

TRIGONOMETRIC SERIES WITH LACUNARY-MONOTONE  
COEFFICIENTS

M.I. Dyachenko, S. Tikhonov

Communicated by E.D. Nursultanov

**Key words:** Multiple trigonometric series, lacunary-monotone coefficients, Lipschitz and Nikol'skii spaces.

**AMS Mathematics Subject Classification:** 42B05, 41A25, 42B35.

**Abstract.** In this paper we study multiple trigonometric series with lacunary-monotone coefficients. We obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the sum of such series to belong to  $L_p$ ,  $1 < p \leq \infty$  and the generalized Lipschitz spaces (Nicol'skii spaces).

## 1 Introduction

It is well known that trigonometric Fourier series

$$\frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx) \tag{1.1}$$

with special conditions on coefficients  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  possess many important properties. Such condition are, for example, monotonicity and lacunarity. In particular, for the series with monotone or lacunary coefficients the following problems can be solved completely: to find necessary and sufficient conditions on the Fourier coefficients for the sum of series to belong to the space  $L_p$ ,  $1 < p \leq \infty$ , or to describe smoothness properties of the sum of series in terms of behavior of coefficients. Both problems are of great importance in Fourier analysis since the solution provides, e.g., an instrument to deal with functions with "limiting" smoothness properties (see, e.g., [13], [17]). Surveys on series with monotone or lacunary coefficients can be found in, e.g., [4] and [7].

Let the sequences  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  be monotone (briefly  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\} \in M$ ), then series (1.1) converges uniformly if and only if ([1, Ch. V, §30], [19, V, (1.3)])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < \infty, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nb_n = 0. \tag{1.2}$$

Moreover, in this case for the sum  $f$  of series (1.1) Lorentz proved ([1, Ch. X, §9], [9]) that

$$f \in \text{Lip } \alpha \iff a_n, b_n = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\alpha+1}}\right), \quad 0 < \alpha < 1 \tag{1.3}$$

Here, the Lipschitz space  $\text{Lip } \alpha := \text{Lip}(\alpha, \infty)$  is defined by

$$\text{Lip}(\alpha, p) = \{f \in L_p : \omega(f, \delta)_p = O(\delta^\alpha)\}, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1,$$

where  $\omega(f, \delta)_p$  is the modulus of continuity of  $f$  in  $L_p$ , i.e.,

$$\omega(f, \delta)_p = \sup_{|h| \leq \delta} \|\Delta_h f(\cdot)\|_p, \quad \Delta_h f(x) = f(x+h) - f(x).$$

Let  $\mathbf{m} = \{m_k\}$  be a lacunary sequence of natural members, that is, satisfying the condition  $\lambda = \inf \frac{m_{k+1}}{m_k} > 1$  ( $\lambda$  is the degree of lacunarity), briefly  $\{m_k\} \in \Lambda$ .

If the sequences  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  are lacunary in the sense of Hadamard, i.e.,

$$a_n = 0, \quad b_n = 0 \text{ if } n \notin \{m_k\}, \text{ where } \{m_k\} \in \Lambda$$

(briefly  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\} \in \tilde{\Lambda}$ ), then series (1.1) converges uniformly if and only if ([1, Ch. XI, §6])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| + |b_n| < \infty. \quad (1.4)$$

Moreover ([1, Ch. XI, §6], [9]), we have

$$f \in \text{Lip } \alpha \iff a_n, b_n = O\left(\frac{1}{n^\alpha}\right), \quad 0 < \alpha < 1. \quad (1.5)$$

The following two theorems (Hardy-Littlewood, Zygmund, and Konushkov, see [1, Ch. X, §3 and Ch. XI, §6], [8], and [19, Ch. V, formula (8.20)]) generalize the above results for the case  $L_p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ .

**Theorem A.** *Let series (1.1) be the Fourier series of an integrable function  $f$  and  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\} \in M$ . Then the necessary necessary and sufficient condition for  $f$  to belong to  $L_p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , is*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k^p + b_k^p) k^{p-2} < \infty. \quad (1.6)$$

Moreover,

$$f \in \text{Lip}(\alpha, p) \iff a_n, b_n = O\left(n^{-\alpha+1/p-1}\right), \quad 0 < \alpha < 1. \quad (1.7)$$

Note that condition (1.6) is equivalent (see Lemma 2.3 below) to the following condition

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r^{-p-2} \left( \sum_{k=1}^r k(a_k + b_k) \right)^p < \infty;$$

see also [5].

The counterpart for series with lacunary coefficients looks as follows.

**Theorem B.** *Let series (1.1) be the Fourier series of integrable function  $f$  and  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\} \in \tilde{\Lambda}$ . Then the necessary and sufficient condition for  $f$  to belong to  $L_p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , is*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k^2 + b_k^2) < \infty. \quad (1.8)$$

Moreover,

$$f \in \text{Lip}(\alpha, p) \iff a_n, b_n = O(n^{-\alpha}), \quad 0 < \alpha < 1. \quad (1.9)$$

Analogues of Theorems A and B for multiple series were investigated when coefficients are monotone-type (see, e.g., [6]) or lacunary (see, e.g., [3]).

In this paper we study the following double trigonometric series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{k,n} \psi_{m_k}(x) \psi_n(y), \quad (1.10)$$

where  $\psi_k(x)$  are  $\cos kx$ , or  $\sin kx$ , or  $e^{ikx}$  for every  $k$ . Here the sequence  $\{m_k\}$  is lacunary and for any fixed  $k$  the sequence  $a_{k,n}$  is decreasing (non-increasing) with respect to  $n$ , i.e.,  $a_{k,n} \geq a_{k,n+1}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 several auxiliary lemmas are given. In Section 3 we study conditions for the sum of lacunary-monotone series to be in  $L_p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . Note that if  $f \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ , then from [14] it follows that for any (partial or full) function  $\tilde{f}$  conjugate to  $f$  (see, e.g., [18, Part 2, Ch.I]) we have  $\tilde{f} \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)$ . Then, considering trigonometric series (1.10) for any choice of  $\psi_k$  it suffices to study, for example, sine-sine series ( $\psi_k \equiv \sin kx$ ). In particular, we prove the following analogue of Theorems A and B.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and let series (1.10) be the Fourier series of an integrable on  $\mathbb{T}^2$  function  $f$  such that  $\{m_k\} \in \Lambda$  and for any fixed  $k$  the sequence  $a_{k,n}$  is convex with respect to  $n$ . Then the necessary and sufficient condition for  $f$  to belong to  $L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)$  is*

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r^{-p-2} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{n=1}^r n a_{k,n} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} < \infty.$$

In Sections 4 and 5 we obtain Lorentz-Konushkov type results on smoothness properties of the sums of lacunary-monotone series in  $L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)$  for the case  $1 < p < \infty$  and for the case  $p = \infty$ . In particular, we prove

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and let series (1.10) be the Fourier series of an integrable on  $\mathbb{T}^2$  function  $f$  such that  $\{m_k\} \in \Lambda$  and for any fixed  $k$  the sequence  $a_{k,n}$  is decreasing with respect to  $n$ . Then the necessary and sufficient condition for  $f$  to satisfy the  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ -Lipschitz condition, that is,*

$$\sup_{\substack{|t_1| \leq \delta_1 \\ |t_2| \leq \delta_2}} \left\| f(x+t_1, y+t_2) - f(x+t_1, y) - f(x, y+t_2) + f(x, y) \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)} = O\left(\delta_1^{\alpha_1} \delta_2^{\alpha_2}\right),$$

where  $0 < \alpha_1, \alpha_2 < 1$ , is

$$a_{k,n} = O\left(m_k^{-\alpha_1} n^{-\alpha_2+1/p-1}\right).$$

Throughout this paper, we denote by  $C, C_i, c$  positive constants that may be different on different occasions.

