

ISSN 2077–9879

Eurasian Mathematical Journal

2017, Volume 8, Number 1

Founded in 2010 by
the L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University
in cooperation with
the M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University
the Peoples' Friendship University of Russia
the University of Padua

Supported by the ISAAC
(International Society for Analysis, its Applications and Computation)
and
by the Kazakhstan Mathematical Society

Published by
the L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University
Astana, Kazakhstan

EURASIAN MATHEMATICAL JOURNAL

Editorial Board

Editors-in-Chief

V.I. Burenkov, M. Otelbaev, V.A. Sadovnichy

Editors

Sh.A. Alimov (Uzbekistan), H. Begehr (Germany), T. Bekjan (China), O.V. Besov (Russia), N.A. Bokayev (Kazakhstan), A.A. Borubaev (Kyrgyzstan), G. Bourdaud (France), A. Caetano (Portugal), M. Carro (Spain), A.D.R. Choudary (Pakistan), V.N. Chubarikov (Russia), A.S. Dzumadildaev (Kazakhstan), V.M. Filippov (Russia), H. Ghazaryan (Armenia), M.L. Goldman (Russia), V. Goldshtein (Israel), V. Guliyev (Azerbaijan), D.D. Haroske (Germany), A. Hasanoglu (Turkey), M. Huxley (Great Britain), M. Imanaliev (Kyrgyzstan), P. Jain (India), T.Sh. Kalmenov (Kazakhstan), B.E. Kangyzhin (Kazakhstan), K.K. Kenzhibayev (Kazakhstan), S.N. Kharin (Kazakhstan), E. Kissin (Great Britain), V. Kokilashvili (Georgia), V.I. Korzyuk (Belarus), A. Kufner (Czech Republic), L.K. Kussainova (Kazakhstan), P.D. Lamberti (Italy), M. Lanza de Cristoforis (Italy), V.G. Maz'ya (Sweden), E.D. Nursultanov (Kazakhstan), R. Oinarov (Kazakhstan), K.N. Ospanov (Kazakhstan), I.N. Parasidis (Greece), J. Pečarić (Croatia), S.A. Plaksa (Ukraine), L.-E. Persson (Sweden), E.L. Presman (Russia), M.A. Ragusa (Italy), M.D. Ramazanov (Russia), M. Reissig (Germany), M. Ruzhansky (Great Britain), S. Sagitov (Sweden), T.O. Shaposhnikova (Sweden), A.A. Shkalikov (Russia), V.A. Skvortsov (Poland), G. Sinnamon (Canada), E.S. Smailov (Kazakhstan), V.D. Stepanov (Russia), Ya.T. Sultanaev (Russia), I.A. Taimanov (Russia), T.V. Tararykova (Great Britain), J.A. Tussupov (Kazakhstan), U.U. Umirbaev (Kazakhstan), Z.D. Usmanov (Tajikistan), N. Vasilevski (Mexico), Dachun Yang (China), B.T. Zhumagulov (Kazakhstan)

Managing Editor

A.M. Temirkhanova

Aims and Scope

The Eurasian Mathematical Journal (EMJ) publishes carefully selected original research papers in all areas of mathematics written by mathematicians, principally from Europe and Asia. However papers by mathematicians from other continents are also welcome.

From time to time the EMJ publishes survey papers.

The EMJ publishes 4 issues in a year.

The language of the paper must be English only.

The contents of EMJ are indexed in Scopus, Web of Science (ESCI), Mathematical Reviews, MathSciNet, Zentralblatt Math (ZMATH), Referativnyi Zhurnal – Matematika, Math-Net.Ru.

The EMJ is included in the list of journals recommended by the Committee for Control of Education and Science (Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan) and in the list of journals recommended by the Higher Attestation Commission (Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation).

Information for the Authors

Submission. Manuscripts should be written in LaTeX and should be submitted electronically in DVI, PostScript or PDF format to the EMJ Editorial Office via e-mail (eurasianmj@yandex.kz).

When the paper is accepted, the authors will be asked to send the tex-file of the paper to the Editorial Office.

The author who submitted an article for publication will be considered as a corresponding author. Authors may nominate a member of the Editorial Board whom they consider appropriate for the article. However, assignment to that particular editor is not guaranteed.

Copyright. When the paper is accepted, the copyright is automatically transferred to the EMJ. Manuscripts are accepted for review on the understanding that the same work has not been already published (except in the form of an abstract), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, and that it has been approved by all authors.

Title page. The title page should start with the title of the paper and authors' names (no degrees). It should contain the Keywords (no more than 10), the Subject Classification (AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) with primary (and secondary) subject classification codes), and the Abstract (no more than 150 words with minimal use of mathematical symbols).

Figures. Figures should be prepared in a digital form which is suitable for direct reproduction.

References. Bibliographical references should be listed alphabetically at the end of the article. The authors should consult the Mathematical Reviews for the standard abbreviations of journals' names.

Authors' data. The authors' affiliations, addresses and e-mail addresses should be placed after the References.

Proofs. The authors will receive proofs only once. The late return of proofs may result in the paper being published in a later issue.

Offprints. The authors will receive offprints in electronic form.

Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice

For information on Ethics in publishing and Ethical guidelines for journal publication see <http://www.elsevier.com/publishingethics> and <http://www.elsevier.com/journal-authors/ethics>.

Submission of an article to the EMJ implies that the work described has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture or academic thesis or as an electronic preprint, see <http://www.elsevier.com/postingpolicy>), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright-holder. In particular, translations into English of papers already published in another language are not accepted.

