}, \quad \widehat{\lambda}_2 = \widehat{\lambda}_0 \frac{\tau - t_1}{t_2 - t_1} e^{2(t_2-\tau)a(\xi_0)}. \quad (2.9)$$

The above reasoning allows us to determine the measure admissible in problem (2.4) and the Lagrange multipliers satisfying conditions (a), (b) and (c).

Since $\delta_1 > \delta_2$ and $a(\xi) \to +\infty$ as $\xi \to \pm\infty$, then there is such $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ that the first relation in (2.7) is satisfied.

Then it is easy to check that with such $a(\xi_0)$ and with A as in (2.7), the relations (2.6) are true and hence the condition (c) is satisfied.

Let us put $\widehat{\lambda}_0 = 1$, and let $\widehat{\lambda}_1$ and $\widehat{\lambda}_2$ be as in (2.9). It is obvious that $\widehat{\lambda}_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2$, and thus conditions (b) are fulfilled. If we substitute $a(\xi_0)$ from (2.7) into formulas for $\widehat{\lambda}_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2$, we obtain expressions for these Lagrange multipliers, which are given before the formulation of the theorem.

With such $\widehat{\lambda}_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2$, the function $\alpha \mapsto H(\alpha, \widehat{\lambda})$ is equal to zero together with its derivative at the point $a(\xi_0)$. But it is a convex function and therefore it is nonnegative everywhere. Thus, the expression in (2.8) is everywhere nonnegative and vanishes at the point ξ_0. Hence, the expression on the left in (2.5) is nonnegative for any $\mu \geq 0$ and vanishes for $\widehat{\mu}$. This is equivalent to the condition (a).

So, conditions (a), (b) and (c) are fulfilled and so $\widehat{\mu}$ is a solution of problem (2.4). Simple calculations taking into account (2.7) show that the value of this problem is as follows

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\tau a(\xi)} \, d\widehat{\mu}(\xi) = Ae^{-2\tau a(\xi_0)} = \widehat{\lambda}_1 \delta_1^2 + \widehat{\lambda}_2 \delta_2^2.$$

It is clear that the value of problem (2.4) is not less than the value of problem (2.3). We show that in fact the values of these problems coincide. Indeed, consider a family of functions $\varphi_n(\cdot) \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$F[\varphi_n](\xi) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2\pi n} \, \delta_1^{\frac{t_2}{t_2 - t_1}} \delta_2^{-\frac{t_1}{t_2 - t_1}}, & \xi \in [\xi_0, \xi_0 + 1/n], \\ 0, & \xi \notin [\xi_0, \xi_0 + 1/n]. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that the functions $\varphi_n(\cdot)$ are admissible in problem (2.3) and that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\tau a(\xi)} |F[\varphi_n](\xi)|^2 \, d\xi \to \widehat{\lambda}_1 \delta_1^2 + \widehat{\lambda}_2 \delta_2^2$$

as $n \to \infty$. Thus, the values of problems (2.4) and (2.3) are the same.

Then according to inequality (2.2) we obtain the following estimate

$$E(\tau) \geq \sqrt{\widehat{\lambda}_1 \delta_1^2 + \widehat{\lambda}_2 \delta_2^2}. \tag{2.10}$$

2) *The upper bound of the optimal recovery error and optimal recovery methods.* Let us start by considering an abstract family of operators. We will look for optimal methods among continuous linear operators $\varphi \colon X \times X \to X$. It is easy to check that this is equivalent to

$$\varphi(g_1, g_2) = \Lambda_1 g_1 + \Lambda_2 g_2,$$

where $\Lambda_i \colon X \to X$, $i = 1, 2$, are continuous linear operators.