## 2 Auxiliary results

**Lemma 2.1.** [11] *Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a monotonic null-sequence,*

$$S_N(x) = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n \sin nx$$

for  $N = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $x \in (0, \pi)$ , and

$$\alpha(x) = x \sum_{n=1}^{\lfloor \frac{\pi}{x} \rfloor} na_n.$$

Then for all  $N$  one has

$$|S_N(x)| \leq \alpha(x), \quad x \in (0, \pi). \quad (2.1)$$

**Lemma 2.2.** [11, 15] *Let a null-sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be convex, i.e.,*

$$\Delta^2 a_n = a_n - 2a_{n+1} + a_{n+2} \geq 0$$

for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \sin nx.$$

Then for a positive constant  $C > 0$  and all  $x \in (0, \frac{3\pi}{4})$  one has

$$f(x) \geq C\alpha(x). \quad (2.2)$$

The next result is a simple corollary of Hardy's inequality (see, e.g., [1, Add, §22]).

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a monotonic null-sequence and  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then there exist positive constants  $C_1(p)$  and  $C_2(p)$  such that*

$$C_1(p) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p n^{p-2} \leq \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r^{-p-2} \left( \sum_{n=1}^r na_n \right)^p \leq C_2(p) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p n^{p-2}.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r^{-p-2} \left( \sum_{n=1}^r na_n \right)^p \geq \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r^{-p-2} a_r^p \left( \frac{r(r+1)}{2} \right)^p \geq \frac{1}{2^p} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} a_r^p r^{p-2}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r^{-p-2} \left( \sum_{n=1}^r n a_n \right)^p &\leq \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r^{-p-2} r^{p-1} \sum_{n=1}^r n^p a_n^p \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p n^p \sum_{r=n}^{\infty} r^{-3} \leq \frac{3}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p n^{p-2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

### 3 $L_p$ -integrability of lacunary-monotone series, $1 < p < \infty$

As was mentioned in Introduction, it suffices to investigate the series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{k,n} \sin m_k x \sin n y. \quad (3.1)$$

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and let series (3.1) be such that  $\mathbf{m} = \{m_k\} \in \Lambda$  and for any fixed  $k$  the sequence  $a_{k,n}$  is decreasing with respect to  $n$ . If*

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r^{-p-2} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{n=1}^r n a_{k,n} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} < \infty. \quad (3.2)$$

then series (3.1) is the Fourier series of a function  $f \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)$ .

*Proof.* Note that condition (3.2) and Lemma 2.3 imply

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{k,n}^p n^{p-2} < \infty$$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Therefore, by Theorem A,

$$f_k(y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{k,n} \sin n y \in L_p(\mathbb{T}).$$

Hence for any fixed  $k_0$  the series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{k,n} \sin m_k x \sin n y$$

is the Fourier series of a function  $f(k_0, x, y) \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)$  and therefore this series square converges to  $f(k_0, x, y)$  in  $L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)$ .

Further, for fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we choose (see (3.2)) an integer  $k_0$  such that

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r^{-p-2} \left( \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{n=1}^r n a_{k,n} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} < \varepsilon. \quad (3.3)$$

Considering the series

$$\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{k,n} \sin m_k x \sin ny,$$

let us denote by  $S_N(x, y)$  for  $N = 1, 2, \dots$  its square partial sums. Denote also  $k(N) := \max\{k : m_k \leq N\}$ , where we will consider sufficiently large  $N$  so that  $k(N) > k_0$ .

Assuming first that  $2 \leq p < \infty$  and using Zygmind's theorem ([1, Ch. XI]), Lemma 2.1 and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_N(x, y)\|_p^p &= \int_0^\pi \left( \int_0^\pi |S_N(x, y)|^p dx \right) dy \\ &\leq C(\mathbf{m}, p) \int_0^\pi \left( \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{k(N)} \left( \sum_{n=1}^N a_{k,n} \sin ny \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dy \\ &\leq C(\mathbf{m}, p) \int_0^\pi \left( \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} \left( y \sum_{n=1}^{\lfloor \frac{\pi}{y} \rfloor} na_{k,n} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dy \\ &= C(\mathbf{m}, p) \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \int_{\frac{\pi}{r+1}}^{\frac{\pi}{r}} \left( \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} \left( y \sum_{n=1}^r na_{k,n} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dy \\ &\leq C(\mathbf{m}, p) \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r^{-p-2} \left( \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{n=1}^r na_{k,n} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} < C(\mathbf{m}, p) \varepsilon. \quad (3.4) \end{aligned}$$

Since the function  $f(k_0, x, y)$  belongs to  $L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)$ , by (3.4), the sequence of the square partial sums of series (3.1) is a Cauchy sequence in  $L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)$ . Then it converges to a function in  $L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)$  and (3.1) is the Fourier series of this function.

If  $1 < p < 2$ , then to estimate  $\|S_N(x, y)\|_p^p$ , we first apply Hölder's inequality

$$\|S_N(x, y)\|_p^p = \int_0^\pi \left( \int_0^\pi |S_N(x, y)|^p dx \right) dy \leq C(p) \int_0^\pi \left( \int_0^\pi |S_N(x, y)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dy,$$

and then repeat the previous calculations.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and series (3.1) be the Fourier series of a function  $f \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)$  such that  $\mathbf{m} = \{m_k\} \in \Lambda$  and for any fixed  $k$  the sequence  $\{a_{k,n}\}$  is convex with respect to  $n$ . Then*

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r^{-p-2} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{n=1}^r na_{k,n} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} < \infty.$$

*Proof.* If  $2 \leq p < \infty$ , then (see Lemma 2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi |f(x, y)|^p dx dy &\geq C(p) \int_0^\pi \left( \int_0^\pi |f(x, y)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dy \\ &= C(p) \int_0^\pi \left( \sum_{k=1}^\infty \left| \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_{k,n} \sin ny \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dy \\ &\geq C(p) \int_0^\pi y^p \left( \sum_{k=1}^\infty \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\lfloor \frac{\pi}{y} \rfloor} na_{k,n} \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dy \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

$$\geq C(p) \sum_{r=1}^\infty r^{-p-2} \left( \sum_{k=1}^\infty \left( \sum_{n=1}^r na_{k,n} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}. \quad (3.6)$$

If  $1 < p < 2$ , then it is known that any function  $g \in L_p(\mathbb{T})$ , with the Fourier series

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty b_k \sin m_k x,$$

should be a square integrable function. Moreover, there exists a constant  $C = C(\mathbf{m}, p) > 0$  such that  $\|g\|_2 \leq C\|g\|_p$ . Then

$$\int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi |f(x, y)|^p dx dy \geq C(\mathbf{m}, p) \int_0^\pi \left( \int_0^\pi |f(x, y)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dy.$$

To finish the proof, we use estimates (3.5) and (3.6).  $\square$

**Open question.** Find necessary and sufficient conditions for the sum of series (1.10) to belong to the space  $L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , in terms of coefficients  $\{a_{k,n}\}$  in the case in which  $\{m_k\} \in \Lambda$  and  $\{a_{k,n}\}_n \in M$  for any fixed  $k$  ( $\{a_{k,n}\}_n$  is not necessary convex).