No other forms of scientific misconduct are allowed, such as plagiarism, falsification, fraudulent data, incorrect interpretation of other works, incorrect citations, etc. The EMJ follows the Code of Conduct of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), and follows the COPE Flowcharts for Resolving Cases of Suspected Misconduct (<http://publicationethics.org/files/u2/NewCode.pdf>). To verify originality, your article may be checked by the originality detection service CrossCheck <http://www.elsevier.com/editors/plagdetect>.

The authors are obliged to participate in peer review process and be ready to provide corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed. All authors of a paper should have significantly contributed to the research.

The reviewers should provide objective judgments and should point out relevant published works which are not yet cited. Reviewed articles should be treated confidentially. The reviewers will be chosen in such a way that there is no conflict of interests with respect to the research, the authors and/or the research funders.

The editors have complete responsibility and authority to reject or accept a paper, and they will only accept a paper when reasonably certain. They will preserve anonymity of reviewers and promote publication of corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed. The acceptance of a paper automatically implies the copyright transfer to the EMJ.

The Editorial Board of the EMJ will monitor and safeguard publishing ethics.

The procedure of reviewing a manuscript, established by the Editorial Board of the Eurasian Mathematical Journal

1. Reviewing procedure

1.1. All research papers received by the Eurasian Mathematical Journal (EMJ) are subject to mandatory reviewing.

1.2. The Managing Editor of the journal determines whether a paper fits to the scope of the EMJ and satisfies the rules of writing papers for the EMJ, and directs it for a preliminary review to one of the Editors-in-chief who checks the scientific content of the manuscript and assigns a specialist for reviewing the manuscript.

1.3. Reviewers of manuscripts are selected from highly qualified scientists and specialists of the L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University (doctors of sciences, professors), other universities of the Republic of Kazakhstan and foreign countries. An author of a paper cannot be its reviewer.

1.4. Duration of reviewing in each case is determined by the Managing Editor aiming at creating conditions for the most rapid publication of the paper.

1.5. Reviewing is confidential. Information about a reviewer is anonymous to the authors and is available only for the Editorial Board and the Control Committee in the Field of Education and Science of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan (CCFES). The author has the right to read the text of the review.

1.6. If required, the review is sent to the author by e-mail.

1.7. A positive review is not a sufficient basis for publication of the paper.

1.8. If a reviewer overall approves the paper, but has observations, the review is confidentially sent to the author. A revised version of the paper in which the comments of the reviewer are taken into account is sent to the same reviewer for additional reviewing.

1.9. In the case of a negative review the text of the review is confidentially sent to the author.

1.10. If the author sends a well reasoned response to the comments of the reviewer, the paper should be considered by a commission, consisting of three members of the Editorial Board.

1.11. The final decision on publication of the paper is made by the Editorial Board and is recorded in the minutes of the meeting of the Editorial Board.

1.12. After the paper is accepted for publication by the Editorial Board the Managing Editor informs the author about this and about the date of publication.

1.13. Originals reviews are stored in the Editorial Office for three years from the date of publication and are provided on request of the CCFES.

1.14. No fee for reviewing papers will be charged.

2. Requirements for the content of a review

2.1. In the title of a review there should be indicated the author(s) and the title of a paper.

2.2. A review should include a qualified analysis of the material of a paper, objective assessment and reasoned recommendations.

2.3. A review should cover the following topics:

- compliance of the paper with the scope of the EMJ;
- compliance of the title of the paper to its content;
- compliance of the paper to the rules of writing papers for the EMJ (abstract, key words and phrases, bibliography etc.);
- a general description and assessment of the content of the paper (subject, focus, actuality of the topic, importance and actuality of the obtained results, possible applications);

- content of the paper (the originality of the material, survey of previously published studies on the topic of the paper, erroneous statements (if any), controversial issues (if any), and so on);
- exposition of the paper (clarity, conciseness, completeness of proofs, completeness of bibliographic references, typographical quality of the text);
- possibility of reducing the volume of the paper, without harming the content and understanding of the presented scientific results;
- description of positive aspects of the paper, as well as of drawbacks, recommendations for corrections and complements to the text.

2.4. The final part of the review should contain an overall opinion of a reviewer on the paper and a clear recommendation on whether the paper can be published in the Eurasian Mathematical Journal, should be sent back to the author for revision or cannot be published.

Web-page

The web-page of EMJ is www.emj.enu.kz. One can enter the web-page by typing Eurasian Mathematical Journal in any search engine (Google, Yandex, etc.). The archive of the web-page contains all papers published in EMJ (free access).

Subscription

For Institutions

- US\$ 200 (or equivalent) for one volume (4 issues)
- US\$ 60 (or equivalent) for one issue

For Individuals

- US\$ 160 (or equivalent) for one volume (4 issues)
- US\$ 50 (or equivalent) for one issue.

The price includes handling and postage.

The Subscription Form for subscribers can be obtained by e-mail:

eurasianmj@yandex.kz

The Eurasian Mathematical Journal (EMJ)
The Editorial Office
The L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University
Building no. 3
Room 306a
Tel.: +7-7172-709500 extension 33312
13 Kazhymukan St
010008 Astana
Kazakhstan

This issue contains the first part of the collection of papers sent to the Eurasian Mathematical Journal dedicated to the 70th birthday of Professor R. Oinarov.

The second part of the collection will be published in Volume 8, Number 2.

