

ISSN 2077–9879

Eurasian Mathematical Journal

2014, Volume 5, Number 3

Founded in 2010 by
the L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University
in cooperation with
the M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University
the Peoples' Friendship University of Russia
the University of Padua

Supported by the ISAAC
(International Society for Analysis, its Applications and Computation)
and
by the Kazakhstan Mathematical Society

Published by
the L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University
Astana, Kazakhstan

EURASIAN MATHEMATICAL JOURNAL

Editorial Board

Editors-in-Chief

V.I. Burenkov, M. Otelbaev, V.A. Sadovnichy

Editors

Sh.A. Alimov (Uzbekistan), H. Begehr (Germany), O.V. Besov (Russia), B. Bójarski (Poland), N.A. Bokayev (Kazakhstan), A.A. Borubaev (Kyrgyzstan), G. Bourdaud (France), R.C. Brown (USA), A. Caetano (Portugal), M. Carro (Spain), A.D.R. Choudary (Pakistan), V.N. Chubarikov (Russia), A.S. Dzumadildaev (Kazakhstan), V.M. Filippov (Russia), H. Ghazaryan (Armenia), M.L. Goldman (Russia), V. Goldshtein (Israel), V. Guliyev (Azerbaijan), D.D. Haroske (Germany), A. Hasanoglu (Turkey), M. Huxley (Great Britain), M. Imanaliev (Kyrgyzstan), P. Jain (India), T.Sh. Kalmenov (Kazakhstan), K.K. Kenzhibayev (Kazakhstan), S.N. Kharin (Kazakhstan), E. Kissin (Great Britain), V. Kokilashvili (Georgia), V.I. Korzyuk (Belarus), A. Kufner (Czech Republic), L.K. Kussainova (Kazakhstan), P.D. Lamberti (Italy), M. Lanza de Cristoforis (Italy), V.G. Maz'ya (Sweden), A.V. Mikhalev (Russia), E.D. Nursultanov (Kazakhstan), R. Oinarov (Kazakhstan), K.N. Ospanov (Kazakhstan), I.N. Parasidis (Greece), J. Pečarić (Croatia), S.A. Plaksa (Ukraine), L.-E. Persson (Sweden), E.L. Presman (Russia), M.D. Ramazanov (Russia), M. Reissig (Germany), M. Ruzhansky (Great Britain), S. Sagitov (Sweden), T.O. Shaposhnikova (Sweden), A.A. Shkalikov (Russia), V.A. Skvortsov (Poland), G. Sinnamon (Canada), E.S. Smailov (Kazakhstan), V.D. Stepanov (Russia), Ya.T. Sultanaev (Russia), I.A. Taimanov (Russia), T.V. Tararykova (Great Britain), J.A. Tussupov (Kazakhstan), U.U. Umirbaev (Kazakhstan), Z.D. Usmanov (Tajikistan), N. Vasilevski (Mexico), B. Viscolani (Italy), Masahiro Yamamoto (Japan), Dachun Yang (China), B.T. Zhumagulov (Kazakhstan)

Managing Editor

A.M. Temirkhanova

Executive Editor

D.T. Matin

A NEW CHARACTERIZATION OF SPORADIC HIGMAN-SIMS
AND HELD GROUPS

Y. Yang, S. Liu

Communicated by U.U. Umirbaev

Key words: element order, sporadic Higman-Sims group, sporadic Held group, Thompson’s problem, number of elements of the same order.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 20D05, 20D08, 20D20.

Abstract. Let G be a group and $\omega(G)$ be the set of element orders of G . Let $k \in \omega(G)$ and s_k be the number of elements of order k in G . Let $\text{nse}(G) = \{s_k | k \in \omega(G)\}$. The projective special linear groups $L_3(4)$ and $L_3(5)$ are uniquely determined by nse . In this paper, we prove that if G is a group such that $\text{nse}(G) = \text{nse}(M)$ where M is a sporadic Higman-Sims or Held group, then $G \cong M$.

1 Introduction

Let G be a group and $\omega(G)$ be the set of element orders of G . Let $k \in \omega(G)$ and s_k be the number of elements of order k in G . Let $\text{nse}(G) = \{s_k | k \in \omega(G)\}$. Let $T(G) = \{(n, s_n) | n \in \omega(G) \text{ and } s_n \in \text{nse}(G)\}$, where s_n is the number of elements with order n . Thompson in 1987 put forward a very interesting problem with respect to algebraic number fields as follows (see [18]).

Thompson’s Problem. Suppose that groups G and H are such that $T(G) = T(H)$. If G is a finite solvable group, is it true that H is also necessarily solvable?

We see that if G and H are of the same order type, then

$$\text{nse}(G) = \text{nse}(H) \text{ and } |G| = |H|.$$

Let G be a group and M some simple K_i -group, $i = 3, 4$, then $G \cong M$ if and only if $|G| = |M|$ and $\text{nse}(G) = \text{nse}(M)$ (see [16, 15]). Also the group A_{12} is characterizable by its order and nse (see [12]). Recently it was proved that all sporadic simple groups and projective special linear groups $L_2(2^m)$ with $2^m - 1$ prime or $2^m + 1$ prime, are characterizable by nse and their orders (see [2], [14] respectively).

Related to **Thompson’s Problem** is the following one: can nse characterize finite simple groups? Up to now it is known that the projective special linear groups $L_2(q)$, where $q \in \{7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 16\}$ and projective general groups $PGL(2, p)$ can be characterized by only the set $\text{nse}(G)$ (see [8, 17, 20], [1] respectively).

In this paper, it is shown that the sporadic Higman-Sims group HS and sporadic Held group He are determined by nse .

We introduce some notations which will be used in the proof of the main theorems. Let $a.b$ denote the products of an integer a by an integer b . Let r be a prime. Then we denote the number of the Sylow r -subgroups P_r of G by n_r or $n_r(G)$. Let $L_n(q)$ and $U_n(q)$ denote the projective special linear and unitary group of degree n over finite fields of order q . Let $S_n(q)$ and $O_n(q)$ denote the projective symplectic and symmetric group, respectively. The group $G_2(q)$ is the algebraic group G_2 over the finite field of order q . The other notations are standard (see [3], for instance).

2 Some lemmas

Lemma 2.1. [4] *Let G be a finite group and m be a positive integer dividing $|G|$. If $L_m(G) = \{g \in G \mid g^m = 1\}$, then $m \mid |L_m(G)|$.*

Lemma 2.2. [13] *Let G be a finite group and $p \in \pi(G)$ be odd. Suppose that P is a Sylow p -subgroup of G and $n = p^s m$ with $(p, m) = 1$. If P is not cyclic and $s > 1$, then the number of elements of order n is always a multiple of p^s .*

Lemma 2.3. [17] *Let G be a group containing more than two elements. If the maximal number s of elements of the same order in G is finite, then G is finite and $|G| \leq s(s^2 - 1)$.*

Lemma 2.4. [6, Theorem 9.3.1] *Let G be a finite solvable group and $|G| = mn$, where $m = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$, $(m, n) = 1$. Let $\pi = \{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$ and h_m be the number of Hall π -subgroups of G . Then $h_m = q_1^{\beta_1} \cdots q_s^{\beta_s}$ satisfies the following conditions for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$:*

- (1) $q_i^{\beta_i} \equiv 1 \pmod{p_j}$ for some p_j .
- (2) The order of some chief factor of G is divided by $q_i^{\beta_i}$.