In connection with this question we give the following theorem on necessary conditions for the sum of series (1.10) to be in  $L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and let series (3.1) be the Fourier series of a function  $f \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)$  such that  $\{m_k\} \in \Lambda$  and  $\{a_{k,n}\}_n \in M$  for any fixed  $k$ . Then

$$\sum_{r=1}^\infty r^{-p-2} \left( \sum_{k=1}^\infty \left( \sum_{n=1}^r n^2 (a_{k,n} - a_{k,n+1}) \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} < \infty. \quad (3.7)$$

*Proof.* Consider the function

$$h(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x, y) + f(x, \pi - y)) \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)$$

and its Fourier series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{k,2n-1} \sin m_k x \sin(2n-1)y.$$

The rest of the proof follows the same lines as the proof of Theorem 3.2 but using the next result (Lemma 3.1) instead of Lemma 2.2.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a monotonic null-sequence and*

$$h(y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{2n-1} \sin(2n-1)y.$$

*Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for any  $y \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$  one has*

$$h(y) \geq Cy \sum_{k=1}^{[\frac{\pi}{y}]} k^2 \Delta a_k.$$

*Proof.* Denote for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $y \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ ,

$$E_k(y) = \sin y + \sin 3y + \dots + \sin(2k-1)y = \frac{\sin^2 ky}{\sin y} \geq 0.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} h(y) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_{2k-1} - a_{2k+1}) E_k(y) \geq y \sum_{k=1}^{[\frac{\pi}{2y}]} \frac{4}{\pi^2} (a_{2k-1} - a_{2k+1}) k^2 \\ &\geq Cy \sum_{k=1}^{[\frac{\pi}{y}]} k^2 \Delta a_k. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Example 1.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\alpha = 1 - \frac{1}{p} + \varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ . First, we consider series (1.10) with coefficients  $a_{k,n}^{(1)} = (k(n+1))^{-\alpha}$ . Then this series can be written as*

$$f_1(x) = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^\alpha} \psi_{m_k}(x) \right) \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^\alpha} \psi_n(y) \right),$$

*and, by Theorems A and B,*

$$f_1 \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^2) \iff \varepsilon > \max \left\{ 0, \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

*On the other hand, denoting the sum of series (1.10) with coefficients  $a_{k,n}^{(2)} = (k+n)^{-\alpha}$  by  $f_2$ , we have*

$$f_2 \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^2) \iff \varepsilon > \frac{1}{2}. \quad (3.8)$$



*Proof.* To prove (3.8), let first  $\varepsilon > 1/2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} I_r &:= \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{n=1}^r n a_{k,n}^{(2)} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq C(p, \alpha) \left( \sum_{k=1}^r \left( \sum_{n=1}^r n^{1-\alpha} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &\quad + C(p, \alpha) \left( \sum_{k=r+1}^{\infty} k^{-2\alpha} \left( \sum_{n=1}^r n \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &\leq C(p, \alpha) \left( \sum_{n=1}^r n^{1-\alpha} \right)^p r^{p/2} + C(p, \alpha) r^{5p/2-\alpha p}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\alpha > 1/2 + 1 - 1/p > 1/2$ . Further, if  $\alpha < 2$ , then  $I_r \leq C(p, \alpha) r^{5p/2-\alpha p}$  and if  $\alpha \geq 2$ , then  $I_r \leq C(p, \alpha) r^{p/2} \ln^p(2r)$ . Now it is easy to see that for  $\varepsilon > \frac{1}{2}$

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r^{-p-2} I_r \leq C(p, \alpha) \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r^{-p-2} (r^{5p/2-\alpha p} + r^{p/2} \ln^p(2r)) < \infty$$

and therefore Theorem 3.1 yields that  $f_2 \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)$ .

Conversely, if  $f_2 \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)$ , then, by Theorem 3.3, condition (3.7) holds. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \infty &> \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r^{-p-2} \left( \sum_{k=r}^{2r} \left( \sum_{n=\lceil r/2 \rceil}^r n^2 (a_{k,n}^{(2)} - a_{k,n+1}^{(2)}) \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &\geq C(p, \alpha) \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r^{-p-2} \left( \sum_{k=r}^{2r} \left( \sum_{n=\lceil r/2 \rceil}^r \frac{n^2}{(k+n+1)^{\alpha+1}} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \geq C(p, \alpha) \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r^{\frac{3p}{2}-\alpha p-2}, \end{aligned}$$

which converges only if  $\varepsilon > \frac{1}{2}$ . □

#### 4 Smoothness properties of lacunary-monotone series in $L_p$ , $1 < p < \infty$

Denote by  $\omega_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(f; \delta_1, \delta_2)_p$  the mixed modulus of smoothness of a function  $f \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)$  of orders  $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  with respect to the variables  $x, y$  respectively, i.e.,

$$\omega_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(f; \delta_1, \delta_2)_p = \sup_{\substack{|h_1| \leq \delta_1 \\ |h_2| \leq \delta_2}} \|\Delta_{h_1}^{\alpha_1}(\Delta_{h_2}^{\alpha_2}(f))\|_p. \quad (4.1)$$

Here, the difference of order  $\alpha_1 > 0$  with respect to the variable  $x$  and the difference of order  $\alpha_2 > 0$  with respect to the variable  $y$  are defined as follows:

$$\Delta_{h_1}^{\alpha_1}(f) = \sum_{k_1=0}^{\alpha_1} (-1)^{k_1} \binom{\alpha_1}{k_1} f(x + (\alpha_1 - k_1)h_1, y)$$

and

$$\Delta_{h_2}^{\alpha_2}(f) = \sum_{k_2=0}^{\alpha_2} (-1)^{k_2} \binom{\alpha_2}{k_2} f(x, y + (\alpha_2 - k_2)h_2),$$

where, as usual,

$$\binom{\alpha}{\nu} = \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)\cdots(\alpha-\nu+1)}{\nu!} \quad \text{for } \nu > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \binom{\alpha}{\nu} = \begin{cases} \alpha, & \text{for } \nu = 1 \\ 1, & \text{for } \nu = 0 \end{cases}.$$

We say that a function  $\omega(t)$  is of class  $m_\beta$  ( $\beta > 0$ ) if  $\omega$  is continuous on  $[0, 2]$  and satisfies the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \omega(0) \leq \omega(\mu) \leq \omega(\delta) & \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq \mu \leq \delta \leq 1, \\ \omega(\mu)\mu^{-\beta} \geq \omega(\delta)\delta^{-\beta} & \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < \mu \leq \delta \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Next, a function  $\Omega(t_1, t_2)$  is of class  $\mathcal{M}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$  ( $\alpha_1 > 0, \alpha_2 > 0$ ) if  $\Omega$  is continuous and nonnegative on  $[0, 2]^2$  and satisfies  $\Omega(\cdot, \delta_2) \in m_{\alpha_1}$  for any fixed  $\delta_2$  and  $\Omega(\delta_1, \cdot) \in m_{\alpha_2}$  for any fixed  $\delta_1$ .