RYSKUL OINAROV

(to the 70th birthday)



On February 26, 2017 was the 70th birthday of Ryskul Oinarov, member of the Editorial Board of the Eurasian Mathematical Journal, professor of the Department Fundamental Mathematics of the L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University, doctor of physical and mathematical sciences (1994), professor (1997), honoured worker of education of the Republic of Kazakhstan (2007), corresponding member of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan (2012). In 2005 he was awarded the breastplate “For the merits in the development of science in the Republic of Kazakhstan”, in 2007 and 2014 the state grant “The best university teacher”, in 2016 the Order “Kurmet” (= “Honour”).

R. Oinarov was born in the village Kul’Aryk, Kazalinsk district, Kyzylorda region. In 1969 he graduated from the S.M. Kirov Kazakh State University (Almaty). Starting with 1972 he worked at the Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics of the Academy of Sciences of the Kazakh SSR (senior engineer, junior researcher, senior researcher, head of a laboratory). In 1981 he defended of the candidate of sciences thesis “Continuity and Lipschitzness of nonlinear integral operators of Uryson’s type” at the Tashkent State University of the Uzbek SSR and in 1994 the doctor of sciences thesis “Weighted estimates of integral and differential operators” at the Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics of the Academy of Sciences of the Kazakh SSR.

Starting from 2000 he has been working as a professor at the L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University

Scientific works of R. Oinarov are devoted to investigation of linear and non-linear integral and discrete operators in weighted spaces; to studying problems of the well-posedness of differential equations; to weighted inequalities; to embedding theorems for the weighted function spaces of Sobolev type and their applications to the qualitative theory of linear and quasilinear differential equations. A certain class of integral operators is named after him - integral operators with *Oinarov’s kernels* or *Oinarov condition*. On the whole, the results obtained by R. Oinarov have laid the groundwork for new perspective directions in the theory of function spaces and its applications to the theory of differential equations.

R. Oinarov has published more than 100 scientific papers. The list of his most important publications may be seen on the web-page

<https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=NzXYMS4AAAAJhl=ruoi=ao>

Under his supervision 26 theses have been defended: 1 doctor of sciences thesis, 15 candidate of sciences theses and 10 PhD theses. The Editorial Board of the Eurasian Mathematical Journal congratulates Ryskul Oinarov on the occasion of his 70th birthday and wishes him good health and new achievements in mathematics and mathematical education.

MODULAR AND NORM INEQUALITIES FOR OPERATORS
ON THE CONE OF DECREASING FUNCTIONS IN ORLICZ SPACE

E.G. Bakhtigareeva, M.L. Goldman

Communicated by V.D. Stepanov

Dedicated to the 70th birthday of Professor Ryskul Oinarov

Key words: weighted Orlicz spaces, modular and norm inequalities, cone of decreasing functions, reduction theorems.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 46E30, 42A16.

Abstract. Modular and norm inequalities are considered on the cone of all nonnegative functions as well as on the cone Ω of all nonnegative decreasing functions in the weighted Orlicz space. Reduction theorems are proved for the norm of positively homogeneous operator on the cone Ω . We show that it is equivalent to the norm of a certain modified operator on the cone of all nonnegative functions in this space. Analogous results are established for modular inequalities

1 Introduction

We consider modular and norm inequalities for positively homogeneous operators on the cone of all nonnegative functions as well as on the cone Ω of all nonnegative decreasing functions in the weighted Orlicz space.

In Section 2 we show that modular inequality is equivalent to one-parametrical family of norm-type inequalities. Here we extend approaches developed in the papers of S. Bloom and R. Kerman [1, 2], and P. Drabek, A. Kufner, and H. P. Heinig [3].

In Section 3 a reduction theorem is established for the norm of positively homogeneous operator on the cone Ω . We show that it is equivalent to the norm of a certain modified operator on the cone of all nonnegative functions in this space. The result is based on the principle of duality that gives the description of the associate Orlicz norm for the cone Ω . First it was developed in the well-known paper of E. Sawyer [4] for the cone Ω in the weighted Lebesgue space. Then, H. P. Heinig and A. Kufner [5] have obtained the description of the associate norm for the cone Ω in the weighted Orlicz space under assumptions that N -function Φ determining the Orlicz space L_Φ as well as its complementary function Ψ both satisfy the well-known Δ_2 -condition. Without the Δ_2 conditions the description of the associate norm for the cone Ω in the weighted Orlicz space was obtained first in [6]. In [7, 8] we develop this approach by including the case of arbitrary Young functions and general operators. Note that in general case the form of the answer differs essentially from the one given in [5].

Section 4 is devoted to a reduction theorem for modular inequalities. On the base of the results obtained in Sections 2 and 3 we show the equivalence of modular inequalities on the

cone Ω and modified modular inequalities on the cone of all nonnegative functions in the Orlicz space.