To prove $G \cong HS$ or He , we need the structure of simple K_i -groups with $i = 4, 5$.

Lemma 2.5. [19] *Let G be a simple K_4 -group. Then G is isomorphic to one of the following groups:*

- (1) A_7, A_8, A_9 or A_{10} .
- (2) M_{11}, M_{12} or J_2 .
- (3) One of the following:
 - (a) $L_2(r)$, where r is a prime and $r^2 - 1 = 2^a \cdot 3^b \cdot v^c$ with $a \geq 1, b \geq 1, c \geq 1$, and v is a prime greater than 3.
 - (b) $L_2(2^m)$, where $2^m - 1 = u, 2^m + 1 = 3t^b$ with $m \geq 2, u, t$ are primes, $t > 3, b \geq 1$.
 - (c) $L_2(3^m)$, where $3^m + 1 = 4t, 3^m - 1 = 2u^c$ or $3^m + 1 = 4t^b, 3^m - 1 = 2u$, with $m \geq 2, u, t$ are odd primes, $b \geq 1, c \geq 1$.

- (4) One of the following 28 simple groups: $L_2(16)$, $L_2(25)$, $L_2(49)$, $L_2(81)$, $L_3(4)$, $L_3(5)$, $L_3(7)$, $L_3(8)$, $L_3(17)$, $L_4(3)$, $S_4(4)$, $S_4(5)$, $S_4(7)$, $S_4(9)$, $S_6(2)$, $O_8^+(2)$, $G_2(3)$, $U_3(4)$, $U_3(5)$, $U_3(7)$, $U_3(8)$, $U_3(9)$, $U_4(3)$, $U_5(2)$, $Sz(8)$, $Sz(32)$, ${}^2D_4(2)$ or ${}^2F_4(2)'$.

Lemma 2.6. [7] *Each simple K_5 -group is isomorphic to one of the following simple groups:*

- (1) $L_2(q)$ with $|\pi(q^2 - 1)| = 4$.
- (2) $L_3(q)$ with $|\pi((q^2 - 1)(q^3 - 1))| = 4$.
- (3) $U_3(q)$ with q satisfies $|\pi((q^2 - 1)(q^3 + 1))| = 4$.
- (4) $O_5(q)$ with $|\pi(q^4 - 1)| = 4$.
- (5) $Sz(2^{2m+1})$ with $|\pi((2^{2m+1} - 1)(2^{4m+2} + 1))| = 4$.
- (6) $R(q)$ where q is an odd power of 3 and $|\pi(q^2 - 1)| = 3$ and $|\pi(q^2 - q + 1)| = 1$.
- (7) The following 30 simple groups: A_{11} , A_{12} , M_{22} , J_3 , HS , He , McL , $L_4(4)$, $L_4(5)$, $L_4(7)$, $L_5(2)$, $L_5(3)$, $L_6(2)$, $O_7(3)$, $O_9(2)$, $PSp_6(3)$, $PSp_8(2)$, $U_4(4)$, $U_4(5)$, $U_4(7)$, $U_4(9)$, $U_5(3)$, $U_6(2)$, $O_8^+(3)$, $O_8^-(2)$, ${}^3D_4(3)$, $G_2(4)$, $G_2(5)$, $G_2(7)$ or $G_2(9)$.

Lemma 2.7. *Let G be a simple K_i -group with $i=4, 5$ and $7 \mid |G| \mid 2^{10} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^3 \cdot 17$. Then then $G \cong He$.*

Proof. We will prove the Lemma with the following two steps.

Step 1. G is a simple K_4 -group.

By Lemma 2.5(1)(2), order consideration rules out this case. So we consider Lemma 2.5(3). We will deal with this with the following cases.

- Case 1. $G \cong L_2(r)$, where $r \in \{3, 5, 7, 17\}$.
 - Let $r = 3$, then $|\pi(r^2 - 1)| = 1$, which contradicts $|\pi(r^2 - 1)| = 3$.
 - Let $r = 5, 7, 17$, then $|\pi(r^2 - 1)| = 2$, which contradicts $|\pi(r^2 - 1)| = 3$.
- Case 2. $G \cong L_2(2^m)$, where $u \in \{3, 5, 7, 17\}$.
 - Let $u = 3$. Then $m = 2$ and so $5 = 3t^b$. But the equation has no solution in \mathbb{N} .
 - Let $u = 5, 17$. Then $2^m - 1 = u$. But the equation has no solution in \mathbb{N} .
 - Let $u = 7$. Then $m = 3$ and so $2^3 + 1 = 3t^b$, which means that $t = 3, b = 1$, a contradiction.
- Case 3. $G \cong L_2(3^m)$.

We will consider the case by the following two subcases.

- Subcase 3.1. $3^m + 1 = 4t$ and $3^m - 1 = 2u^c$.
 We can suppose that $t \in \{3, 5, 7, 17\}$.
 Let $t = 3, 5, 17$, the equation $3^m + 1 = 4t$ has no solution.
 Let $t = 7$, then $m = 3$. Therefore $3^3 - 1 = 2u^c$, we have $u = 13$ and $c = 1$.
 It follows that $13 \mid |G|$, a contradiction.
- Subcase 3.2. $3^m + 1 = 4t^b$ and $3^m - 1 = 2u$.
 We can suppose that $u \in \{3, 5, 7, 17\}$
 Let $u = 3, 5, 7, 11$, then the equation $3^m - 1 = 2u$ has no solution in \mathbb{N} , a contradiction.

In review of Lemma 2.5(4), order consideration rules out this case.

Step 2. G is a simple K_5 -group.

By Lemma 2.6, we can assume that $q = 2^m, 3, 3^2, 3^3, 5, 5^2, 7, 7^2, 7^3$ or 17 .

Let $G \cong L_2(q)$.

- If $q = 2, 3$, then $|\pi(q^2 - 1)| = 1$, a contradiction.
- If $q = 4, 8, 16, 9, 7, 17$, then $|\pi(q^2 - 1)| = 2$, a contradiction.
- If $q = 11, 25, 125, 256$, then $|\pi(q^2 - 1)| = 3$, a contradiction.
- If $q = 32, 128, 27, 49$, then $|\pi(q^2 - 1)| = 3$, a contradiction.
- If $q = 64, 256, 512$, then $|\pi(q^2 - 1)| = 4$. Then $G \cong L_2(64)$, but $13 \mid |L_2(64)|$, a contradiction.
- If $q = 256$, then $|\pi(q^2 - 1)| = 4$. Then $G \cong L_2(256)$, but $257 \mid |L_2(256)|$, a contradiction.
- If $q = 512$, then $|\pi(q^2 - 1)| = 4$. Then $G \cong L_2(512)$, but $59 \mid |L_2(512)|$, a contradiction.
- If $q = 1024$, then $|\pi(q^2 - 1)| = 5$, a contradiction.

Similarly as the proof of " $G \cong L_2(q)$ ", we can rule out the other cases except for Lemma 2.6(7).