Also, a continuous nonnegative on  $[0, 2]^2$  function  $\Omega(t_1, t_2)$  satisfies the two-dimensional Bary-Steckin conditions (briefly  $\Omega \in \mathcal{BS}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$ ) if

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{u_1} \int_0^{u_2} \Omega(t_1, t_2) \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dt_2}{t_2} &= O(\Omega(u_1, u_2)), \\ u_1^{\alpha_1} \int_{u_1}^2 \int_0^{u_2} \frac{\Omega(t_1, t_2)}{t_1^{\alpha_1}} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dt_2}{t_2} &= O(\Omega(u_1, u_2)), \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u_2^{\alpha_2} \int_0^{u_1} \int_{u_2}^2 \frac{\Omega(t_1, t_2)}{t_2^{\alpha_2}} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dt_2}{t_2} &= O(\Omega(u_1, u_2)), \\ u_1^{\alpha_1} u_2^{\alpha_2} \int_{u_1}^2 \int_{u_2}^2 \frac{\Omega(t_1, t_2)}{t_1^{\alpha_1} t_2^{\alpha_2}} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dt_2}{t_2} &= O(\Omega(u_1, u_2)) \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

as  $u_1, u_2 \rightarrow 0^+$ .

We will use some notations in the two-dimensional case. Let  $L_p^0(\mathbb{T}^2)$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) be the collection of all functions  $f \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)$  such that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x, y) dx = 0 \quad \text{for almost every } y$$

and

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x, y) dy = 0 \quad \text{for almost every } x.$$

Let us define the Nikol'skii classes of functions with dominating mixed modulus of smoothness. Denote by  $\mathcal{H}_p^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(\Omega)$  the Nikol'skii class, i.e., the set of functions  $f \in L_p^0(\mathbb{T}^2)$  such that

$$\omega_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(f; \delta_1, \delta_2)_p \leq C \Omega(\delta_1, \delta_2),$$

where  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $\alpha_1 > 0$ ,  $\alpha_2 > 0$  and  $\Omega \in \mathcal{M}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$ .

Note that any function  $f \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)$  can be represented as

$$f(x, y) = F(x, y) + \phi(x) + \psi(y) + C, \quad \text{where} \quad F \in L_p^0(\mathbb{T}^2).$$

This relation holds, for example, if

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x, y) dy, \quad \psi(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x, y) dx,$$

$$C = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x, y) dx dy,$$

$$F(x, y) = f(x, y) - \phi(x) - \psi(y) - C.$$

Since  $\omega_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(f; \delta_1, \delta_2)_p = \omega_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(F; \delta_1, \delta_2)_p$ , below we will assume that  $f \in L_p^0(\mathbb{T}^2)$ .

We will also use the following notation. Denote the partial sums of the Fourier series of a function  $f \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)$  as

$$S_{n, \infty}(f) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x+t, y) D_n(t) dt, \quad S_{\infty, m}(f) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x, y+t) D_m(t) dt,$$

$$S_{n, m}(f) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x+t_1, y+t_2) D_n(t_1) D_m(t_2) dt_1 dt_2,$$

where  $D_m$  is the Dirichlet kernel, i.e.,  $D_m(x) = \frac{\sin(m+\frac{1}{2})x}{2 \sin x/2}$ .

As means of approximating a function  $f \in L_p^0(\mathbb{T}^2)$  we use the best approximations by two-dimensional angles (see [12]):

$$Y_{n_1, n_2}(f)_p = \inf_{\substack{T_{n_1, \infty} \\ T_{\infty, n_2}}} \|f - T_{n_1, \infty} - T_{\infty, n_2}\|_p,$$

where the function  $T_{n_1, \infty}(x, y)$  is a trigonometric polynomial of order at most  $n_1$  in  $x$ , and the function  $T_{\infty, n_2}(x, y)$  is a trigonometric polynomial of order at most  $n_2$  in  $y$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** [12] *If  $f \in L_p^0(\mathbb{T}^2)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , then*

$$Y_{n_1, n_2}(f)_p \asymp \left\| f - \left( S_{n_1, \infty}(f) + S_{\infty, n_2}(f) - S_{n_1, n_2}(f) \right) \right\|_p.$$

**Lemma 4.2.** [12] *If  $f \in L_p^0(\mathbb{T}^2)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ , then*

$$Y_{n_1, n_2}(f)_p \leq C \omega_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \left( f; \frac{1}{n_1}, \frac{1}{n_2} \right)_p \leq \frac{C}{n_1^{\alpha_1} n_2^{\alpha_2}} \sum_{k_1=1}^{n_1} \sum_{k_2=1}^{n_2} k_1^{\alpha_1-1} k_2^{\alpha_2-1} Y_{k_1, k_2}(f)_p.$$

Let, for  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $BS_\alpha$  denote the class of all function  $\omega$  continuous on  $[0, 2]$  for which

$$\int_0^u \omega(t) \frac{dt}{t} = O(\omega(u)), \quad u^\alpha \int_u^1 \frac{\omega(t)}{t^\alpha} \frac{dt}{t} = O(\omega(u)) \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow 0.$$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let a function  $\Omega$  defined on  $[0, 2]^2$  be such that  $\Omega \in \mathcal{M}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \cap \mathcal{BS}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$ , where  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$ . Then*

(A) *For any fixed  $t_1$  the function  $\Omega(t_1, \cdot) \in BS_{\alpha_2}$  and for any fixed  $t_2$  the function  $\Omega(\cdot, t_2) \in BS_{\alpha_1}$ .*

(B) *There exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that, for any  $t_1$ ,  $x^{-\varepsilon} \Omega(t_1, x) \leq C y^{-\varepsilon} \Omega(t_1, y)$  for any  $x \leq y$ . Similarly, there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that, for any  $t_2$ ,  $x^{-\varepsilon} \Omega(x, t_2) \leq C y^{-\varepsilon} \Omega(y, t_2)$  for any  $x \leq y$ .*

(C)

$$\Omega(2t_1, t_2) \leq C \Omega(t_1, t_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega(t_1, 2t_2) \leq C \Omega(t_1, t_2).$$