2 The relations between modular and norm-type inequalities

Let (X, Σ, μ) and (Y, Ξ, ν) be the spaces with nonnegative σ -finite measures; let $M(X), M(Y)$ be the corresponding sets of all real-valued measurable functions, $M_+(X), M_+(Y)$ be the subsets of all functions that are nonnegative almost everywhere. Let $K \subset M_+(X)$ be a cone of functions, such that

$$f \in K, \alpha \geq 0 \Rightarrow \alpha f \in K; \quad (2.1)$$

and $T : K \rightarrow M_+(Y)$ be a positively homogenous operator, that is

$$f \in K, \alpha \geq 0 \Rightarrow T(\alpha f) = \alpha T(f). \quad (2.2)$$

We introduce the class Θ_0 of functions Φ with the following properties

$$\Theta_0 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]; \quad \Phi \uparrow; \quad \Phi(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 0; \\ \exists t_\infty = t_\infty(\Phi) \in (0, \infty] : \quad \Phi \in C[0, t_\infty), \Phi(t_\infty - 0) = \infty \end{array} \right\}. \quad (2.3)$$

By Φ^{-1} we denote the left-continuous inverse function for $\Phi \in \Theta_0$, that is

$$\Phi^{-1}(\tau) = \inf \{t \in [0, \infty) : \Phi(t) \geq \tau\}, \quad \tau \in [0, \infty). \quad (2.4)$$

Introduce,

$$\sigma_\Phi(\varepsilon) = \Phi(\varepsilon^{-1})^{-1}, \quad \Phi \in \Theta_0. \quad (2.5)$$

$$\|f\|_{L_\Phi(X, d\mu)} = \inf \{\lambda > 0 : J_\lambda(f; \Phi, X, d\mu) \leq 1\}; \quad (2.6)$$

$$J_\lambda(f; \Phi, X, d\mu) := \int_X \Phi(\lambda^{-1}|f|) d\mu, \quad f \in M(X). \quad (2.7)$$

In the case of Young functions considered in Sections 2 and 3 (2.6) is a norm.

Theorem 2.1. *Under the above notations and conditions let*

$$\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in \Theta_0; \quad t_\infty(\Phi_1) = t_\infty(\Phi_2) := t_\infty; \quad u \in M_+(X), w \in M_+(Y).$$

Then, the modular inequality

$$\Phi_2^{-1} \left\{ \int_Y \Phi_2(wTf) d\nu \right\} \leq \Phi_1^{-1} \left\{ \int_X \Phi_1(Cuf) d\mu \right\} \quad (2.8)$$

holds for all $f \in K$ with a constant $C \in R_+$ independent of f if and only if the following norm-type inequalities hold for all $f \in K$ and $\varepsilon \in (t_\infty^{-1}, \infty)$

$$\|wTf\|_{L_{\Phi_2}(Y, \sigma_{\Phi_2}(\varepsilon) d\nu)} \leq C \|uf\|_{L_{\Phi_1}(X, \sigma_{\Phi_1}(\varepsilon) d\mu)}. \quad (2.9)$$

Remark 1. Theorem 2.1 is essentially more general than related Proposition 2.1 in [3]. Indeed, we deal here with more general modular functions $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in \Theta_0$, we work on the cone $K \subset M_+$ and we do not require the linearity of operator T . Moreover, in the case of N -functions Φ considered in [3] automatically follows that $t_\infty(\Phi) = \infty$, hence $t_\infty(\Phi_1) = t_\infty(\Phi_2) = \infty$. Nevertheless, the proof of Theorem 2.1 is similar to the proof in [3] and it is omitted here.

Corollary 2.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 let $\Phi_1 = \Phi_2 \equiv \Phi$. Then the integral inequality holds*

$$\int_Y \Phi(wTf) d\nu \leq \int_X \Phi(Cuf) d\mu \tag{2.10}$$

for all $f \in K$ with a constant $C \in R_+$ independent of f if and only if the norm-type inequality holds

$$\|wT(f) |L_\Phi(Y, \delta d\nu)\| \leq C \|uf |L_\Phi(X, \delta d\mu)\|. \tag{2.11}$$

for all $f \in K$ and $\delta \in R_+$.

This conclusion generalizes Proposition 2.5 in [1].

3 The reduction theorem for norms of operators on the cone of monotonic functions in Orlicz space

3.1 Notations and preliminary results

We assume in this Section that $X = Y = R_+$, $M(X) = M(Y) = M(R_+)$ is the set of all Lebesgue-measurable functions, M_+ is the cone of all almost everywhere nonnegative functions in $M = M(R_+)$; μ, ν are weighted Lebesgue measures with weights in \dot{M}_+ , that is

$$\dot{M}_+ = \{f \in M(R_+) : 0 < f < \infty\}, \quad d\mu = vdt, \quad d\nu = udt, \quad u, v \in \dot{M}_+; \tag{3.1}$$

Let $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a Young function, i.e.

$$\Phi(t) = \int_0^t \varphi(\tau) d\tau, \tag{3.2}$$

where a function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is increasing and left-continuous, $\varphi(0) = 0$, and φ is neither identically zero nor identically infinity on $(0, \infty)$. Denote

$$t_0 \equiv t_0(\Phi) = \sup \{t \in [0, \infty) : \Phi(t) = 0\}; \tag{3.3}$$

$$t_\infty \equiv t_\infty(\Phi) = \inf \{t \in R_+ : \Phi(t) = \infty\} \tag{3.4}$$

(if $\Phi(t) < \infty, t \in R_+$, we assume $t_\infty(\Phi) = \infty$). Then,

$$t_0 \in [0, \infty); \quad t_\infty \in (0, \infty]; \quad t_0 \leq t_\infty, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\Phi(t) = 0, t \in [0, t_0], \quad \Phi(t) = \infty, \quad t > t_\infty \tag{3.6}$$

(the last condition for $t_\infty < \infty$). We require that

$$t_0 t_\infty^{-1} = 0 \tag{3.7}$$

(i.e., at least one of the conditions is satisfied: $t_0 = 0$ or $t_\infty = \infty$).