Let us estimate the error of this method, which is by definition equal to the value of the following problem:

$$\|T(\tau)f - \Lambda_1 g_1 - \Lambda_2 g_2\|_X \to \max, \quad \|T(t_i)f - g_i\|_X \leq \delta_i,$$

$$g_i \in X, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad f \in X. \tag{2.11}$$

Let $f \in X$ and $g_i = T(t_i)f$, $i = 1, 2$. Then the triple (f, g_1, g_2) is admissible in this problem. For this triple, using the semigroup property of operators, the value of the maximized functional in the problem (2.11) takes the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T(\tau)f - \Lambda_1 g_1 - \Lambda_2 g_2\|_X &= \|T(\tau)f - \Lambda_1 T(t_1)f - \Lambda_2 T(t_1)f\|_X \\
&= \|T(\tau - t_1)T(t_1)f - \Lambda_1 T(t_1)f - \Lambda_2 T(t_2 - t_1)T(t_1)f\|_X \\
&= \|(T(\tau - t_1) - \Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2 T(t_2 - t_1))T(t_1)f\|_X.
\end{aligned}
$$

If the operator in parentheses is not zero, the expression can be arbitrarily large. Therefore, the error of the method with such operators is equal $+\infty$. Since we are interested in optimal recovery methods, methods with infinite error can be discarded.

So, operators Λ_i, $i = 1, 2$, should be such that

$$
T(\tau - t_1) - \Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2 T(t_2 - t_1) = 0.
$$

If this condition holds, then denoting $\Lambda = \Lambda_2$ the maximized functional in problem (2.11) is written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T(\tau)f - (T(\tau - t_1) - \Lambda T(t_2 - t_1))g_1 - \Lambda g_2\|_X \\
= \|(T(\tau - t_1) - \Lambda T(t_2 - t_1))(T(t_1)f - g_1) + \Lambda(T(t_2)f - g_2)\|_X.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we come to the following problem, the value of which we are interested in

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|(T(\tau - t_1) - \Lambda T(t_2 - t_1))(T(t_1)f - g_1) + \Lambda(T(t_2)f - g_2)\|_X \to \max, \\
\|T(t_i)f - g_i\|_X \le \delta_i, \quad g_i \in X, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad f \in X. \quad (2.12)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us now move on to the family of operators $T_a(t)$, $t \ge 0$. Because we recover an operator whose action in terms of Fourier transforms is multiplication by a function belonging to $L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$, then it is natural to search for optimal methods among such operators.

So, for each function $\omega(\cdot) \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ we consider the operator $\Lambda_\omega \colon L_2(\mathbb{R}) \to L_2(\mathbb{R})$ whose Fourier transforms are defined as

$$
F[\Lambda_\omega g](\xi) = \omega(\xi) F[g](\xi), \text{ for a. e. } \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \ \forall g \in L_2(\mathbb{R}).
$$

It has been shown above that such an operator is continuous.

It is easy to see that in terms of Fourier transforms the squared value of problem (2.12) is equal to the value of the following problem

$$
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(e^{-(\tau - t_1)a(\xi)} - \omega(\xi)e^{-(t_2 - t_1)a(\xi)})F[T_a(t_1)f - g_1](\xi)
$$

$$
+ \omega(\xi)F[T_a(t_2)f - g_2](\xi)|^2 \, d\xi \to \max,
$$

$$
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |F[T_a(t_i)f - g_i](\xi)|^2 \, d\xi \le \delta_i^2, \quad g_i(\cdot) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}), \quad i = 1, 2,
$$

$$
f(\cdot) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}). \quad (2.13)
$$

Let us estimate the maximized functional from above denoting for short

$$
\omega_1(\xi) = e^{-(\tau - t_1)a(\xi)} - \omega(\xi)e^{-(t_2 - t_1)a(\xi)}.
$$

Let $\widehat{\lambda}_i$, $i = 1, 2$ be Lagrange multipliers defined above. Then we have, using the CauchyïïSSchwarz inequality, for a. e. $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|\omega_1(\xi)F[T_a(t_1)f - g_1](\xi) + \omega(\xi)F[T_a(t_2)f - g_2](\xi)|^2$$

$$= \left| \frac{\omega_1(\xi)}{\sqrt{\widehat{\lambda}_1}}\sqrt{\widehat{\lambda}_1}\,F[T_a(t_1)f - g_1](\xi) + \frac{\omega(\xi)}{\sqrt{\widehat{\lambda}_2}}\sqrt{\widehat{\lambda}_2}\,F[T_a(t_2)f - g_2](\xi) \right|^2$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{|\omega_1(\xi)|^2}{\widehat{\lambda}_1} + \frac{|\omega(\xi)|^2}{\widehat{\lambda}_2} \right)\left(\widehat{\lambda}_1|F[T_a(t_1)f - g_1](\xi)|^2 + \widehat{\lambda}_2|F[T_a(t_2)f - g_2](\xi)|^2 \right).$$