(D) *If the sequence  $\{m_k\}$  is lacunary, then for any positive  $\beta$*

$$\sum_{k=r}^{\infty} \Omega^\beta(m_k^{-1}, l^{-1}) \leq C \Omega^\beta(m_r^{-1}, l^{-1}), \quad \sum_{l=n}^{\infty} \frac{\Omega^\beta(m_k^{-1}, l^{-1})}{l} \leq C \Omega^\beta(m_k^{-1}, n^{-1}).$$

*Proof.* Proof of part (A) follows immediately by conditions (4.2)-(4.3). Indeed, conditions (4.2) and  $\Omega \in \mathcal{M}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$  yield (for any fixed  $u_2$ )

$$\int_0^{u_1} \Omega(t_1, u_2) \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \leq C \int_0^{u_1} \int_{u_2/2}^{u_2} \Omega(t_1, t_2) \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dt_2}{t_2} \leq C \Omega(u_1, u_2)$$

and

$$u_1^{\alpha_1} \int_{u_1}^2 \frac{\Omega(t_1, u_2)}{t_1^{\alpha_1}} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \leq C u_1^{\alpha_1} \int_{u_1}^2 \int_{u_2/2}^{u_2} \frac{\Omega(t_1, t_2)}{t_1^{\alpha_1}} \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dt_2}{t_2} \leq C \Omega(u_1, u_2),$$

i.e.,  $\Omega(\cdot, t_2) \in BS_{\alpha_1}$ . Similarly, conditions (4.3) and  $\Omega \in \mathcal{M}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$  give  $\Omega(t_1, \cdot) \in BS_{\alpha_2}$ .

Further, inequalities of parts (B) and (C) immediately follow by (A) and [2]. Finally, (D) follows by (B). For example,

$$\sum_{k=r}^{\infty} \Omega^\beta(m_k^{-1}, l^{-1}) \leq C m_r^{\varepsilon\beta} \Omega^\beta(m_r^{-1}, l^{-1}) \sum_{k=r}^{\infty} m_k^{-\varepsilon\beta} \leq C \Omega^\beta(m_r^{-1}, l^{-1}),$$

where the inequality  $\sum_{k=r}^{\infty} m_k^{-\varepsilon\beta} \leq C m_r^{-\varepsilon\beta}$  (for any fixed  $\beta > 0$ ) holds because of lacunarity of the sequence  $\{m_k\}$  (see also [16, Cor. 4.10]).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** [10, 1.5.2] *Let the series*

$$\sum_{s_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s_2=1}^{\infty} a_{s_1, s_2} \sin s_1 x \sin s_2 y$$

be the Fourier series of a function  $f \in L_p^0(\mathbb{T}^2)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . Let  $\{n_k\} \in \Lambda$ . Then

$$\|f\|_p \asymp \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left[ \sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=0}^{\infty} \Delta_{k_1, k_2}^2 \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where

$$\Delta_{k_1, k_2} := \sum_{s_1=n_{k_1}}^{n_{k_1+1}-1} \sum_{s_2=n_{k_2}}^{n_{k_2+1}-1} a_{s_1, s_2} \sin s_1 x \sin s_2 y, \quad k_1, k_2 = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

The main result of this section is the following Lorentz-Konushkov type theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\Omega \in \mathcal{M}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \cap \mathcal{BS}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$ , where  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\{m_k\} \in \Lambda$  and let for any fixed  $k$  the sequence  $\{a_{k, n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be decreasing with respect to  $n$ . Then the necessary and sufficient condition for the sum of series (3.1) to be the Fourier series of a function  $f \in \mathcal{H}_p^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(\Omega)$  is*

$$a_{k, n} \leq C \Omega\left(\frac{1}{m_k}, \frac{1}{n}\right) n^{\frac{1}{p}-1}. \quad (4.4)$$

*Proof.* We remark that, by  $\Omega \in \mathcal{BS}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$  and Lemma 4.2, the condition

$$Y_{k_1, k_2}(f)_p \leq C \Omega\left(\frac{1}{k_1}, \frac{1}{k_2}\right) \quad (4.5)$$

is necessary and sufficient for the series (3.1) to be the Fourier series of a function  $f \in \mathcal{H}_p^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(\Omega)$ .

Let us show that condition (4.5) implies condition (4.4). First, for the sequence  $\mathbf{m} = \{m_k\} \in \Lambda$ , we construct a lacunary sequence  $\{m_k^*\}$  so that  $\{m_k\} \subset \{m_k^*\}$  and  $1 < \lambda_1 < m_{k+1}^*/m_k^* \leq 2$ .

Secondly, define  $b_{m_k, l} := a_{k, l}$  for  $k \geq 1$  and  $b_{s, l} := 0$  for  $s \neq m_k$ . Then using Lemma 4.1 (let  $z \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $m_s = m_z^*$ ), we get

$$Y_{m_s-1, m_t^*-1}(f)_p = Y_{m_z^*-1, m_t^*-1}(f)_p \asymp \left\| \sum_{k=m_z^*}^{\infty} \sum_{l=m_t^*}^{\infty} b_{k, l} \sin kx \sin ly \right\|_p.$$

Now applying the Littlewood-Paley theorem (see Lemma 4.4) for this series and for

the sequence  $\{m_k^*\}$ , we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_{m_s-1, m_t^*-1}(f)_p &\geq C \left\| \sum_{k=m_z^*}^{m_{z+1}^*-1} \sum_{l=m_t^*}^{m_{t+1}^*-1} b_{k,l} \sin kx \sin ly \right\|_p \\
&\geq C \left( \int_0^\pi \int_0^{\pi/(4m_t^*)} \left| \sum_{l=m_t^*}^{m_{t+1}^*-1} a_{s,l} \sin m_s x \sin ly \right|^p dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\geq C \left( \left( \sum_{l=m_t^*}^{m_{t+1}^*-1} l a_{s,l} \right)^p \int_0^\pi |\sin m_s x|^p dx \int_0^{\pi/(4m_t^*)} y^p dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Making use of inequality (4.5) and monotonicity of the sequence  $\{a_{k,n}\}_n$ , we have  $(m_{t+1}^* \leq l < m_{t+2}^*)$

$$\Omega\left(\frac{1}{m_s-1}, \frac{4}{l}\right) \geq C Y_{m_s-1, m_t^*-1}(f)_p \geq C \left( (m_t^*)^{(p-1)} a_{s, m_{t+1}^*}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \geq C l^{1-\frac{1}{p}} a_{s,l}.$$

Thus, using condition of the function  $\Omega$ , we arrive at (4.4).