The function Φ is convex on $[t_0, t_\infty)$ because $0 \leq \varphi \uparrow$. Let Ψ be a complementary Young function for Φ , that is

$$\Psi(t) = \int_0^t \psi(\tau) d\tau, \quad t \in [0, \infty]; \quad \psi(\tau) = \inf \{ \sigma : \varphi(\sigma) \geq \tau \}, \quad \tau \in [0, \infty]. \quad (3.8)$$

Function ψ is left-inverse for the left-continuous increasing function φ . It has the same properties as φ , so that Ψ is the Young function. Moreover, $\varphi(\sigma) = \inf \{ \tau : \psi(\tau) \geq \sigma \}$, so that Φ is complementary Young function for Ψ (the complementary properties are mutual, see [9], page 271). It is known that

$$\Psi(t) = \sup_{s \geq 0} [st - \Phi(s)]; \quad (3.9)$$

$$st \leq \Phi(s) + \Psi(t), \quad s, t \in [0, \infty), \quad (3.10)$$

and equality in (3.10) takes place if and only if $\varphi(s) = t$ or $\psi(t) = s$ (see [9], pp. 271-273).

For the simplicity we use short notations

$$J_\lambda(f) := J_\lambda(f; \Phi, R_+, v dx) = \int_0^\infty \Phi(\lambda^{-1} |f(x)|) v(x) dx, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\|f\|_{\Phi, v} := \|f\|_{L_\Phi(R_+, v dx)} = \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : J_\lambda(f) \leq 1 \}, \quad (3.12)$$

where $\lambda > 0$, $f \in M$, $v \in \dot{M}_+$ (see (1.6), (1.7)).

Recall that Orlicz space $L_{\Phi, v}$ is determined as the set of functions $f \in M : \|f\|_{\Phi, v} < \infty$. It is well-known that in these conditions $L_{\Phi, v}$ is Banach function space with monotone norm and it possess the so called Fatou property (see for example [9], Section 8 in Chapter 4). Moreover, the following principle of duality is known (see for example [9], Section 8 in Chapter 4, or [5], p. 257). Let us introduce for $g \in M$ the associated Orlicz norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|' &= \sup \left\{ \int_0^\infty |fg| dt : f \in L_{\Phi, v}; \quad \|f\|_{\Phi, v} \leq 1 \right\} = \\ &= \sup \left\{ \int_0^\infty |fg| dt : f \in L_{\Phi, v}; \quad J_1(f) \leq 1 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let Φ, Ψ be the complementary Young functions, let $v \in \dot{M}_+$, the condition (3.7) be fulfilled, and*

$$0 < V(t) := \int_0^t v d\tau < \infty, \quad \forall t \in R_+, V(+\infty) = \infty. \quad (3.14)$$

Then, Orlicz norm (3.13) is equivalent to the norm $\|v^{-1}g\|_{\Psi, v}$. Namely,

$$\|v^{-1}g\|_{\Psi, v} \leq \|g\|' \leq 2 \|v^{-1}g\|_{\Psi, v}. \quad (3.15)$$

We consider the cone

$$\Omega = \{f \in L_{\Phi, v} : 0 \leq f \downarrow\}, \quad (3.16)$$

and introduce for $g \in M_+$ the associated norm on the cone Ω

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|'_\Omega &= \sup \left\{ \int_0^\infty fgdt : f \in \Omega; \quad \|f\|_{\Phi, v} \leq 1 \right\} = \\ &= \sup \left\{ \int_0^\infty fgdt : f \in \Omega; \quad J_1(f) \leq 1 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

The following result gives the description of norm (3.17). The source of this description is contained in the paper [6]. Modern development of this approach for general Young functions and estimates of the norms of monotone operators is presented in papers [7, 8]. The result given below is contained in [8].

Theorem 3.2. *Let Φ, Ψ be complementary Young functions, let $v \in \dot{M}_+$, and conditions (3.7) and (3.14) be satisfied. For a fixed number $a \in (0, 1)$ the following two-sided estimate holds for associate norm (3.17)*

$$\|g\|'_\Omega \cong \|\mathfrak{R}_a(g)\|_{\Psi, v} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_0^\infty \Psi(\lambda^{-1} |\mathfrak{R}_a(g; t)|) v(t) dt \leq 1 \right\}, \quad (3.18)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{R}_a(g; t) := V(t)^{-1} \int_{\delta_a(t)}^t g(\tau) d\tau, \quad \delta_a(t) := V^{-1}(aV(t)), t \in R_+. \quad (3.19)$$

For different values $a \in (0, 1)$ norms (3.18) are equivalent.

Here and below we use the notation

$$A \cong B \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists c = c(a) \in [1, \infty) : \quad c^{-1} \leq A/B \leq c. \quad (3.20)$$

Remark 2. Let us assume additionally that the function Φ in Theorem 3.2 satisfies the Δ_2 -condition, that is

$$\exists C \in (1, \infty) : \quad \Phi(2t) \leq C\Phi(t), \quad \forall t \in R_+ \quad (3.21)$$

Then we can set $a = 0$ in (3.18), and (3.19) so that

$$\|g\|'_\Omega \cong \left\| V(t)^{-1} \int_0^t g d\tau \right\|_{\Psi, v} \quad (3.22)$$

with constants depending only on the constant C in (3.21).

Description (3.22) was obtained in [5] under the restriction that both complementary functions Φ and Ψ are N -functions that satisfy the Δ_2 -condition. We claim that (3.22) is valid for any Young functions Φ and Ψ and the restriction $\Psi \in \Delta_2$ is superfluous and may be omitted here.