Let $S(\cdot)$ denote the function in large parentheses. It is clear that $S(\cdot) \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Integrating the last inequality and taking into account the constraints in problem (2.13), we find that the value of this problem does not exceed

$$\|S(\cdot)\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})}(\widehat{\lambda}_1\delta_1^2 + \widehat{\lambda}_2\delta_2^2).$$

Comparing this inequality with inequality (2.10) we see that if there is $\omega(\cdot) \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\|S(\cdot)\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1$, then there is a linear optimal method $\widehat{\varphi}$, which in Fourier transforms acts by formula

$$F[\widehat{\varphi}(g_1, g_2)](\xi) = (e^{-(\tau - t_1)a(\xi)} - \omega(\xi)e^{-(t_2 - t_1)a(\xi)})F[g_1](\xi) + \omega(\xi)F[g_2](\xi)$$

for a. e. $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$.

We will show that such functions $\omega(\cdot) \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ exist. Condition $\|S(\cdot)\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1$ is equivalent to the inequality

$$\frac{|e^{-(\tau - t_1)a(\xi)} - \omega(\xi)e^{-(t_2 - t_1)a(\xi)}|^2}{\widehat{\lambda}_1} + \frac{|\omega(\xi)|^2}{\widehat{\lambda}_2} \leq 1$$

for a. e. $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. This, in turn, (after squaring) is equivalent to the inequality

$$\left| \omega(\xi) - \frac{\widehat{\lambda}_2 e^{-(\tau - t_1)a(\xi)}}{\widehat{\lambda}_1 e^{(t_2 - t_1)a(\xi)} + \widehat{\lambda}_2 e^{-(t_2 - t_1)a(\xi)}} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{\sqrt{\widehat{\lambda}_1\widehat{\lambda}_2}\, e^{t_2 a(\xi)}}{\widehat{\lambda}_1 e^{(t_2 - t_1)a(\xi)} + \widehat{\lambda}_2 e^{-(t_2 - t_1)a(\xi)}}\sqrt{\widehat{\lambda}_1 e^{-2t_1 a(\xi)} + \widehat{\lambda}_2 e^{-2t_2 a(\xi)} - e^{-2\tau a(\xi)}}.$$

The expression on the right makes sense because the function under the root sign is the nonnegative function (2.8). Thus, the functions $\omega(\cdot) \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying the condition $\|S(\cdot)\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1$ exist and thus the theorem is proved.

3   Examples

3.1   Optimal recovery of a solution of the heat equation

Consider the problem of optimal recovery of temperature on $\mathbb{R}$ at time instant τ from its approximate measurements at time instants t_1 and t_2. The heat propagation on line is described by the equation

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \dfrac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}, \\ u(0, \cdot) = f(\cdot). \end{cases}$$

We assume that $f(\cdot) \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$. The unique solution of this problem for $t > 0$ is given by the Poisson integral

$$u(t, x) = u(t, x; f) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi t}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{|x - y|^2}{4t}} f(y)\, dy$$

with $u(t, \cdot) \to f(\cdot)$ as $t \to 0$ in the metric of $L_2(\mathbb{R})$.

The Fourier transform of the solution of the heat equation is given by

$$F[u(t, x; f)](\xi) = e^{-t\xi^2} F[f](\xi)$$

(see, for example, [2]). Thus, our problem is a particular case of the problem considered above when $\alpha(\xi) = \xi^2$.