Next, let condition (4.4) hold. To show (4.5), by Lemma 4.1, it suffices to check that

$$J^p := \left\| \sum_{k=s}^\infty \sum_{l=n}^\infty a_{k,l} \sin m_k x \sin ly \right\|_p^p \leq C \Omega^p\left(\frac{1}{m_s}, \frac{1}{n}\right). \quad (4.6)$$

First,

$$\begin{aligned}
J^p &\leq 4^p \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^\pi \int_0^\pi \left| \sum_{k=s}^\infty \sum_{l=n}^\infty a_{k,l} \sin ly \sin m_k x \right|^p dx dy \\
&+ 4^p \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_0^\pi \left| \sum_{k=s}^\infty \sum_{l=[\frac{1}{y}]+1}^\infty a_{k,l} \sin ly \sin m_k x \right|^p dx dy \\
&+ 4^p \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_0^\pi \left| \sum_{k=s}^\infty \sum_{l=n}^{[\frac{1}{y}]} a_{k,l} \sin ly \sin m_k x \right|^p dx dy =: 4^p (I_1 + I_2 + I_3).
\end{aligned}$$

Secondly, denote for any  $k \geq s$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_k(y) &:= \sum_{l=n}^\infty a_{k,l} \sin ly & \text{for } y \in \left[\frac{1}{n}, \pi\right], \\
g_k(y) &:= \sum_{l=[\frac{1}{y}]+1}^\infty a_{k,l} \sin ly & \text{for } y \in \left[0, \frac{1}{n}\right], \\
h_k(y) &:= \sum_{l=n}^{[\frac{1}{y}]} a_{k,l} \sin ly & \text{for } y \in \left[0, \frac{1}{n}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by the Abel transformation, we have

$$|f_k(y)| \leq C \frac{a_{k,n}}{y} \leq C \frac{\Omega(m_k^{-1}, n^{-1})}{n^{1-\frac{1}{p}} y}$$

for  $k \geq s$  and  $y \in [\frac{1}{n}, \pi]$ . Using this inequality, Zygmund's theorem (see Theorem B), and Lemma 4.3 (D), we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq C(p, \mathbf{m}) \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\pi} \left( \int_0^{\pi} \left| \sum_{k=s}^{\infty} f_k(y) \sin m_k x \right|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dy \\ &\leq C(p, \mathbf{m}) \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\pi} \left( \sum_{k=s}^{\infty} f_k^2(y) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dy \\ &\leq C(p, \mathbf{m}) n^{1-p} \left( \sum_{k=s}^{\infty} \Omega^2(m_k^{-1}, n^{-1}) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\pi} \frac{dy}{y^p} \\ &\leq C(p, \mathbf{m}) \left( \sum_{k=s}^{\infty} \Omega^2(m_k^{-1}, n^{-1}) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq C(p, \mathbf{m}) \Omega^p(m_s^{-1}, n^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Further, for  $k \geq s$ ,  $l \geq n$ , and  $y \in [\frac{1}{l+1}, \frac{1}{l}]$  the following inequality holds

$$|g_k(y)| \leq C \frac{\Omega(m_k^{-1}, l^{-1})}{l^{1-\frac{1}{p}} y}.$$

Then similarly to the estimate of  $I_1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \sum_{l=n}^{\infty} \int_{\frac{1}{l+1}}^{\frac{1}{l}} \left( \int_0^{\pi} \left| \sum_{k=s}^{\infty} g_k(y) \sin m_k x \right|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dy \\ &\leq C(p, \mathbf{m}) \sum_{l=n}^{\infty} l^{1-p} \left( \sum_{k=s}^{\infty} \Omega^2(m_k^{-1}, l^{-1}) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \int_{\frac{1}{l+1}}^{\frac{1}{l}} \frac{dy}{y^p} \\ &\leq C(p, \mathbf{m}) \sum_{l=n}^{\infty} l^{1-p} \Omega^p(m_s^{-1}, l^{-1}) l^{p-2} \leq C(p, \mathbf{m}) \Omega^p(m_s^{-1}, n^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

In the last two inequalities we used Lemma 4.3 (D).

To estimate  $I_3$ , we should obtain a pointwise bound of  $|h_k(y)|$ . By Lemma 4.3, there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that the function  $\Omega(x, y)y^{-\alpha}$  is almost increasing. Take  $\alpha < \frac{1}{p}$ .

Then for  $k \geq s$  and  $y \in [0, \frac{1}{n}]$  we get

$$|h_k(y)| \leq \sum_{l=n}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{y} \rfloor} a_{k,l} \leq C \sum_{l=n}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{y} \rfloor} \frac{\Omega(m_k^{-1}, l^{-1}) l^{\alpha}}{l^{\frac{p-1}{p} + \alpha}} \leq C(p, \alpha) \Omega(m_k^{-1}, n^{-1}) \frac{n^{\alpha}}{y^{\frac{1}{p} - \alpha}}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &\leq \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \left( \int_0^\pi \left| \sum_{k=s}^{\infty} h_k(y) \sin m_k x \right|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dy \leq C(p, \mathbf{m}) \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \left( \sum_{k=s}^{\infty} h_k^2(y) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dy \\
&\leq C(p, \alpha, \mathbf{m}) \left( \sum_{k=s}^{\infty} \Omega^2(m_k^{-1}, n^{-1}) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} n^{p\alpha} \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{dy}{y^{1-p\alpha}} \\
&\leq C(p, \alpha, \mathbf{m}) \Omega^p(m_s^{-1}, n^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, inequality (4.6) follows by the estimates of  $I_1, I_2$ , and  $I_3$ .  $\square$

## 5 Smoothness properties of lacunary-monotone series in $L_\infty$

In this section we prove several results on functions with lacunary-monotone Fourier series belonging to the class  $\mathcal{H}_p^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}(\Omega)$ , where  $p = \infty$ . These results are supplements to relations (1.3) and (1.5), which were mentioned in Introduction. For the sake of simplicity, we consider only the case when  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$  and denote  $\mathcal{H}(\Omega) := \mathcal{H}_\infty^{1,1}(\Omega)$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $\Omega \in \mathcal{M}^{1,1} \cap \mathcal{BS}^{1,1}$  and  $\{m_k\} \in \Lambda$ . If*

$$|a_{k,n}| \leq C \frac{\Omega(m_k^{-1}, n^{-1})}{n}, \quad (5.1)$$

then series (3.1) converges uniformly to a function  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality let  $m_0 = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $2m_1 > \lambda$ , where  $\lambda$  is the degree of lacunarity of  $\{m_k\}$ . Note that for any integer  $l$  and any  $v \in (0, 1)$ , by Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\int_{m_l^{-1}}^{m_{l-1}^{-1}} \frac{\Omega(u, v)}{u^2} du \geq \int_{m_l^{-1}}^{\lambda m_l^{-1}} \frac{\Omega(u, v)}{u^2} du \geq C(\lambda) m_l^2 m_l^{-1} \Omega(m_l^{-1}, v) = C(\lambda) m_l \Omega(m_l^{-1}, v) \quad (5.2)$$

Similarly, for any integer  $l$  and for any  $v \in (0, 1)$  we get

$$\int_{m_{l+1}^{-1}}^{m_l^{-1}} \frac{\Omega(u, v)}{u} du \geq \int_{\lambda^{-1} m_l^{-1}}^{m_l^{-1}} \frac{\Omega(u, v)}{u} du \geq C(\lambda) m_l m_l^{-1} \Omega(\lambda^{-1} m_l^{-1}, v) \geq C_1(\lambda) \Omega(m_l^{-1}, v) \quad (5.3)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{k,n}| &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Omega(m_k^{-1}, n^{-1})}{n} \leq C(\lambda) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{m_{l+1}^{-1}}^{m_l^{-1}} \int_{(n+1)^{-1}}^{n^{-1}} \frac{\Omega(u, v)}{uv} dudv \\
&= C(\lambda) \int_0^{m_1^{-1}} \int_0^1 \frac{\Omega(u, v)}{uv} dudv < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$



Therefore, series (3.1) is the Fourier series of a function  $f \in C(\mathbb{T}^2)$ .