In view of Lemma 2.6(7), by using order consideration, $G \cong He$. □

3 Main theorem and its proof

Let G be a group and s_n be the number of elements of order n . By Lemma 2.3 we have that G is finite. We note that $s_n = k\phi(n)$, where k is the number of cyclic subgroups of order n . Also we note that if $n > 2$, then $\phi(n)$ is even. If $m \in \omega(G)$, then by Lemma 2.1 and the above discussion, we have

$$\begin{cases} \phi(m) \mid s_m \\ m \mid \sum_{d \mid m} s_d \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

We divide the proof of Main theorem into the following two lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a group with $nse(G)=nse(HS)=\{1, 21175, 123200, 877800, 2010624, 2956800, 3080000, 3696000, 4435200, 6336000, 8064000, 8316000\}$. Then $G \cong HS$.*

Proof. We prove the theorem by first proving that $\pi(G) \subseteq \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11\}$, second showing that $|G| = |HS|$, and so $G \cong HS$.

By (3.1), $\pi(G) \subseteq \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 17, 29, 3696001, 8316001\}$. If $m > 2$, then $\phi(m)$ is even, then $s_2=21175, 2 \in \pi(G)$.

If $2.17 \in \omega(G)$, then by Lemma 2.1, $2.17 \mid 1 + s_2 + s_{17} + s_{2.17}$ and so $s_{2.17} \notin nse(G)$, a contradiction. Therefore $2.17 \notin \omega(G)$. It follows that the Sylow 17-subgroup of G acts fixed point freely on the set of elements of order 2 and so $17 \mid s_2$, a contradiction. Hence $17 \notin \pi(G)$. Similarly we can prove that $2.29, 2.31, 2.3696001, 2.8316001 \notin \omega(G)$ and $29, 31, 3696001, 8316001 \notin \pi(G)$.

Hence $\pi(G) \subseteq \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11\}$. By (3.1), $s_3 = 123200$ or 3080000 , $s_5 = 2010624$, $s_7 = 6336000$ and $s_{11} = 8064000$.

By (3.1), $\phi(2^m) = 2^{m-1} \mid s_{2^m}$ and so $0 \leq m \leq 11$. By Lemma 2.1, $|P_2| \mid 1 + s_2 + s_{2^2} + s_{2^i}$ with $i = 2, 3, \dots, 11$, and so $|P_2| \mid 2^{11}$.

If $3^a \in \omega(G)$, then $1 \leq a \leq 4$.

Let $s_3 = 123200$.

- Let $\exp(P_3) = 3$. Then by Lemma 2.1, $|P_3| \mid 1 + s_3$ and $|P_3| \mid 3^6$.
- Let $\exp(P_3) = 3^2$. Then $|P_3| \mid 1 + s_3 + s_{3^2}$ and $|P_3| \mid 3^3$ (when $s_{3^2} = 8316000$).
- Let $\exp(P_3) = 3^3$. Then $|P_3| \mid 1 + s_3 + s_{3^2} + s_{3^3}$ and $|P_3| \mid 3^3$.
- Let $\exp(P_3) = 3^4$. Then $|P_3| \mid 1 + s_3 + s_{3^2} + s_{3^3} + s_{3^4}$ and $|P_3| \mid 3^4$.

Let $s_3 = 3080000$.

- Let $\exp(P_3) = 3$. Then by Lemma 2.1, $|P_3| \mid 1 + s_3$ and $|P_3| \mid 3$.
- Let $\exp(P_3) = 3^2$. Then $|P_3| \mid 1 + s_3 + s_{3^2}$ and $|P_3| \mid 3^3$ (when $s_{3^2} = 3696000$).
- Let $\exp(P_3) = 3^3$. Then $|P_3| \mid 1 + s_3 + s_{3^2} + s_{3^3}$ and $|P_3| \mid 3^5$ (when $s_{3^2} = 3696000$, $s_{3^3} = 8316000$).
- Let $\exp(P_3) = 3^4$. Then $|P_3| \mid 1 + s_3 + s_{3^2} + s_{3^3} + s_{3^4}$ and $|P_3| \mid 3^4$.

Therefore $|P_3| \mid 3^6$.

If $2^a \cdot 3^b \in \omega(G)$, then $1 \leq a \leq 10$ and $1 \leq b \leq 4$.

If $5^a \in \omega(G)$, then $1 \leq a \leq 5$.

- Let $\exp(P_5) = 5$. Then by Lemma 2.1, $|P_5| \mid 1 + s_5$ and $|P_5| \mid 5^4$.
- Let $\exp(P_5) = 5^2$. Then $|P_5| \mid 1 + s_5 + s_{5^2}$ and $|P_5| \mid 5^5$ (when $s_{5^2} = 3080000$).
- Let $\exp(P_5) = 5^3$. Then $|P_5| \mid 1 + s_5 + s_{5^2} + s_{5^3}$ and $|P_5| \mid 5^5$.
- Let $\exp(P_5) = 5^4$. Then $|P_5| \mid 1 + s_5 + s_{5^2} + s_{5^3} + s_{5^4}$ and $|P_5| \mid 5^5$.

- Let $\exp(P_5) = 5^5$. Then $5^5 \mid 1 + s_5 + s_{5^2} + s_{5^3} + s_{5^4} + s_{5^5}$, a contradiction since $s_{5^5} \in \text{nse}(G)$.

Therefore $|P_5| \mid 5^5$.

- If $2^a.5 \in \omega(G)$, then $1 \leq a \leq 9$.
- If $3^2.5 \in \omega(G)$, then $\exp(P_3) = 3^2$ and $\exp(P_5) = 5$. Then $|P_3| \mid 3^3$.

If $|P_3| = 3^2$, then by Lemma 2.3 of [14], $s_{3^2.5} = 4.s_{3^2}.t$ for some integer t . But the equation has no solution.

If $|P_3| = 3^3$, then $s_{3^2} = 3^3.s$ for some $s \in \text{nse}(G)$. So we have $s_{3^2} = 8316000, 3696000$. On the other hand, there is an element of order $3^2.5$ which has a centralizer of order larger than or equal to $3^2.5$, then $3 \nmid s_{3^2.5}$ or $3 \parallel s_{3^2.5}$, and hence $s_{3^2.5} = 123200, 877800, 2010624, 2956800, 3080000, 3696000$. But by Lemma 2.1, $3^2.5 \mid 1 + s_3 + s_{3^2} + s_5 + s_{3.5} + s_{3^2.5}$ by some computations, a contradiction.

Hence $3^2.5 \notin \omega(G)$.

- If $2^a.3^b.5^c$, then $1 \leq a \leq 8, 1 \leq b \leq 2$ and $1 \leq c \leq 2$.

If $7^a \in \omega(G)$, then $1 \leq a \leq 2$. If $7^2 \in \omega(G)$, then by Lemma 2.1, $7^2 \mid 1 + s_7 + s_{7^2}$ and so $s_{7^2} \notin \text{nse}(G)$. So $a = 1$. By Lemma 2.1, $|P_7| \mid 1 + s_7$ and so $|P_7| = 7$.

If $5.7 \in \omega(G)$, then by Lemma 2.3 of [14], $s_{5.7} = \phi(5).s_7.t$ for some integer t . But the equation has no solution since $s_{5.7} \in \text{nse}(G)$.