In the following considerations we will use the formula for the conjugate operator to operator (3.19):

$$\mathfrak{R}_a^*(f; \tau) = \int_{\tau}^{\delta_{a^{-1}}(\tau)} \frac{f(t)}{V(t)} dt, \quad \tau \in R_+. \quad (3.23)$$

It is based on equality

$$\int_{R_+} f(t) \mathfrak{R}_a(g; t) dt = \int_{R_+} \mathfrak{R}_a^*(f; \tau) g(\tau) d\tau, \quad f, g \in M_+$$

obtained by the change of variables in the double integral.

Now we formulate the main result of this Section which allows us to reduce the estimates of the norms of operators on the cone Ω (3.16) to the ones on the cone M_+ .

Theorem 3.3. *Let T, T^* be positively homogeneous operators mapping M_+ in M_+ which are conjugate, i.e.,*

$$\int_{R_+} gTf d\tau = \int_{R_+} fT^*g d\tau, \quad f, g \in M_+. \quad (3.24)$$

Let Φ_1, Φ_2 be Young functions satisfying (3.7), and Ψ_1, Ψ_2 be their complementary functions; let $u, v, w \in \dot{M}_+$, and condition (3.14) be satisfied. We fix $a \in (0, 1)$ and define the operator \mathfrak{R}_a by formula (3.19). Then the following three inequalities are equivalent:

$$\exists c_1 \in R_+ : \|wTf\|_{\Phi_2, u} \leq c_1 \|f\|_{\Phi_1, v}, \quad f \in \Omega; \quad (3.25)$$

$$\exists c_2 \in R_+ : \|\mathfrak{R}_a T^*(wg)\|_{\Psi_1, v} \leq c_2 \|gu^{-1}\|_{\Psi_2, u}, \quad g \in M_+; \quad (3.26)$$

$$\exists c_3 \in R_+ : \|wT\mathfrak{R}_a^*(vf)\|_{\Phi_2, u} \leq c_3 \|f\|_{\Phi_1, v}, \quad f \in M_+. \quad (3.27)$$

Remark 3. Constants c_2, c_3 in (3.26)–(3.27) depend on $a \in (0, 1)$, besides $0 < e(a) \leq c_1 c_3^{-1} \leq E(a) < \infty$;

$$0 < d \leq c_2 c_3^{-1} \leq D < \infty;$$

where d, D do not depend of a .

Proof. **1.** Estimate (3.25) is equivalent to

$$A_1 = \sup \left\{ \|wTf\|_{\Phi_2, u} : f \in \Omega; \|f\|_{\Phi_1, v} \leq 1 \right\} < \infty. \quad (3.28)$$

Theorem 3.1 implies the following relation of duality

$$\begin{aligned} \|wTf\|_{\Phi_2, u} &\cong \sup \left\{ \int_0^\infty w(Tf)gudt : g \in M_+; \|g\|_{\Psi_2, u} \leq 1 \right\} = \\ &= \sup \left\{ \int_0^\infty fT^*(wgu)d\tau : g \in M_+; \|g\|_{\Psi_2, u} \leq 1 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

In the last equality we take into account definition (3.24). Then we have

$$A_1 \cong \sup \left\{ \sup \left\{ \int_0^\infty f T^*(wgu) d\tau : g \in M_+; \|g\|_{\Psi_{2,u}} \leq 1 \right\} : f \in \Omega; \|f\|_{\Phi_{1,v}} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

By changing the order of supremum we obtain

$$A_1 \cong \sup \left\{ \sup \left\{ \int_0^\infty f T^*(wgu) d\tau : f \in \Omega; \|f\|_{\Phi_{1,v}} \leq 1 \right\} : g \in M_+; \|g\|_{\Psi_{2,u}} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Now, we apply the principle of duality of Theorem 3.2 to the inner supremum and obtain

$$\sup \left\{ \int_0^\infty f T^*(wug) d\tau : f \in \Omega; \|f\|_{\Phi_{1,v}} \leq 1 \right\} \cong \|\mathfrak{R}_a(T^*(wug))\|_{\Psi_{1,v}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &\cong \sup \left\{ \|\mathfrak{R}_a T^*(wug)\|_{\Psi_{1,v}} : g \in M_+; \|g\|_{\Psi_{2,u}} \leq 1 \right\} = \\ &= \sup \left\{ \|\mathfrak{R}_a T^*(wg)\|_{\Psi_{1,v}} : g \in M_+; \|gu^{-1}\|_{\Psi_{2,u}} \leq 1 \right\} =: A_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

Thus, (3.25) $\Leftrightarrow A_1 < \infty \Leftrightarrow A_2 < \infty \Leftrightarrow$ (3.26).