3.2 Optimal recovery of a solution of the Dirichlet problem

Consider the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + \dfrac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = 0, \\ u(0, \cdot) = f(\cdot) \end{cases}$$

of finding a harmonic function $u(\cdot, \cdot)$ in the upper half-plane $(0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ such that $u(t, \cdot) \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$ for all $t > 0$, $u(t, \cdot) \to f(\cdot)$ as $t \to 0$ in the metric $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$\sup_{t>0} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})} < \infty.$$

In this case, the solution of the problem is unique and is given by the Poisson integral

$$u(t, x) = u(t, x; f) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{t f(y)}{(x - y)^2 + t^2} \, dy.$$

(see [3]). The Fourier transform of the solution of the Dirichlet problem is given by

$$F[u(t, x; f)](\xi) = e^{-t|\xi|} F[f](\xi).$$

If we set the problem of optimal recovery of the solution of the Dirichlet problem on the line $t = \tau$ from inaccurate measurements of this solution on the lines $t = t_1$ and $t = t_2$, $t_1 < \tau < t_2$, we obtain a special case of the problem considered above.

Let us make a few concluding remarks. The first results related to the problem of optimal recovery of linear operators were obtained in [4]. This direction was further developed in the papers [5-7], where authors applied an approach based on the general principles of extremum theory. The application of the theory of optimal reconstruction of linear operators to problems of mathematical physics is described in [8-11].

Acknowledgments

References

[1] E.V. Abramova, *On optimal recovery of Dirichlet problem from a boundary function known approximately.* Vladikavkaz. Mat. Zh. 17 (2015), no. 1, 3–13.

[2] E.A. Balova, *Optimal reconstruction of the solution of the Dirichlet problem from inaccurate input data.* Math. Notes. 82 (2007), 285–294.

[3] A.N. Kolmogorov, S.V. Fomin, *Elements of theory of functions and functional analysis.* Dover, Mineola, NY, 1999.

[4] G.G. Magaril-Il'yaev, K.Yu. Osipenko, *Optimal recovery of functions and their derivatives from inaccurate information about the spectrum and inequalities for derivatives.* Funct. Anal. Appl. 37 (2003), 203–214.

[5] G.G. Magaril-Il'yaev, K.Yu. Osipenko, *Optimal recovery of the solution of the heat equation from inaccurate data.* Sb. Math. 200 (2009), no. 5, 665–682.

[6] G.G. Magaril-Il'yaev, K.Yu. Osipenko, *On the reconstruction of convolution-type operators from inaccurate information.* Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 269 (2010), 174–185.

[7] G.G. Magaril-Il'yaev, K.Yu. Osipenko, V.M. Tikhomirov, *On optimal recovery of heat equation solutions.* In Approximation Theory: A volume dedicated to B. Bojanov, Ed. by D.K. Dimitrov, G. Nikolov, and R. Uluchev (Marin Drinov Acad. Publ. House, Sofia, (2004), 163–175.

[8] G.G. Magaril-Il'yaev, E.O. Sivkova, *Best recovery of the Laplace operator of a function from incomplete spectral data.* Sb. Math. 203 (2012), no. 4, 569–580.

[9] G.G. Magaril-Il'yaev, V.M. Tikhomirov, *Convex analysis: theory and applications.* Am. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.

[10] A.A. Melkman, C.A. Micchelli, *Optimal estimation of linear operators in Hilbert spaces from inaccurate data.* SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 16 (1979), 87–105.

[11] E.M. Stein, G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces.* Princeton University Press, 1971.

Georgii Georgievich Magaril-Il'yaev
Institute for Information Transmission Problems,
Russian Academy of Sciences, Bol'shoi Karetnyi per. 19, str. 1,
Moscow, 127051 Russia,
and
Southern Mathematical Institute — the Affiliate of the Vladikavkaz
Scientific Centre of the Russian Academy of Sciences, ul. Vatutina 53,
Vladikavkaz, 362025 Russia.
Email: magaril@mech.math.msu.su

Elena Olegovna Sivkova
Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics, Moscow State University,
Moscow, 119991 Russia,
and
Moscow Pedagogical State University (MPGU),
1/1 M. Pirogovskaya St, Moscow, 119991 Russia.
Email: sivkova-elena@inbox.ru