Letting  $0 < t, h < \frac{1}{2}$  and denoting  $k_0 := \max\{k : m_k \leq \frac{1}{t}\}$  and  $n_0 = [\frac{1}{h}]$ , we have for any  $x, y$

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= |f(x+t, y+h) - f(x+t, y) - f(x, y+h) + f(x, y)| \\ &\leq Cth \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \sum_{n=1}^{n_0} m_k \Omega(m_k^{-1}, n^{-1}) + Ct \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \sum_{n=n_0+1}^{\infty} m_k \frac{\Omega(m_k^{-1}, n^{-1})}{n} \\ &+ Ch \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{n_0} \Omega(m_k^{-1}, n^{-1}) + C \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=n_0+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Omega(m_k^{-1}, n^{-1})}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Making use of (5.2) and (5.3) and taking into account that  $\Omega \in \mathcal{M}^{1,1} \cap \mathcal{BS}^{1,1}$ , we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq C(\lambda) th \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \sum_{n=1}^{n_0} \int_{m_k^{-1}}^{m_{k-1}^{-1}} \int_{(n+1)^{-1}}^{n^{-1}} \frac{\Omega(u, v)}{u^2 v^2} dudv \\ &+ C(\lambda) t \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \sum_{n=n_0+1}^{\infty} \int_{m_k^{-1}}^{m_{k-1}^{-1}} \int_{(n+1)^{-1}}^{n^{-1}} \frac{\Omega(u, v)}{u^2 v} dudv \\ &+ C(\lambda) h \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{n_0} \int_{m_{k+1}^{-1}}^{m_k^{-1}} \int_{(n+1)^{-1}}^{n^{-1}} \frac{\Omega(u, v)}{uv^2} dudv \\ &+ C(\lambda) \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \int_{m_{k+1}^{-1}}^{m_k^{-1}} \int_{(n+1)^{-1}}^{n^{-1}} \frac{\Omega(u, v)}{uv} dudv \\ &\leq C(\lambda) th \int_{m_{k_0}^{-1}}^2 \int_{(n_0+1)^{-1}}^1 \frac{\Omega(u, v)}{u^2 v^2} dudv + C(\lambda) t \int_{m_{k_0}^{-1}}^2 \int_0^{n_0^{-1}} \frac{\Omega(u, v)}{u^2 v} dudv \\ &+ C(\lambda) h \int_0^{m_{k_0+1}^{-1}} \int_{(n_0+1)^{-1}}^1 \frac{\Omega(u, v)}{uv^2} dudv + C(\lambda) \int_0^{m_{k_0+1}^{-1}} \int_0^{n_0^{-1}} \frac{\Omega(u, v)}{uv} dudv \\ &\leq C(\lambda) \Omega(t, h). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $\Omega \in \mathcal{M}^{1,1} \cap \mathcal{BS}^{1,1}$ ,  $\{m_k\} \in \Lambda$  and let for any fixed  $k$  the sequence  $\{a_{k,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a decreasing null-sequence as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let also series (3.1) be the Fourier series of a function  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ . Then for some positive  $C$  and all integers  $k$  and  $n$  condition (5.1) holds.*

*Proof.* We fix  $k \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 1$  and put  $t = \frac{1}{2m_k}$  and  $h = \frac{1}{2n}$ . Let  $\sigma(x, y) = \sigma_{2m_k, 2n}(x, y)$  be the Cesàro (1, 1) means. Consider

$$I = \sigma(t, h) - \sigma(t, 0) - \sigma(0, h) + \sigma(0, 0).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |I| &= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left( f(x+t, y+h) - f(x+t, y) - f(x, y+h) + f(x, y) \right) K_{2m_k}(x) K_{2n}(y) dx dy \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| f(x+t, y+h) - f(x+t, y) - f(x, y+h) + f(x, y) \right| K_{2m_k}(x) K_{2n}(y) dx dy \\ &\leq C\Omega(t, h), \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

where  $K_i(u)$  is the Fejér kernel. Also, if  $k_0 = \max\{s : m_s \leq 2m_k\}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} I = \sigma(t, h) - 0 - 0 + 0 &= \sum_{r=1}^{k_0} \sum_{l=1}^{2n} \frac{2m_k - m_r + 1}{2m_k + 1} \frac{2n - l + 1}{2n + 1} a_{r,l} \sin m_r t \sin lh \\ &\geq C \sum_{l=[n/2]+1}^n a_{k,l} \geq C_1 n a_{k,n}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Thus, the statement of the theorem follows by (5.4) and (5.5).  $\square$

Let us now consider the partial moduli of continuity. Denote by  $\omega_{1,0}(f, t)$  and  $\omega_{0,1}(f, t)$  the partial moduli with respect to  $x, y$  respectively. We present two results for the cosine-cosine series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n a_{k,n} \cos m_k x \cos ny, \tag{5.6}$$

where  $\alpha_0 = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\alpha_n = 1$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $\omega(\cdot) \in m_1 \cap BS^1$  and  $\{m_k\} \in \Lambda$ . Let also  $\{a_{k,n}\}_{k=1, n=0}^{\infty, \infty}$  be such that*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{k,n}| \leq C \frac{\omega(\frac{1}{n+1})}{n+1} \tag{5.7}$$

for any  $n \geq 0$  and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{k,n}| \leq C \omega\left(\frac{1}{m_k}\right) \tag{5.8}$$

for any  $k \geq 1$ . Then series (5.6) is the Fourier series of a function  $f \in C(\mathbb{T}^2)$  and, moreover, its partial moduli of continuity satisfy the inequalities

$$\omega_{1,0}(f, t) \leq C\omega(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_{0,1}(f, h) \leq C\omega(h)$$

for some  $C > 0$  and any  $t, h \in (0, 1/2)$ .