If $11^a \in \omega(G)$, then $1 \leq a \leq 3$. If $11^3 \in \omega(G)$, then by Lemma 2.1, $11^3 \mid 1 + s_{11} + s_{11^2} + s_{11^3}$ and so $s_{11^3} \notin \text{nse}(G)$. So $1 \leq a \leq 2$.

- Let $\exp(P_{11})=11$. Then by Lemma 2.1, $|P_{11}| \mid 1 + s_{11}$ and so $|P_{11}|=11$.
- Let $\exp(P_{11})=121$. Then by Lemma 2.1, $|P_{11}| \mid 1 + s_{11} + s_{11^2}$ and so $|P_{11}| \mid 1 + s_{11} + s_{11^2}$ and so $|P_{11}| = 121$.

If $7 \in \pi(G)$, then $\exp(P_7) = 7$. Since $n_7 = s_7/\phi(7)$, then $3, 5, 11 \in \pi(G)$.

If $3.7 \in \omega(G)$, then by Lemma 2.3 of [14], $s_{3.7} = 2.s_7.t$ for some integer t . But the equation has no solution since $s_{3.7} \in \text{nse}(G)$. Therefore $3.7 \notin \omega(G)$. Similarly $s_{2.7} = s_7$. But by Lemma 2.1, $2.7 \mid 1 + s_2 + s_7 + s_{2.7}(=12693176)$, a contradiction. Hence $2.7 \notin \omega(G)$.

If $11 \in \pi(G)$, then $\exp(P_{11})=11, 121$.

- Let $\exp(P_{11})=11$. Since $n_{11} = s_{11}/\phi(11)$, then $3, 5, 7 \in \pi(G)$.
- Let $\exp(P_{11})=121$. Since $n_{11} = s_{11^2}/\phi(11^2)$, then $3, 5, 7 \in \pi(G)$.

If $2.11 \in \omega(G)$, then $s_{2.11} = s_{11}$. By Lemma 2.1, $2.11 \mid 1 + s_2 + s_{11} + s_{2.11}(=16149176)$, a contradiction. Hence $2.11 \notin \omega(G)$. Similarly, $3.11 \notin \omega(G)$, $5.11 \notin \omega(G)$ and $7.11 \notin \omega(G)$.

Therefore if $7 \in \pi(G)$, then $3, 5, 11 \in \pi(G)$; If $11 \in \pi(G)$, then $3, 5, 7 \in \pi(G)$.

In what follows, we prove that $\pi(G)$ could not be $\{2, \}$, $\{2, 3\}$, $\{2, 5\}$ and $\{2, 3, 5\}$, and hence $\pi(G)$ must be $\{2, 3, 5, 7, 11\}$.

Case a. $\pi(G) = \{2\}$.

Since $|\omega(G)| = 12$ and $|\text{nse}(G)| = 12$, then this case can be ruled out.

Case b. $\pi(G) = \{2, 3\}$.

Let $\exp(P_3)=3$.

By Lemma 2.1, $|P_3| \mid 1 + s_3$.

- If $s_3 = 123200$, then $|P_3| \mid 3^6$.

- If $|P_3| = 3$, then since $n_3 = s_3/\phi(3)$, $5, 7, 11, 19 \in \pi(G)$, a contradiction.

- If $|P_3| = 9$, then Therefore $44352000 + 123200k_1 + 877800k_2 + 2010624k_3 + 2956800k_4 + 3080000k_5 + 3696000k_6 + 4435200k_7 + 6336000k_8 + 8064000k_9 + 8316000k_{10} = 2^m \cdot 3^2$ where k_1, \dots, k_{10} and m are non-negative integers and $0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{10} s_i \leq 10$. Since $44352000 \leq |G| = 2^m \cdot 3^2 \leq 44352000 + 10 \cdot 8316000$.

The equation has no solution.

- Similarly for $|P_3| = 27, 81, 243, 729$, we also can rule out these.

- If $s_3 = 3080000$, then $|P_3| \mid 3$. Since $n_3 = s_3/\phi(3)$, then $5, 7, 11 \in \pi(G)$, a contradiction.

Let $\exp(P_3)=9$.

By Lemma 2.1, $|P_3| \mid 1 + s_3 + s_9$ and so $|P_3| \mid 3^3$.

- Let $s_3 = 123200$.

- If $|P_3| = 9$, then since $n_3 = s_9/\phi(9)$, $5, 7$, or $11 \in \pi(G)$, a contradiction.

- If $|P_3| = 27$, then Therefore $44352000 + 123200k_1 + 877800k_2 + 2010624k_3 + 2956800k_4 + 3080000k_5 + 3696000k_6 + 4435200k_7 + 6336000k_8 + 8064000k_9 + 8316000k_{10} = 2^m \cdot 3^3$ where k_1, \dots, k_{10} and m are non-negative integers and $0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{10} s_i \leq 21$. Since $44352000 \leq |G| = 2^m \cdot 3^3 \leq 44352000 + 21 \cdot 8316000$.

The equation has no solution.

- Let $s_3 = 3080000$.

- If $|P_3| = 9$, then since $n_3 = s_9/\phi(9) = 3080000/6$, $5, 7$, or $11 \in \pi(G)$, a contradiction.

- If $|P_3| = 27$, then similarly as “ $s_3 = 123200$ and $|P_3| = 3^3$ ”, we can rule out this case.

Let $\exp(P_3)=27$.

- Let $s_3 = 123200$. Then $|P_3| \mid 3^3$. Since $n_3 = s_{3^3}/\phi(3^3)$, $5, 7, 11 \in \pi(G)$, a contradiction.

- Let $s_3 = 3080000$. Then $|P_3| \mid 3^5$.

- If $|P_3| = 3^3$, then since $n_3 = s_{3^3}/\phi(3^3) = 3080000/6$, $5, 7, 11 \in \pi(G)$, a contradiction.
- If $|P_3| = 3^4$, then Therefore $44352000 + 123200k_1 + 877800k_2 + 2010624k_3 + 2956800k_4 + 3080000k_5 + 3696000k_6 + 4435200k_7 + 6336000k_8 + 8064000k_9 + 8316000k_{10} = 2^m \cdot 3^4$ where k_1, \dots, k_{10} and m are non-negative integers and $0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{10} s_i \leq 31$. Since $44352000 \leq |G| = 2^m \cdot 3^3 \leq 44352000 + 31 \cdot 8316000$. The equation has no solution.
- Similarly we can rule out the case when $|P_3| = 3^5$ as the case “ $s_3 = 3080000$ and $|P_3| = 3^4$ ”

Let $\exp(P_3) = 81$.

We know that $|P_3| \mid 3^4$. Since $s_{3^4} = 8316000$ and $n_3 = s_{3^4}/\phi(3^4)$, then $5, 7, 11 \in \pi(G)$, a contradiction.

Case c. $\pi(G) = \{2, 5\}$.

Let $\exp(P_5)=5$. Then $|P_5| \mid 5^4$.