2. To prove the equivalence (3.26) \Leftrightarrow (3.27) we apply again the assertion of duality. According to Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\|\mathfrak{R}_a T^*(g)\|_{\Psi_{1,v}} \cong \sup \left\{ \int_0^\infty v f \mathfrak{R}_a T^*(wg) dt : f \in M_+; \|f\|_{\Phi_{1,v}} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

In the integral we get the conjugate operator $[\mathfrak{R}_a T^*]^* = T \mathfrak{R}_a^*$ and obtain

$$\|\mathfrak{R}_a T^*(g)\|_{\Psi_{1,v}} = \sup \left\{ \int_0^\infty wg T \mathfrak{R}_a^*(vf) d\tau : f \in M_+; \|f\|_{\Phi_{1,v}} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

We insert this equality in (3.30) and change the order of supremums. Then,

$$A_2 = \sup \left\{ \sup \left\{ \int_0^\infty gw T \mathfrak{R}_a^*(vf) d\tau : g \in M_+; \|gu^{-1}\|_{\Psi_{2,u}} \leq 1 \right\} : f \in M_+; \|f\|_{\Phi_{1,v}} \leq 1 \right\}$$

To the inner sup $\{\dots\}$ we apply Theorem 3.1. Then,

$$\sup \left\{ \int_0^\infty gw T \mathfrak{R}_a^*(vf) d\tau : g \in M_+; \|gu^{-1}\|_{\Psi_{2,u}} \leq 1 \right\} \cong \|w T \mathfrak{R}_a^*(vf)\|_{\Phi_{2,u}}.$$

As a result,

$$A_2 \cong \sup \left\{ \|w T \mathfrak{R}_a^*(vf)\|_{\Phi_{2,u}} : f \in M_+; \|f\|_{\Phi_{1,v}} \leq 1 \right\} =: A_3 \quad (3.31)$$

Thus, (3.26) $\Leftrightarrow A_2 < \infty \Leftrightarrow A_3 < \infty \Leftrightarrow$ (3.27). \square

Remark 4. Let us compare inequalities (3.25) and (3.27). Their structures and right-hand sides are the same, the only restriction we have is that in the left-hand side of (3.25) there is the Orlicz norm for the function

$$w(t)(Tf)(t), \quad f \in \Omega, \quad (3.32)$$

and in the left-hand side of (3.27) there is the norm of the function

$$w(t)(T\mathfrak{R}_a^*(vf))(t), \quad \mathfrak{R}_a^*(vf)(\tau) = \int_{\tau}^{\delta_{a-1}(\tau)} \frac{fdV}{V}, \quad f \in M_+. \quad (3.33)$$

Thereby, in (3.27) the monotonicity condition is omitted for the function f , thanks to the passage from $f \in \Omega$ to the integral average $\mathfrak{R}_a^*(vf)$.

Remark 5. Additionally, let the Young function Φ_1 in Theorem 3.3 satisfy the Δ_2 -condition, that is

$$\exists C \in (1, \infty) : \quad \Phi_1(2t) \leq C\Phi_1(t), \quad t \in R_+. \quad (3.34)$$

Then, according to Remark 2, the description (3.18), (3.19) remains true for $a = 0$, i. e., we have instead of (3.23)

$$\mathfrak{R}_0^*(f; \tau) = \int_{\tau}^{\infty} \frac{f(t)}{V(t)} dt, \quad \tau \in R_+; \quad (3.35)$$

(3.33) is also valid with $a = 0$, and (3.25) is equivalent now to the inequality

$$\exists c_3 \in R_+ : \quad \left\| wT \left(\int_{\tau}^{\infty} \frac{fdV}{V} \right) \right\|_{\Phi_2, u} \leq c_3 \|f\|_{\Phi_1, v}, \quad f \in M_+. \quad (3.36)$$

4 Reduction theorem for modular inequalities

We will apply the results of Sections 2 and 3, and we will assume that in the notations of Section 1 $X = Y = R_+$, $M(X) = M(Y) = M(R_+)$ is the set of all Lebesgue-measurable functions; M_+ is the cone of all almost everywhere nonnegative functions in $M = M(R_+)$; μ, ν are weighted Lebesgue measures with weights in M_+ , so that assertions (3.1) hold. We preserve also notations (3.2)–(3.12).

Theorem 4.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.3 we assume that*

$$t_0(\Phi_1) = t_0(\Phi_2) = 0, \quad t_{\infty}(\Phi_1) = t_{\infty}(\Phi_2) \equiv t_{\infty} \in (0, \infty]$$

(see (3.3), (3.4)). Then the following inequalities are equivalent

$$\exists c_1 \in R_+ : \quad \Phi_2^{-1} \left\{ \int_{R_+} \Phi_2(wTf) u dt \right\} \leq \Phi_1^{-1} \left\{ \int_{R_+} \Phi_1(c_1 f) v dt \right\}, \quad f \in \Omega; \quad (4.1)$$

$$\exists c_3 \in R_+ : \quad \Phi_2^{-1} \left\{ \int_{R_+} \Phi_2(wT\mathfrak{R}_a^*(vf)) u dt \right\} \leq \Phi_1^{-1} \left\{ \int_{R_+} \Phi_1(c_3 f) v dt \right\}, \quad f \in M_+. \quad (4.2)$$

Moreover, c_3 is connected with c_1 as in Theorem 3.3; in particular the following estimate holds

$$0 < e(a) \leq c_1 c_3^{-1} \leq E(a) < \infty. \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 we have $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in \Theta_0$, see (2.3). We apply Theorem 2.1 with $K = \Omega$ in (4.1) and obtain the equivalence (4.1) \Leftrightarrow (4.4), where (4.4) is the family of the norm inequalities

$$\|wTf\|_{\Phi_2, u_\varepsilon} \leq c_1 \|f\|_{\Phi_1, v_\varepsilon}, \quad f \in \Omega; \varepsilon \in (t_\infty^{-1}, \infty). \quad (4.4)$$