*Proof.* First we note that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{k,n}| \leq C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega(\frac{1}{n+1})}{n+1} \leq C_1 \int_0^2 \frac{\omega(t)}{t} dt < \infty.$$

This implies that series (5.6) is the Fourier series of a continuous function  $f$ . Further, similarly to estimates (5.2) and (5.3), we have (here as in Theorem 5.1,  $m_0 = 1/2$ )

$$\int_{m_l^{-1}}^{m_l^{-1}} \frac{\omega(u)}{u^2} du \geq C(\lambda) m_l \omega(m_l^{-1})$$

and

$$\int_{m_{l+1}^{-1}}^{m_l^{-1}} \frac{\omega(u)}{u} du \geq C(\lambda) \omega(m_l^{-1}).$$

Next, if  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{T}^2$  and  $t \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , then we put  $k_0 := \max\{k : m_k \leq \frac{1}{t}\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x+t, y) - f(x, y)| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n |a_{k,n}| \right) |\cos m_k(x+t) - \cos m_k x| \\ &\leq Ct \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} m_k \omega\left(\frac{1}{m_k}\right) + C \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} \omega\left(\frac{1}{m_k}\right) \\ &\leq C_1(\lambda)t \int_{\frac{1}{m_{k_0}}}^2 \frac{\omega(u)}{u^2} du + C_1(\lambda) \int_0^{\frac{1}{m_{k_0+1}}} \frac{\omega(u)}{u} du \leq C_2(\lambda)\omega(t). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{T}^2$  and  $h \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , then we put  $n_0 = [\frac{1}{h}]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x, y+h) - f(x, y)| &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{k,n}| \right) |\cos n(y+h) - \cos ny| \\ &\leq Ch \sum_{n=0}^{n_0} \omega\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right) + C \sum_{n=n_0+1}^{\infty} \frac{\omega(\frac{1}{n+1})}{n+1} \\ &\leq C_1(\lambda)h \int_{\frac{1}{n_0}}^2 \frac{\omega(u)}{u^2} du + C_1(\lambda) \int_0^{\frac{1}{n_0+1}} \frac{\omega(u)}{u} du \leq C_2(\lambda)\omega(h). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, both partial moduli of continuity of  $f$  have the order  $O(\omega(u))$  as  $u \rightarrow +0$ .  $\square$

Note that the full modulus of continuity of  $f$  has the same order.

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $\omega(\cdot) \in m_1$  and a function  $f \in C(\mathbb{T}^2)$  be such that its partial moduli of continuity satisfy  $\omega_{1,0}(f, t) \leq C\omega(t)$  and  $\omega_{0,1}(f, h) \leq C\omega(h)$  for some  $C > 0$  and for any  $t, h \in (0, 1/2)$ . Let the Fourier series of  $f$  be given by (5.6), where  $\{m_k\} \in \Lambda$ . Let also for any fixed  $k$  a sequence  $\{a_{k,n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be decreasing. Then for some  $C > 0$  conditions (5.7) and (5.8) hold for any  $n \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* By means of continuity of  $f$ , we get

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k,n} < \infty.$$

Consider the function  $g(x) = f(x, 0)$ , where  $x \in \mathbb{T}$ . Then  $\omega(g, \delta) = O(\omega(\delta))$  and the Fourier coefficients of  $g$  satisfy  $a_n(g) = 0$  for  $n \notin \{m_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  and

$$a_{m_k}(g) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n a_{k,n}, \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

On the other hand, it is well known that the Fourier coefficients are bounded by modulus of continuity, that is,

$$a_{m_k}(g) \leq C\omega\left(\frac{1}{m_k}\right).$$

This gives inequality (5.8).

Condition (5.7) follows by considering the function  $q(y) = f(0, y)$ , where  $y \in \mathbb{T}$ . Indeed,  $\omega(q, \delta) = O(\omega(\delta))$ , and the Fourier coefficients of  $q$  satisfy the equality

$$a_n(q) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k,n}$$

and are decreasing. By Theorem A for the modulus of continuity with a general majorant (see [1, Ch. X, §9]), we have

$$a_n(q) \leq C \frac{\omega(1/(n+1))}{n+1},$$

which yields the desired inequality. □

## Acknowledgments

This research was partially supported by the RFFI 12-01-00170, NSH 979.2012.1, MTM2011-27637/MTM, and 2009 SGR 1303.

## References

- [1] N. K. Bari, *A Treatise on Trigonometric Series*, MacMillan, New York, 1964.
- [2] N. K. Bari, S. B. Stechkin, Best approximations and differential properties of two conjugate functions (in Russian), *Proceedings of Moscow Math. Soc.*, 5 (1956), 483–522.
- [3] M. Berisha, On the coefficients of double lacunary trigonometric series, *Serdica Math. J.*, Vol. 14 (1988), 68–74.
- [4] M.I. Dyachenko, Some problems in the theory of multiple trigonometric series, *Russian Math. Surveys* 47:5 (1992), 103–171, translated from *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* 47:5 (1992), 97–162.
- [5] M.I. Dyachenko, E.D. Nursultanov, Hardy-Littlewood theorem for trigonometric series with  $\alpha$ -monotone coefficients, *Mat. Sb.*, 200:11 (2009), 45–60.
- [6] M. Dyachenko, S. Tikhonov, A Hardy-Littlewood theorem for multiple series, *Jour. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 339 (2008), 503–510.
- [7] J.-P. Kahane, Lacunary Taylor and Fourier series. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 70 (1964), 199–213.
- [8] A.A. Konyushkov, Best approximations by trigonometric polynomials and Fourier coefficients, *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, Vo. 44(86), 1 (1958), 53–84.
- [9] G.G. Lorentz, Fourier-Koeffizienten und Funktionenklassen, *Math. Z.* 51 (1948), 135–149.
- [10] S.M. Nikol'skii, *Approximation of functions of several variables and imbedding theorems*, Springer-Verlag, 1975.
- [11] A.Yu. Popov, Estimates of the Sums of Sine Series with Monotone Coefficients of Certain Classes, *Mathematical Notes*, vol. 74, no. 6 (2003), 829–840. Translated from *Matematicheskie Zametki*, vol. 74, no. 6 (2003), 877–888.
- [12] M.K. Potapov, On Approximation by “angle”, in *Proc. Conf. on Constructive Theory of Functions (Approximation Theory)*, Budapest, 1969 (Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest), (1972), 371–399.
- [13] B. Simonov, S. Tikhonov, Sharp Ul'yanov-type inequalities using fractional smoothness, *Journal of Approx. Theory*, 162, 9 (2010), 1654–1684.
- [14] K. Sokol-Sokolowski, On trigonometric series conjugate to Fourier series of two variables, *Fund. Math.* 34 (1947), 166–182.
- [15] S.A. Telyakovskii, On the behaviour of sine series near the origin, *Publ. Inst. Math., Nouv. Ser.* 58(72) (1995), 43–50.
- [16] S. Tikhonov. On generalized Lipschitz classes and Fourier series, *Z. Anal. Anwendungen*, 23, 4 (2004), 745–764.
- [17] S. Tikhonov, Best approximation and moduli of smoothness: computation and equivalence theorems, *Journal of Approx. Theory*, 153 (2008), 19–39.
- [18] L. Zhizhiashvili, *Trigonometric Fourier series and their conjugates*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996.
- [19] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, Vol. I, II. Third edition. Cambridge, 2002.

Mikhail Ivanovich Dyachenko  
M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University  
Moscow 119992, Russia  
E-mail: dyach@mail.ru

Sergey Yuryevich Tikhonov  
ICREA and Centre de Recerca Matemàtica  
Apartat 50 08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain  
E-mail: stikhonov@crm.cat

Received: 13.01.2012