- If $|P_5| = 5$, then since $n_5 = s_5/\phi(5)$, $3, 7, 11 \in \pi(G)$, a contradiction.
- If $|P_5| = 25$, then $44352000 + 123200k_1 + 877800k_2 + 2010624k_3 + 2956800k_4 + 3080000k_5 + 3696000k_6 + 4435200k_7 + 6336000k_8 + 8064000k_9 + 8316000k_{10} = 2^m \cdot 5^2$ where k_1, \dots, k_{10}, m are non-negative integers and $0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{10} s_i \leq 12$. The equation has no solution in \mathbb{N} .
- If $|P_5| > 25$, similarly, we can rule out these cases as the case “ $\exp(P_5) = 5$ and $|P_5| = 5^2$ ”.

Let $\exp(P_5)=25$. Then $|P_5| \mid 5^5$.

- If $|P_5| = 25$, then since $n_5 = s_{25}/\phi(25)$, $3, 7$ or $11 \in \pi(G)$, a contradiction.
- If $|P_5| = 125$, then $44352000 + 123200k_1 + 877800k_2 + 2010624k_3 + 2956800k_4 + 3080000k_5 + 3696000k_6 + 4435200k_7 + 6336000k_8 + 8064000k_9 + 8316000k_{10} = 2^m \cdot 3^n \cdot 5^3$ where k_1, \dots, k_{10}, m and n are non-negative integers and $0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{10} s_i \leq 21$.

The equation has no solution in \mathbb{N} .

Let $\exp(P_5)=125$. Then since $n_5 = s_{125}/\phi(125)$, $3, 7$ or $11 \in \pi(G)$, a contradiction.

Case d. $\pi(G) = \{2, 3, 5\}$.

Similarly as the case “ $\pi(G) = \{2, 5\}$ ”, we also can rule out this case.

Case e. $\pi(G) = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11\}$.

If $7 \cdot 11 \in \omega(G)$, then by Lemma 2.3 of [14], $s_{7 \cdot 11} = 6 \cdot s_{11} \cdot t$ for some integer t . But the equation has no solution since $s_{7 \cdot 11} \in \text{nse}(G)$. So $7 \cdot 11 \notin \omega(G)$, it follows that the Sylow 7-subgroup of G acts fixed point freely on the set of elements of order 11 and so $|P_7| \mid s_{11}$. Hence $|P_7| = 7$, and $|P_{11}| = 11$. Similarly $2 \cdot 7 \notin \omega(G)$ and $|P_2| \mid 2^9$; $3 \cdot 7 \notin \omega(G)$ and $|P_3| \mid 3^2$; $5 \cdot 7 \notin \omega(G)$ and $|P_5| \mid 5^3$.

So we can assume that $|G| = 2^a \cdot 3^b \cdot 5^c \cdot 7 \cdot 11$. Since $2^9 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \leq |G| = 2^a \cdot 3^b \cdot 5^c \cdot 7 \cdot 11$, then we have that $|G| = 2^9 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$. By assumption $\text{nse}(G) = \text{nse}(HS)$, then by [2], $G \cong HS$. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a group with $nse(G)=nse(He)=\{1, 212415, 8529920, 13434624, 47980800, 93024000, 201519360, 223910400, 251899200, 268692480, 287884800, 474163200, 671731200, 719712000, 767692800\}$. Then $G \cong He$.*

Proof. We prove the theorem by first showing that $\pi(G) \subseteq \{2, 3, 5, 7, 17\}$, then proving that $G \cong He$.

By (3.1), $\pi(G) \subseteq \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 151, 257, 281, 251899201, 767692801\}$. If $2.13 \in \omega(G)$, then by Lemma 2.1, $2.13 \mid 1 + s_2 + s_{13} + s_{2.13}$ and so we get a contradiction. So $2.13 \notin \omega(G)$. It follows that the Sylow 13-subgroup of G acts fixed point freely on the set of order 2 and so $|P_{13}| \mid s_2$, a contradiction. Thus $13 \notin \pi(G)$. Similarly $257, 281, 251899201, 767692801 \notin \pi(G)$.

If $2^a \in \omega(G)$, then by Lemma 2.1, $\phi(2^a) = 2^{a-1} \mid s_{2^a}$ and so $0 \leq a \leq 13$. By Lemma 2.1, $|P_2| \mid 1 + s_2 + s_{2^2} + \dots + s_{2^i}$ with $i = 2, 3, \dots, 13$, and $|P_2| \mid 2^{13}$.

If $3^a \in \omega(G)$, then $1 \leq a \leq 4$.

- Let $\exp(P_3) = 3$. Then by Lemma 2.1, $|P_3| \mid 1 + s_3$ and $|P_3| \mid 3^3$.
- Let $\exp(P_3) = 3^2$. Then by Lemma 2.1, $|P_3| \mid 1 + s_3 + s_{3^2}$ and $|P_3| \mid 3^4$.
- Let $\exp(P_3) = 3^3$. Then by Lemma 2.1, $|P_3| \mid 1 + s_3 + s_{3^2} + s_{3^3}$ and $|P_3| \mid 3^5$ (when either $s_9 = 13434624$, $s_{27} = 671731200$ or $s_9 = 93024000$, $s_{27} = 767692800$ or $s_9 = s_{27} = 251899200$).
- Let $\exp(P_3) = 3^4$. Then by Lemma 2.1, $|P_3| \mid 1 + s_3 + s_{3^2} + s_{3^3} + s_{3^4}$ and $|P_3| \mid 3^7$ (when $s_9 = 474163200$, $s_{27} = s_{81} = 719712000$).

Therefore $|P_3| \mid 3^7$.

If $2^a.3^b \in \omega(G)$, then $1 \leq a \leq 12$ and $1 \leq b \leq 4$.

If $5^a \in \omega(G)$, then $1 \leq a \leq 4$.

- Let $\exp(P_5) = 5$. Then by Lemma 2.1, $|P_5| \mid 1 + s_5$ and $|P_5| \mid 5^3$.
- Let $\exp(P_5) = 5^2$. Then by Lemma 2.1, $|P_5| \mid 1 + s_5 + s_{5^2}$ and $|P_5| \mid 5^3$ (when $s_{5^2} = 93024000$).
- Let $\exp(P_5) = 5^3$. Then by Lemma 2.1, $|P_5| \mid 1 + s_5 + s_{5^2} + s_{5^3}$ and $|P_5| \mid 5^4$ (when $s_{5^2} = 93024000$, $s_{5^3} = 719712000$, or $s_{5^2} = 287884800$, $s_{5^3} = 671731200$, or $s_{5^2} = 474163200$ $J \neg s_{5^3} = 767692800$).
- Let $\exp(P_5) = 5^4$. Then by Lemma 2.1, $|P_5| \mid 1 + s_5 + s_{5^2} + s_{5^3} + s_{5^4}$ and $|P_5| \mid 5^5$ (when $s_{5^2} = 47980800$, $s_{5^3} = 474163200$, $s_{5^4} = 719712000$).

Therefore $|P_5| \mid 5^5$.

If $2^a.5^b$, then $1 \leq a \leq 11$ and $1 \leq b \leq 4$. If $3^a.5^b \in \omega(G)$, then $1 \leq a \leq 4$ and $1 \leq b \leq 4$. If $2^a.3^b.5^c$, then $1 \leq a \leq 10$, $1 \leq b \leq 4$ and $1 \leq c \leq 4$.