Here, we use the notations

$$u_\varepsilon = \sigma_{\Phi_2}(\varepsilon) u; \quad v_\varepsilon = \sigma_{\Phi_1}(\varepsilon) v; \quad V_\varepsilon(t) = \int_0^t v_\varepsilon d\tau = \sigma_{\Phi_1}(\varepsilon) V(t). \quad (4.5)$$

Now, we apply Theorem 3.3 to inequalities (4.4) with $u, v \in \dot{M}_+$ replaced by $u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon \in \dot{M}_+$ in (3.25) and in (3.27). Then, (4.4) \Leftrightarrow (4.6), where (4.6)

$$\|wT\mathfrak{R}_{a,\varepsilon}^*(v_\varepsilon f)\|_{\Phi_2, u_\varepsilon} \leq c_3 \|f\|_{\Phi_1, v_\varepsilon}, \quad f \in M_+; \quad \varepsilon \in (t_\infty^{-1}, \infty). \quad (4.6)$$

Moreover estimate (4.3) holds. Here, we calculate $\mathfrak{R}_{a,\varepsilon}^*(v_\varepsilon f)$ by formula (3.33) with V replaced by V_ε , and, respectively, $\delta_{a^{-1}}(\tau)$ through

$$\delta_{a^{-1},\varepsilon}(\tau) = V_\varepsilon^{-1}(a^{-1}V_\varepsilon(\tau)).$$

We see by (4.5) that

$$\delta_{a^{-1},\varepsilon}(\tau) = V^{-1}(a^{-1}\sigma_{\Phi_1}(\varepsilon)^{-1}V_\varepsilon(\tau)) = V^{-1}(a^{-1}V(\tau)) = \delta_{a^{-1}}(\tau).$$

As a result,

$$\mathfrak{R}_{a,\varepsilon}^*(v_\varepsilon f)(\tau) = \int_\tau^{\delta_{a^{-1},\varepsilon}(\tau)} \frac{f dV_\varepsilon}{V_\varepsilon} = \int_\tau^{\delta_{a^{-1}}(\tau)} \frac{f dV}{V} = \mathfrak{R}_a^*(vf)(\tau). \quad (4.7)$$

We insert (4.7) in (4.6), and obtain that (4.6) coincides with (4.8), which is

$$\|wT\mathfrak{R}_a^*(vf)\|_{\Phi_2, u_\varepsilon} \leq c_3 \|f\|_{\Phi_1, v_\varepsilon}, \quad f \in M_+; \varepsilon \in (t_\infty^{-1}, \infty). \quad (4.8)$$

To the family of inequalities (4.8) we apply Theorem 2.1 and see that (4.8) \Leftrightarrow (4.2). As a result we have the chain of equivalences

$$(4.1) \Leftrightarrow (4.4) \Leftrightarrow (4.6) \Leftrightarrow (4.8) \Leftrightarrow (4.2),$$

which proves the theorem. \square

Corollary 4.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 let us assume additionally that the Young function Φ_1 satisfies the Δ_2 -condition. Then, (4.1) is equivalent to the following inequality*

$$\exists c_3 \in R_+ : \Phi_2^{-1} \left\{ \int_{R_+} \Phi_2 \left(wT \left(\int_\tau^\infty \frac{f dV}{V} \right) \right) u dt \right\} \leq \Phi_1^{-1} \left\{ \int_{R_+} \Phi_1(c_3 f) v dt \right\}, \quad f \in M_+.$$

Acknowledgments

The publication was supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation (the Agreement number 02.A03.21.0008), by the grant of President of Russian Federation for support of Scientific Schools (Project no. 8215.2016.1), and by the grant of RFBR (Project no. 15-01-02732).

References

- [1] S. Bloom, R. Kerman, *Weighted integral inequalities for operators of Hardy type*, Studia Math. 110 (1994), no. 1, 35–52.
- [2] S. Bloom, R. Kerman, *Weighted Orlicz space integral inequalities for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator*, Studia Math. 110 (1994), no. 2, 149–167.
- [3] P. Drabek, H. P. Heinig, A. Kufner, *Weighted modular inequalities for monotone functions*, J. Inequal. and Applications. 1 (1997), 183–197.
- [4] E. Sawyer, *Boundedness of classical operators on classical Lorentz spaces*, Studia Math. 96 (1990), 145–158.
- [5] H.P. Heinig, A. Kufner, *Hardy operators on monotone functions and sequences in Orlicz spaces*, J. London Math. Soc. 53 (1996), no. 2, 256–270.
- [6] M. Goldman, R. Kerman, *On the principal of duality in Orlicz-Lorentz Spaces*, Function spaces. Differential Operators. Problems of mathematical education, Proc. Intern. Conf. dedicated to 75-th birthday of prof. Kudrjavnsev. Moscow, 1998. 1 (1998), 179–183.
- [7] M. Goldman, *Estimates for restrictions of monotone operators on the cone of decreasing functions in Orlicz spaces*, Mathematical Notes, 100 (2016), no. 1, 24–37.
- [8] M. Goldman, *Estimates for the norms of monotone operators on weighted Orlicz-Lorentz classes*, Doklady Mathematics. 94 (2016), no. 3, 627–631.
- [9] C. Bennett, R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of operators*. Pure Appl. Math. 129, Acad. Press, Boston, 1988.

Elza Gizarovna Bakhtigareeva, Michail L'vovich Goldman
Department of Nonlinear Analysis and Optimization
Peoples' Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University)
6 Mikluho-Maklaya St.
117198 Moscow, Russian Federation
E-mails: salykai@yandex.ru, seulydia@yandex.ru

Received: 27.12.2016