If $7^a \in \omega(G)$, then $1 \leq a \leq 4$.

- Let $\exp(P_7) = 7$. Then by Lemma 2.1, $|P_7| \mid 1 + s_7$ and $|P_7| \mid 7^3$.
- Let $\exp(P_7) = 7^2$. Then by Lemma 2.1, $|P_7| \mid 1 + s_7 + s_{7^2}$ and $|P_7| \mid 7^4$ (when $s_{7^2} = 223910400$).

- Let $\exp(P_7) = 7^3$. Then by Lemma 2.1, $|P_7| \mid 1 + s_7 + s_{7^2} + s_{7^3}$ and $|P_7| \mid 7^4$ (when either $s_{7^2} = 13434624$, $s_{7^3} = 474163200$ or $s_{7^2} = 201519360$, $s_{7^3} = 474163200$ or $s_{7^2} = 474163200$, $s_{7^3} = 671731200$).
- Let $\exp(P_7) = 7^4$. Then by Lemma 2.1, $|P_7| \mid 1 + s_7 + s_{7^2} + s_{7^3}$ and $|P_7| \mid 7^5$ (when $s_{7^2} = s_{7^3} = 201519360$, $s_{7^4} = 251899200$).

If $5.7 \in \omega(G)$, then by Lemma 2.1, $5.7 \mid 1 + s_5 + s_7 + s_{5.7}$, a contradiction. So $5.7 \notin \omega(G)$. If $2^a.7^b \in \omega(G)$, then $1 \leq a \leq 12$ and $1 \leq b \leq 4$. If $3^2.7 \in \omega(G)$, then by Lemma 2.1, $3^2.7 \mid 1 + s_3 + s_{3^2} + s_7 + s_{3.7} + s_{3^2.7}(=1112088321)$, a contradiction. Therefore $3^2.7 \notin \omega(G)$

If $11^a \in \omega(G)$, then $a = 1$. By Lemma 2.1, $|P_{11}| \mid 1 + s_{11}$ and $|P_{11}| \mid 11$.

If $17^a \in \omega(G)$, then $1 \leq a \leq 3$. If $a = 2$, then by (3.1), $17^2 \mid 1 + s_{17} + s_{17^2}$, a contradiction. So $a = 1$, by Lemma 2.1, $|P_{17}| \mid 1 + s_{17}$ and $|P_{17}| \mid 17$.

If $19^a \in \omega(G)$, then $1 \leq a \leq 2$. If $a = 2$, then by (3.1), $19^2 \mid 1 + s_{19} + s_{19^2}$, a contradiction. So $a = 1$, by Lemma 2.1, $|P_{19}| \mid 1 + s_{19}$ and $|P_{19}| \mid 19$.

If $151^a \in \omega(G)$, then $a = 1$. By Lemma 2.1, $|P_{151}| \mid 1 + s_{151}$ and $|P_{151}| \mid 151$.

To remove the primes 11, 19 and 151, we prove that $17 \in \pi(G)$.

Suppose that $17 \notin \pi(G)$.

If $3, 5, 7, 11, 17, 19, 151 \notin \pi(G)$, then G is a 2-group. Since $|\omega(G)| = 14$ and $|\text{nse}(G)| = 15$, then the equation has no solution.

Let $151 \in \pi(G)$. Since $n_{151} = s_{151}/\phi(151)$, then $17 \in \pi(G)$, a contradiction.

Let $19 \in \pi(G)$. Since $n_{19} = s_{19}/\phi(19)$, then $17 \in \pi(G)$, a contradiction.

Let $11 \in \pi(G)$. Since $n_{11} = s_{11}/\phi(11)$, then $17 \in \pi(G)$, a contradiction.

Let $7 \in \pi(G)$. Then we know that $\exp(P_7) = 7, 7^2, 7^3, 7^4$.

Let $\exp(P_7) = 7$. Then $|P_7| \mid 1 + s_7$ and so $|P_7| \mid 7^3$.

- If $|P_7| = 7$, then $n_7 = s_7/\phi(7)$, and so $17 \in \pi(G)$, a contradiction.
- If $|P_7| = 7^2$, then from above $151, 19, 17, 11 \notin \pi(G)$ and since $\pi(G) \subseteq \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 17, 19, 151\}$, we can assume that $\pi(G) \subseteq \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$. So $4030387200 + 8529920k_1 + 13434624k_2 + 47980800k_3 + 93024000k_4 + 201519360k_5 + 223910400k_6 + 251899200k_7 + 268692480k_8 + 287884800k_9 + 474163200k_{10} + 671731200k_{11} + 719712000k_{12} + 767692800k_{13} = 2^m.3^n.5^p.7^2$, where k_1, \dots, k_{13} , m, n, p are non-negative integers and $0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{13} k_i \leq 310$. Since $4030387200 \leq |G| \leq 4030387200 + 310.767692800$, then the equation has no solution in \mathbb{N} .
- If $|P_7| = 7^3$, then we similarly have rule out this case as “ $\exp(P_7) = 7$ and $|P_7| = 7^2$ ”.

Let $\exp(P_7) = 7^2$. Then $|P_7| \mid 7^4$.

- If $|P_7| = 7^2$ or 7^3 , we can rule out these cases as “ $\exp(P_7) = 7$ and $|P_7| = 7^2$ ”.
- If $|P_7| = 7^4$, then $4030387200 + 8529920k_1 + 13434624k_2 + 47980800k_3 + 93024000k_4 + 201519360k_5 + 223910400k_6 + 251899200k_7 + 268692480k_8 + 287884800k_9 + 474163200k_{10} + 671731200k_{11} + 719712000k_{12} + 767692800k_{13} =$

$2^m \cdot 3^n \cdot 5^p \cdot 7^4$, where $k_1, \dots, k_{13}, m, n, p$ are non-negative integers and $0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{13} k_i \leq 322$. Since $4030387200 \leq |G| \leq 4030387200 + 322 \cdot 767692800$, then we have that $(m, n, p) = (9, 3, 3), (10, 3, 3), (11, 3, 3), (11, 3, 3), (12, 3, 3), (13, 3, 3), (12, 3, 2), (13, 3, 2), (11, 2, 3), (12, 2, 3), (13, 2, 3)$ or $(13, 1, 3)$. We also can rule out this case. For example. Let $|G| = 2^9 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^4$, then using the programme of [8], we can rule out.

Let $\exp(P_7) = 7^3$. Then $|P_7| \mid 7^4$.

- If $|P_7| = 7^3$, then the equation “ $4030387200 + 8529920k_1 + 13434624k_2 + 47980800k_3 + 93024000k_4 + 201519360k_5 + 223910400k_6 + 251899200k_7 + 268692480k_8 + 287884800k_9 + 474163200k_{10} + 671731200k_{11} + 719712000k_{12} + 767692800k_{13} = 2^m \cdot 3^n \cdot 5^p \cdot 7^4$ ” has no solution from case “ $\exp(P_7) = 7$ and $|P_7| = 7^3$ ”.
- If $|P_7| = 7^4$, then $4030387200 + 8529920k_1 + 13434624k_2 + 47980800k_3 + 93024000k_4 + 201519360k_5 + 223910400k_6 + 251899200k_7 + 268692480k_8 + 287884800k_9 + 474163200k_{10} + 671731200k_{11} + 719712000k_{12} + 767692800k_{13} = 2^m \cdot 3^n \cdot 5^p \cdot 7^4$, where $k_1, \dots, k_{13}, m, n, p$ are non-negative integers and $0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{13} k_i \leq 334$. Since $4030387200 \leq |G| \leq 4030387200 + 334 \cdot 767692800$, then we can rule out this case as “ $\exp(P_7) = 7^2$ and $|P_7| = 7^4$ ”.

Let $\exp(P_7) = 7^4$. Then $|P_7| \mid 7^5$.

- If $|P_7| = 7^4$, then $4030387200 + 8529920k_1 + 13434624k_2 + 47980800k_3 + 93024000k_4 + 201519360k_5 + 223910400k_6 + 251899200k_7 + 268692480k_8 + 287884800k_9 + 474163200k_{10} + 671731200k_{11} + 719712000k_{12} + 767692800k_{13} = 2^m \cdot 3^n \cdot 5^p \cdot 7^4$, where $k_1, \dots, k_{13}, m, n, p$ are non-negative integers and $0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{13} k_i \leq 346$. Since $4030387200 \leq |G| \leq 4030387200 + 346 \cdot 767692800$, then we can rule out this case as “ $\exp(P_7) = 7^2$ and $|P_7| = 7^4$ ”.
- If $|P_7| = 7^5$, then by Lemma 2.2, $s_{7^4} = 7^4 \cdot t$ for some integer t . But the equation has no solution since $s_{7^4} \in \text{nse}(G)$.

Let $5 \in \pi(G)$. We know that $\exp(P_5) = 5, 5^2, 5^3, 5^4$.

Let $\exp(P_5) = 5$. Then $|P_5| \mid 5^3$.

- If $|P_5| = 5$, then since $n_5 = s_5 / \phi(5)$, $17 \in \pi(G)$, a contradiction.
- If $|P_5| = 5^2$, then $4030387200 + 8529920k_1 + 13434624k_2 + 47980800k_3 + 93024000k_4 + 201519360k_5 + 223910400k_6 + 251899200k_7 + 268692480k_8 + 287884800k_9 + 474163200k_{10} + 671731200k_{11} + 719712000k_{12} + 767692800k_{13} = 2^m \cdot 3^n \cdot 5^p \cdot 7^4$, where $k_1, \dots, k_{13}, m, n, p$ are non-negative integers and $0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{13} k_i \leq 107$. Since $4030387200 \leq |G| \leq 4030387200 + 107 \cdot 767692800$, then the equation has no solution.

- If $|P_5| = 5^3$, then similarly as the case “ $\exp(P_5) = 5$ and $|P_5| = 5^2$ ”, we can rule out this case.

Let $\exp(P_5) = 5^2$. Then $|P_5| \mid 5^3$.

Similarly we can rule out this case as the case “ $\exp(P_5) = 5$ and $|P_5| = 5^2$ ”.

Let $\exp(P_5) = 5^3$. Then $|P_5| \mid 5^4$.

Similarly we can rule out this case as the case “ $\exp(P_5) = 5$ and $|P_5| = 5^2$ ”.

Let $\exp(P_5) = 5^4$. Then $|P_5| \mid 5^5$.

If $|P_5| = 5^4$, we can rule out this case as “ $\exp(P_7) = 7^2$ and $|P_7| = 7^4$ ”.

If $|P_5| = 5^5$, then Lemma 2.2, $s_{5^4} = 5^4.t$ for some integer t , but the equation has no solution since $s_{5^4} \in \text{nse}(G)$.

Let $3 \in \pi(G)$. We know that $2 \in \pi(G)$.

Therefore $4030387200 + 8529920k_1 + 13434624k_2 + 47980800k_3 + 93024000k_4 + 201519360k_5 + 223910400k_6 + 251899200k_7 + 268692480k_8 + 287884800k_9 + 474163200k_{10} + 671731200k_{11} + 719712000k_{12} + 767692800k_{13} = 2^m.3^n$, where k_1, \dots, k_{13}, m, n are non-negative integers and $0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{13} k_i \leq 51$. Since $4030387200 \leq |G| \leq 4030387200 + 51.767692800$, then the equation has no solution since m and n are at most 13 and 7 respectively.

Therefore $17 \in \pi(G)$.

If $11.17 \in \omega(G)$, then by Lemma 2.3 of [14], $s_{11.17} = 10.s_{17}.t$ for some integer t . But the equation has no solution since $s_{11.17} \in \text{nse}(G)$. Hence $11.17 \notin \omega(G)$. It following that the Sylow 11-subgroup of G acts fixed point freely on the set of elements of order 17 and $|P_{11}| \mid s_{17}$, a contradiction. Similarly we can prove that $19.17, 151.17 \notin \omega(G)$ and $19, 151 \notin \pi(G)$.

Therefore $\{2, 17\} \subseteq \pi(G) \subseteq \{2, 3, 5, 7, 17\}$. So we consider the following cases.

Case a. $\pi(G) = \{2, 17\}$.

Since $|P_{17}| = 17$, then $n_{17} = s_{17}/\phi(17) = 2^7.3^3.5^2.7^3$, $3, 5, 7 \in \pi(G)$, a contradiction.

Similarly we can rule out these cases: $\pi(G) = \{2, 3, 17\}, \{2, 5, 17\}, \{2, 7, 17\}, \{2, 3, 5, 17\}, \{2, 3, 7, 17\}, \{2, 5, 7, 17\}$.

Case b. $\pi(G) = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 17\}$.

If $2.17 \in \omega(G)$, then by Lemma 2.3 of [14], $s_{2.17} = s_{17}.t$ for some integer t and $s_{2.17} = s_{17}$. By Lemma 2.1, $2.17 \mid 1 + s_2 + s_{17} + s_{2.17}(=948538816)$, a contradiction. So $2.17 \in \omega(G)$, it follows that the Sylow 2-subgroup of G acts fixed freely on the set of elements of order 17 and $|P_2| \mid s_{17}$. Therefore $|P_2| \mid 2^{11}$. Similarly $3.17 \notin \omega(G)$ and $|P_3| \mid 3^3$; $5.17 \notin \omega(G)$ and $|P_5| \mid 5^2$; $7.17 \notin \omega(G)$ and $|P_7| \mid 7^3$.

We can assume that $|G| = 2^m.3^n.5^p.7^q.17$. Since $2^{10}.3^3.5^2.7^3.17 \leq |G| = 2^m.3^n.5^p.7^q.17$, then $|G| = 2^{10}.3^3.5^2.7^3.17$ or $|G| = 2^{11}.3^3.5^2.7^3.17$.

In the following, we first prove that there is no group such that $|G| = 2^{10}.3^3.5^2.7^3.17$ and $\text{nse}(G)=\text{nse}(He)$, then by [8], get the desired result.

G is insoluble. Assume that G is soluble. Since $s_{17} = 474163200$ and $|P_{17}| = 17$, then $n_{17} = s_{17}/\phi(17) = 2^7.3^3.5^2.7^3$. By Lemma 2.4, $5^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{17}$, a contradiction. Therefore G is insoluble.

Therefore there is a normal series $1 \trianglelefteq K \trianglelefteq L \trianglelefteq G$ such that L/K is a simple K_i -group with $i = 3, 4, 5$.

If L/K is isomorphic to a simple K_3 -group, from [5], $L/K \cong A_5, A_6, A_7, A_8, L_2(7), L_2(8), L_2(49), U_3(3), L_3(4)$ or J_2 . We can prove L/K is not a simple K_3 -group. For example, $L/K \cong L_2(7)$. Then $|G/L| \mid 2^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 17$. Let $A/K := C_{G/K}(L/K)$. Then $A/K \cap L/K = 1$. It is easy to see that $(G/K)/(A/K) \lesssim \text{Aut}(L/K) = SL(2, 7)$ and so $G/A \lesssim SL(2, 7)$. Since $A/K, L/K \triangleleft G/K$, $A/K \times L/K \leq G/K$. Therefore $|L/K| \mid |G/A|$ and so $G/K \cong L_2(7)$ or $SL(2, 7)$. i.e., $|A| = 2^8 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 17$ or $2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 17$. By Sylow's theorem, $n_{17}(A) = 1, 18, 35, 120, 256, 392, 630, 800, 1225, 1344, 4200, 7056, 8960, 14400, 22050, 47040, 313600$. Since $A \triangleleft G$, we have that $n_{17}(A) = n_{17}(G)$, and so $s_{17}(G) = 16 \cdot n_{17}(A) = 16, 288, 560, 1920, 4096, 6272, 10080, 12800, 19600, 21504, 67200, 112896, 143360, 230400, 352800, 752640, 5017600$, but none of which belongs to $\text{nse}(G)$.

Hence G is isomorphic to a simple K_i -group with $i = 4, 5$, then by Lemma 2.7, So $G/A \leq \text{Aut}(He)$. Therefore $G/A \cong He$, or $G/A \cong 2.He$.

If $G/A \cong He$, then order consideration $|A| = 2$ and $A = Z(G)$. So there exists an element of order 2.17, which is a contradiction.

If $G/A \cong 2.He$, then $A = 1$. But $\text{nse}(2.He) \neq \text{nse}(G)$, a contradiction.

Therefore $|G| = 2^{10} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^3 \cdot 17 = |He|$. By assumption, $\text{nse}(G) = \text{nse}(He)$, so by [2], $G \cong He$. \square

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a group. Then $G \cong M$ if and only if $\text{nse}(G) = \text{nse}(M)$ with $M = HS$ or He .*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we have the desired result. \square

4 Some applications

We know that if the two groups G and H are of the same order type, then

$$\text{nse}(G) = \text{nse}(H) \text{ and } |G| = |H|.$$

Whether can the conditions " $\text{nse}(G) = \text{nse}(H)$ and $|G| = |H|$ " characterize some finite simple groups. Recently, some simple groups for instance, simple K_n -groups with $n = 3, 4$ [16, 15], projective linear groups $L_3(4)$ [10] and $L_3(5)$ [9] and $L_2(2^m)$ with $2^m + 1$ prime or $2^m - 1$ prime[14], Projective special unitary group $U_3(5)$ [11], are characterizable by nse and their orders. Hence we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.1. *Let G be a group. Then $G \cong M$ if and only if $\text{nse}(G) = \text{nse}(M)$ and $\text{nse}(G) = \text{nse}(M)$ with $M = HS$ or He .*

Proof. See [15]. \square

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the unknown referee's helpful suggestions. This work was supported by the Opening Project of Sichuan Province University Key Laboratory of Bridge Nondestruction Detecting and Engineering Computing project no. 2013QYJ02 and 2014QYJ04, and by the Scientific Research Project of Sichuan University of Science and Engineering no. 2014RC02.

References

- [1] A.K. Asboei, *A new characterization of $PGL(2, p)$* , J. Algebra Appl. 12 (2013), no. 7, Article 1350040, 5 pp.
- [2] A.K. Asboei, S.S.S. Amiri, A. Iranmanesh, A. Tehranian, *A characterization of sporadic simple groups by NSE and order*, J. Algebra Appl. 12 (2013), no. 2, Article 1250158, 3 pp.
- [3] J.H. Conway, R.T. Curtis, S.P. Norton, R.A. Parker, R.A. Wilson, *Atlas of finite groups*, Oxford University Press, 1985, xxxiv+252pp.
- [4] G. Frobenius, *Verallgemeinerung des sylowschen satze*, Journal of Mathematical Research with Applications. Berliner Sitz, 1895, 981-993
- [5] M. Herzog, *On finite simple groups of order divisible by three primes only*, J. Algebra. 10 (1968), 383-388.
- [6] J.M. Hall, *The theory of groups*, The Macmillan Co., New York, 1959, 141 pp.
- [7] A. Jafarzadeh, A. Iranmanesh, *On simple K_n -groups for $n = 5, 6$* , Groups St. Andrews 2(2005), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., Cambridge Univ. Press, 340 (2007), 517-526
- [8] M. Khatami, B. Khosravi, Z. Akhlaghi, *A new characterization for some linear groups*, Monatsh. Math. 163 (2011), no. 1, 39-50.
- [9] S. Liu, *A characterization of projective special linear group $L_3(5)$* , Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math. (2014), no. 32, 203-212.
- [10] S. Liu, *A characterization of $L_3(4)$* , ScienceAsia. 39 (2013), no. 4, 436-439.
- [11] S. Liu, *A characterization of projective special unitary group $U_3(5)$ by nse*, Arab J. Math. Sci. 20 (2014), no. 1, 133-140.
- [12] S. Liu, R. Zhang, *A new characterization of A_{12}* , Math. Sci. 6 (2012), no. 6, 30 pp.
- [13] G. A. Miller, *Addition to a theorem due to Frobenius*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 11 (1904), no.1, 6-7.
- [14] C. Shao, Q. Jiang, *A new characterization of some linear groups by NSE*, J. Algebra Appl. 13 (2014), no. 2, 1-9.
- [15] C.G. Shao, W.J. Shi, Q.H. Jiang, *Characterization of simple K_4 -groups*, Front. Math. China. 3 (2008), no. 3, 355-370
- [16] C.G. Shao, W.J. Shi, Q.H. Jiang, *A characterization of simple K_3 -groups*, Adv. Math. (China). 38 (2009), no. 3, 327-330.
- [17] R. Shen, C. Shao, Q. Jiang, W. Shi, V. Mazurov, *A new characterization of A_5* , Monatsh. Math. 160 (2010), no. 3, 337-341.
- [18] W. J. Shi, *A new characterization of the sporadic simple groups*, Group theory (Singapore, 1987), de Gruyter 1989, 531-540.
- [19] W.J. Shi, *On simple K_4 -group*, Chin. Sci. Bul. 36 (1991), 1281-1283. (in Chinese).
- [20] Q. Zhang, W. Shi, *Characterization of $L_2(16)$ by $\tau_e(L_2(16))$* , J. Math. Res. Appl. 32 (2012), no. 2, 248-252.

Yong Yang, Shitian Liu
School of Science
Sichuan University of Science and Engineering
Zigong Sichuan
643000, P. R. China
E-mails: yangbba@163.com, liust@suse.edu.cn

Received: 14.05.2014